## July 25, 2020 - Pre-Recorded World Satsanga for The Kevin Moore Show

## Transcript of Pre-Recorded World Satsanga by Guy Steven Needler:

"Welcome to this World Satsanga held on the 25th of July 2020 in conjunction with Kevin Moore and The Moore Show. And I encourage you all to see his YouTube Channel "They Call Us Channelers." There's lots more individuals now that get onto the system of it. He's at level or number 68 in terms of the number of individuals that he's interviewed and edited the footage for, so go on to Kevin Moore's website and his YouTube site, his YouTube Channel to see what's happening there. He's got some good stuff there.

Okay, so let's have a look at the agenda for this month's Satsanga. The first is a short talk by me on "What Causes Illness?" And then we've got a lot of participants' questions. Now there's so many questions here that I may have to use what I've got and cut them in half, so half of them may be used in this particular Satsanga, and the rest will be used as a priority for the Satsanga in August. So if we miss of some of your questions, please forgive me. But there's an awful lot come through this time, because obviously we had a gap last Satsanga.

And the end of meet meditation is actually a change to the advertised one, which was supposed to be giving unconditional forgiveness. This one is to help us to become a great civilization. And that's come from the wonderful lady who is translating "The History of God" to Japanese. So basically, we're going to look at that, do a meditation to help us see ourselves and move into this mindset that gives the human race the potential to become a great civilization. Okay.

### Part 1. Lecture on "What Causes Illness?"

So let's have a look at what causes illness. Well, those of you who follow energy based healing processes will recognize that most illnesses are created through levels of, shall we say, disharmony at higher frequency levels within our energy system that creates the human form.

There are seven energy templates that create the human form that we incarnate into plus another three that are like a step down function. The lowest three, those associated with the root chakra, the sacral chakra and the solar chakra create the gross physical aspect. And then the spirituo-physical aspect, which we sometimes call the lower astral, the upper lower astral, the lower upper astral and the upper astral, are basically the interaction between gross physical and the more energetic side of the physical universe.

And so it's the thought processes or the thoughts, behaviors and actions that are at a higher level, let's say around the 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th level, that start to create the physical illnesses, because when we have these higher templates affected by thought processes, those templates change us in association with the thought process. And when that happens, that's passed down the template system, and the gross physical starts to respond to the change in energy templates.

So if, for instance, we have a situation where we're not standing up for ourselves, and that means that we're not sort of standing up straight or we're not defending ourselves, the psychospiritual function is that our back isn't straight and our legs and our knees aren't straight. So if we're not defending ourselves properly, we're not stating our case properly, we're allowing people to sort of control us, run over us, so to speak, in terms of, you know, talk over us, then we're not defending ourselves. We tend to cower down, so the thought process is to sort of hide. And in hiding we try to make ourselves small, and the fetal position is one of those small sizes that we try to create. As a result of that, the thought process of being small in a curvature state

starts to penetrate into the energy templates. And so the back will start to curve, and the legs will start to bend. And so we start to get into a position where we start to become really quite hunched over and we shuffle around. And so it's really illnesses that are caused by our mental state.

(5 min) Another good example of this is stress. When we worry about something, we tend to worry right down to the core of our being. And the core of our being in the gross physical is the digestive system, right from the esophagus all the way down to the end of the bowel. And so when we have a lot of worry or we're concerned about something, we can't control something, something is going wrong, we don't have a good working relationship with somebody at work, we have a problem with our partner or our partner has a problem with us, or we feel that we've done something wrong or our peer group is suspicious against something we've done, for instance, etc., etc., then we start to have a demeanor of doubt about ourselves. We lose our self-confidence, our self-esteem goes, and so we have a similar thing. The body starts to change as a result of those thought processes.

And generally, stress creates a real big problem with our digestive system, because that's the most sensitive part of the gross physical aspect of our incarnate human vehicle. So it's usual for us to get reflux, ulcers, and grumbling bowels, those sorts of things, even bowel cancer. So we can get things like stomach cancer, throat cancer and bowel cancer, other than just things like reflux or problems with the stomach where we get the acid eating away at the stomach as well. So in essence, things like worrying also cause a big problem.

So all of this stuff, all the physical stuff generally is created by a mental process, a thought process, which affects our energy templates. And that sort of causes a domino effect down the frequencies to the gross physical. So all the illnesses are really created as a function of our thought process, how we think, behave and act in our situations, in our environment, in the things that happen within the environment, the situation with those other individuals within those different situations as well.

So it's really quite important to make sure that when we do interact with others, when we do start to take on-board responsibility, that we can only take on-board that which we can cope with properly. There's an old saying, It's better to say that you're going to underachieve and then overperform rather than the other way around. It's the old Scotty effect on *Star Trek*, if you think about it, he always used to say he was going to take 20 minutes to fix something and then it was fixed in 10, because he always stated something. So he was stating that it was going to take a certain amount of time and then he overachieved. Whereas if he had said it would take 10 minutes and then it didn't, it took 20 minutes, he'd be underachieving and that would have caused stress.

So again stress is something that we need to understand our limitations, our capabilities and work within those and deliver what we said we're going to do in a timely manner with the right level of quality and functionality in terms of whatever we're doing. So it's important to make sure that again we work within our own limitations. It's pointless trying to take something on-board, just to try and impress somebody, and then fail. In real terms, what we're better off doing is being honest about what we can do and just doing what we can do, and if we exceed that, then fine. But if we don't, then as long as we've maintained or achieved what we said we're going to achieve, we'll be fine. So again this is expectation.

So unachievable expectations can create disharmony and dysfunction in the energy templates, which causes issues within the human form. With men, it's a good way of slowing us down is a heart attack. And Barbara Brennan makes a good point of this, because it's important to understand that we men tend to stress themselves out more than women do in general, and the heart attack is the best way do it. When we look at how we consider ourselves, we need to look

at everything that we do — how we think, how we behave, how we act and how we can interact with others.

(10 min) So usually things like cancers, things like diseases, things like illnesses can be avoided, if we maintain a level of interaction with our environment, our consequences within our environment and those we interact with within that environment and those consequences that are achievable. And when we move out, as long as we can work within those achievable areas, we keep ourselves in a level of confidence — okay, we sometimes have to stretch our envelope sometimes to grow, but not stretch it too far. You can stretch an elastic band so far and then it breaks. If you can stretch the elastic band to the point where it's not going to break, but it'll still perform its role properly, then that's the way to do it.

And that's the same way with the human psyche basically is that we need to understand what we can do, and do what we can do at the best of our ability and only do it in that way. And then that keeps us in a level of confidence. It keeps our self-esteem up. We don't get depressed. We don't get anxiety. We might get a little bit of nervousness or anticipation, for instance, but it means that if it's something where we know it's just we can do it and we do it well, or we do it to the right quality, for instance, then we keep ourselves in a higher frequency. We've done a good job and we continue to do a good job — and this doesn't boost the ego so much, well, it might do with some people.

But the idea is not to boost the ego, the idea is to maintain a level of confidence, self-esteem, ability, and the ability to create and progress without putting ourselves into the somewhat negative side, where we've failed before, will we fail next time? I've let somebody down, I'm not as good as I thought I was, and other people around us think in that particular way as well. So everything that causes illnesses is a psycho-spiritual aspect that feeds down the energy templates causing disease, and the susceptibility to things like viruses.

So keeping ourselves higher frequency by doing or only achieving what we say we're going to achieve, and sometimes overachieving, but never try to state you can do something that you can't do and then underachieve, so it's always better to overachieve than underachieve. It keeps us at a higher frequency, because we're keeping ourselves, not so much within our comfort zone, but within our zone of capability. And that capability also includes our ability to grow, so that stops us from becoming lower frequency and being lower frequency. And stopping ourselves from being lower frequency also means that we don't affect the way in which the energy templates respond to our psycho-spiritual condition.

So if we can keep ourselves higher frequency by achieving things in a way we know we can achieve, and maybe stretching ourselves a little bit, then that means that we feel good about ourselves. We feel good about our environment. We know we can potentially do more, but we don't overstretch ourselves. And as a result of that, we don't create the anxiety and the depression and the concern over not being able to do what we said we're going to do or [get] worried about whether we're going to fail, for instance. When we've done this, we can exist in a much more coherent way, a calmer way, and again that reduces our stress level and anxiety levels, which also stops us from becoming ill.

Okay, so illness in summary is a function of basically our psycho-spiritual conditioning, self-conditioning, okay. And look out for the ego, because the ego will want us to say that we're better than we are, and when we fail, we do cause ourselves problems. If all of us listening to this Satsanga stopped and looked at ourselves, we'd realize that our stress is self-created. Our illness is self-created. Our disease is self-created and that it's part of our life plan to experience certain diseases. And that's another function, because sometimes a certain disease, which could be terminal, for instance, or a certain disability, for instance, may well be part of our life plan in terms of that we may want to experience this particular state of being in the physical as

part of our evolutionary growth. Okay, well I hope that helps. Obviously, this is quite a deep subject, and we can go into all sorts of different avenues and directions and do into real detail. But the objective is to give everybody a thought process to work with, not the detail behind it. Because the detail behind it will change depending upon the individual and their circumstance and how long they've been incarnate in this particular location.

(15 min) Okay, let's have a look at the questions we've got from last time. If we can go through them all, we will do. But I want to make sure we do use our time wisely, because I do want to go through this meditation, which is going to help us become a great civilization. So we've got about five pages of questions, so I'm going to look at the clock and see what we get through. Okay, so let's look at the first question then.

#### Part 2. Questions and Answers

# 1. Why do I always fall asleep when I start to meditate? Is it normal that it happens to people when they start meditation? (BA)

It's quite a personal question really, but one that could refer to anybody basically. Basically, people fall asleep when they are tired, for example, or when they try to meditate lying down. When we lie down, we go into a like a sleep mode, basically. So meditating whilst lying down is very difficult and we do invariably go to sleep. But if we want to meditate properly, we must be refreshed, we must be rested and be sharp of mind, so we can focus on the meditation process.

If we try to do it after we've done a hard day's work, it's going to be very difficult. If we have a restless sleep, it's going to be difficult. So we need to be awake and alert in terms of ability to focus on our meditation technique. So if you're falling asleep, it's either that you're trying to meditate lying down or in too comfortable a chair (is there such a thing?) or you're not rested enough. Okay, next question...

• What can parents with small children do for spiritual advancement? At the end of the day even if we try meditation, it won't go as planned?

Well, basically, small children need to be attended to on a regular basis. We know this. And the only way to move forwards really is to try to share the process of entertaining the children, so when one of you is entertaining the children, the other one can go and do the meditation. And then top over, so that's the best way to do it, when you're with children, because if you're trying to deal with them together, you're not going to be able to ever spare the time to be able to meditate. But if one of you is sort of sacrificing the meditation time to look after the children, and then that response duty is swapped, then one of you can go into and have that spare hour where you're on your own and able to focus on them.

2. After reading through the enlightening information contained in your book "The Curators", I am now wondering what role, if any, astrology plays in the lives of humanity? And if it does play a role, what level of the universal or multiversal construct does it apply to? (HF)

It's really a generic construct that indicates over a long period of time, it's dealt with a lot of data that people had, that depending upon the position of the Earth in the solar system in terms of rotating around the Sun and the position of the Sun, the solar system within the galaxy and the galaxy rotating depends upon the type of personality a child will have when it's born. And a proper horoscope goes right down to the second. You know, you've got the day, you've got the hour and you've got the minute and the second. But it's really a generic understanding that in general, people have this type of personality as a function of being born on this particular time,

which is usually within a particular location of the Earth around the Sun and the Sun within the galaxy in the way the galaxy rotates around and the energies that affect it.

So I don't really hold an awful lot of, shall I say, weight against a horoscope per se, I think they're too generic in terms of how we can place them. And what I've picked up in my meditations on this, basically it's to do with in general people end up with this sort of personality, if they're born within this particular region of space, so to speak, and the frequencies associated with them. As you move into higher and lower frequencies, these would change, of course. So that would show you that basically the location, shall we call it "geographical location" within the solar system within the galaxy and the galaxy rotating within the universe is basically a way of understanding a generic function based upon what's been observed in the past.

So really it's about the more people who've been born in May, for instance, who show certain traits, then the more you see that those individuals having certain traits, and then you can start to say that they're going to be more like this certain personality as a result of being in that particular birthdate. So it's mainly based upon observation rather than based upon a rule, so to speak. Okay.

(20 min) The next question from HF is based upon an interesting manuscript called the Voynich Manuscript and the question is...

- I'm curious as to the nature and source of the material written in a book called the Voynich Manuscript. It is said to be written in the 15th Century based on the carbon dating of the vellum (vellum is like paper, a different type of medium we write on) it is written on. The language in which it is written is yet unidentified by linguists and scholars, thus it remains uninterrupted? (or should we say untranslated is probably a better way of saying it)
- What is its purpose? Who wrote it? And what, in general, is its content trying to convey?

My understanding is that it's very high level language, and was based upon really high ranking individuals in the Templar organization. It was to do with understanding the absolute knowledge base of the ancients and trying to pass it on. But it was kept at really high levels, so the average templar wouldn't be exposed to it. But those who were the really high masters, who could understand the information there were allowed to observe it and digest it, so they could continue to pass that on later. And there's a number of these, I'm being told, around the world, in the same way we know there's a number of different locations for the Dead Sea Scrolls that the Essenes had. It's just that we haven't found them yet.

And they all contain a similar thing — they contain ideas about technology, about civilization, and about how to maintain connectivity with Source or God or our Higher Self at the same time. So it's really about preserving that knowledge but at a really high level, where you have to be a certain level of individual within the Templars. It could even be classified as being the Illuminati these days before you're able to access that level of knowledge by being able to read the text. The next question is from US and there's a couple of questions here that are quite interesting.

3. You are writing a book about the "Om" right now — one time you said Yogananda was a pure Om like you, but then you said it's not quite like that. What have you found out since then? Please elaborate about Yogananda's status, other lives, or whatever you found out while writing this book? (US)

I haven't actually gotten anywhere near asking a question about Yogananda yet. So what I'm going to have to do is put this as a potential question in this book, which is called "The Om: The Uncreated Creations." And because right now I'm focusing on something as bizarre as quantum

physics and how things...everything has everything else as part of it. So basically, every particle can be of every other particle, and that's what I'm picking up now. So looking at things like quantum teleportation and that sort of stuff and understanding the reality behind it rather than what mankind has considered as being the functions associated with it and how it works. Okay, so that'll go into the book as a question, so you'll have to wait for that one unfortunately.

 In the case of Bipolarism, are the two souls guided by one guide or two guides, one for each soul, IF they both take turns animating the soul at different phases of life? Do BOTH souls have to connected to the Tan tien to be able to animate the physical body? Or can the animation be done through the Soul seat alone, where both souls' sentience sits?

My understanding is in Bipolarism, it's almost like both souls have integrated at the Tan tien level, so that their sentience sits at the Soul seat and the initiation of the connectivity with the incarnate human vehicle, in this case the human body, happens at the Core star. And the energy that animates the body is at the Tan tien. And in this instance, rather than the sentience of the second soul being in a passive sense, a backseat passenger, for instance, just in observation mode, they've both integrated.

And so they'd both have a guide each, and in the instance when one particular soul decides to become the dominant soul vs. the other soul, which may well have been supposed to be dominant soul, but they start to have, shall we say, a little bit of a disagreement as to who should be the dominant soul, because clearly we only want to have one personality, one soul animating the body, although we do sometimes have many souls, but those souls collectively integrate in a different way to Bipolar conditions, where in Schizophrenia there's more than one soul fighting for control of the human vehicle.

So in the case of Bipolarism, there's two souls that shouldn't have but have integrated fully with the body, and so, therefore, they would have a guide each. And in that instance the guides are working out with along with their helpers what to do when that particular soul takes over the animation functionality of the body. Okay, so there we go.

Next question from the same person (US, by the way is that wonderful soul who does the transcriptions for us, I'd just like to remind you all). And this is basically about the Om, a good bunch of questions about the Om here. And looking at this, it looks like some of this relates to some of the older parts of "The History of God." Remember "The History of God" was written or started to be written about 20 years ago, so it's quite old now.

In "The History of God" you mentioned "The God Collective" who are separate entities
that work with the Akashic Records, looking after histories and knowledge. Our SE
(page 234-235) said: "You are part of them; they are called the Om...You and a number
of others chose to spend a number of incarnations to help those entities who have only
just started to incarnate as a means of accelerating their own evolution...They are all
trying to make the human race a great civilization...They came from ME just the same
as you came from Me. They created heaven on Earth...The Om, a Great Civilization"?

And so there's three questions here:

 Is giving the Om a special name or status (e.g. The God Collective, Beloved of the Om, Pure Om, etc.) just creating separation, hierarchy or vanity (not humility), when ultimately we are all part of The Origin anyway? Our functions can and do change from one multiverse cycle to the next anyway. Yes, they can and do change. What I've noticed is that and what we have to realize is that when we start to communicate with our Higher Self, because the comment associated with "The God Collective" was when I was communicating with my TES (True Energetic Self, which is my sort of Higher Self, so to speak or Godhead or Oversoul, depending upon how you want to call it), things are simplified, because we're starting out. We don't get taught quantum mathematics when we're trying to learn the times table. So basically, the way that things were being described were in baby steps.

So although there are different differentiations of Om, for instance, based upon their sentient quotient and whether they are captive Om or noncaptive Om or don't get involved with any of the Source Entities or are a hybrid Om, they're still classified as being Om. And so it's a little bit like having a number of different types of fruit — an apple, a pear, an orange, a mango or a banana, they've all got separate names, because they're all different things. But they're all classified as fruit. So it's just the names are basically just to differentiate between the sentient quotient of each of the different types of Om basically.

So the thing about the great civilization, it says...

## How can the Om be a "great civilization" when you told us previously that they tend not to incarnate?

Yeah, well, the ones that incarnate are the hybrid Om quite clearly. And the ones that don't incarnate tend to be the ones that are pure Om. There are one or two Om, who are captive Om, who remain as Om, because of their sentient quotient, who may incarnate. But the term "great civilization" was basically a figure of speech. It's basically saying that they are in general, when they are on their own, when they are disassociated from a Source Entity, they operate in a way, which is considered to be good for the collective, good for the Om in totality. They don't do anything that goes against or is detrimental to themselves as Om.

So that could be another way of understanding them and that's a way in which we should think about the human race being in terms of a great civilization is one where every entity or every incarnate human vehicle and the souls within that, the aspects within it, are working in general for the good of the population rather than for the good of themselves in a very sort of selfish way.

(30 min) So it's only a figure of speech based upon the way that they operate. Okay, so that's another way to think about it. A great civilization really is a human term. And when communicating with the Source and the Origin, they tend to us humanization in terms of the way they operate. They communicate with me and, of course, they can communicate with others as well. So we have to think about this and realize that some of these words are being used as descriptors, and they may not be totally accurate, but they point us in the right direction. Okay.

## How does the Om's Akashic Record function differ from that of the Curator entities called The Recorders?

Well, the Akashic Record is simply and only associated with incarnate mankind. There are other records associated with other incarnate vehicles around and within the physical universe at different frequency levels as well. But simply, the Akashic is just the name for the one, that one part of the structure of the Source's sentience, that memory, if you want to call it that, that is particularly associated with what we do on Earth. Okay, it's just one. It's like a pigeon hole that's just for the Earth.

So in essence, in functionality the information that's created through Om interaction with Om or with other incarnate aspects, whether they are hybrid Om or captive Om, for instance, or

anything else that interacts with anything else, the function of Om doing what Om do will be recorded for those that are noncaptive within the Origin basically, and those who are captive, and those that are hybrid will be recorded in some function of the sentience associated with the Source, bearing in mind that everything is Origin anyway, so it's just a different localization.

Another way to think about it is that the memory associated with what we do, what every entity does, not just in the incarnate human vehicle, but across all vehicles that are used in the physical universe and even those that are not in the physical universe but in the rest of the multiverse are really associated with the Source. Only things that are outside of the Source are in another part of sentience associated with the memory of experience, so to speak, that the Origin's got. But these things are located in a local sense based upon the entity that's created the individualizations of its own sentience. Okay.

4. Re: DNA, Is it correct that we originally had 12 functioning strands of DNA? Some say we are moving toward having 12 functioning strands of DNA again, is that correct? What do the 10 Unknown strands of DNA hold or do? Is it true that the 10 unknown or so-called junk DNA also contain our galactic history or memory of our multidimensional incarnation or existence as an aspect of TES? (FN)

Well, basically, DNA is a function of physicality. And the levels of frequency that the human body has experienced in the past and will experience in the present is not a function of the number of DNA strands. That is a spiritual and metaphysical misunderstanding — total misunderstanding. And this idea that the DNA contains our galactic history or memory of our multidimensional incarnation is also incorrect.

Everything exists within the sentience of ourselves and the sentience of Source, when we refer to ourselves being as part of Source. And so the way in which the human form is created and the different frequencies that are involved in how it functions now is at its most efficient form now. The number of DNA strands, it makes no different at all as to how we can work with that vehicle. We can work on our sentience. We can work on raising our frequencies to experience higher functions and higher levels of communicative ability. That wouldn't affect our DNA.

The DNA in this instance would just create another ability to have a different variation upon the same theme in terms of the body type — the difference in making it more diverse, so to speak, rather than less diverse. So really the DNA doesn't affect our spiritual ability at all. That's just spiritual or metaphysical misinformation. Okay.

5. In the countryside, a lot of farmers' crops are damaged by animals, such as wild boars, monkeys, deers, and birds. Sometimes people catch whole groups of them and kill them. In The History of God, there is a chapter that discuss about how animals are helping humans and this planet. If so, are there some reasons for those animals to eat massive amount of crops? Are there effective ways to prevent those animal damage? Some people think that they are enemies and not friends. (MO)

There's a lot of questions from MO about the Om, but these questions I'm going to pass over, because in effect these questions and a couple of other questions are part of the questions I'm going to use for those areas of the book on the Om, that I feel are good questions. So these are things that I probably wouldn't have asked myself, but because you as the readers and those who are interested about asking the questions, I think these need to be put into the book rather than just a Satsanga. So I'm going to do that.

That includes some information on Source Entity 13, so to speak, which is a strange thing that's come out of some of the previous Satsangas and some of the work on my other books. So I'm going to put that into the book after this one, which is going to be called "Beyond the Origin," so

those questions there, of which there's 1, 2, 3, 4 on the Om, and 1, 2, 3 on SE13 or SP1, so those are going to go into those two books for questions. So keep your eyes out for that book.

The book on the Om, I'm hoping to finish probably at this time towards the end of next year. It's going a little bit slower than I expected, but the information is quite enlightening, so I'd rather have it robustly enlightening than, shall we say, rushed and not being as deep and explanatory as it should be. So let's look at the question from MO anyway and this question is very good.

Well, basically, if we as human beings destroy an animal's natural environment, then we need to support them in some way, because they are part of the ecostructure. Without these animals and the insects within them and the flora that is part of it, we start to lose the Earth's ability to regenerate. So we need to really look at how we invade these spaces and try to provide areas of naturalness. So we can farm certain areas, but we need to be aware of the vast areas of, for instance, rain forests that we tear down. Because creating crops that aren't necessary, because we tend to waste an awful lot of our food around the world, and it should be shared.

Countries and locations around the world that are struggling should be having this overproduction, so that they can also feed themselves and become nourished rather than undernourished. So that's another argument. But in real terms, we need to support those animals that we displace. And that means that we need to have islands of environment they can work in and they can move from one island environment to another island environment with environmental corridors, so to speak, so that they don't need to eat the farmer's crops.

Or if we have anything or any crops that we feel are we can't pass them onto the customers, for example, we should make sure those crops are able to be fed back to the animals. So that the crops they would be, the food that they would have lost as a result of the reduction in their natural environment that is also diminishing, we should pay them back basically by giving them some of the crops that we feel are good enough for animals to eat, but that don't totally match up to our own quality control, so to speak.

I mean really we do need to be very cognizant of how we affect the environment. Animals are our friends, not just the ones that we live with, which is cats and dogs and horses, for instance, every other animal on the planet has a role to play as part of the ecostructure. We need to look after them. So we need to be more cognizant as farmers on how we can maintain the environment whilst also generating the crops we need to support our own population as well.

(40 min) Okay, we've got a few more questions here, about 10 questions I'm going to go through. Okay.

6. On page 238 of "The Curators," it seems to me that the paragraph starting "A Seeder," should be attributed to SE1, rather than to you. The before and after paragraph support my view. Is this correct? (JM)

Yes, I don't understand how they can be associated with me, but basically, all of the Curators are a function of those entities that are in service to maintaining the structure of the multiverse. So they don't report to any other entity other than the Source Entity or within themselves, their own sort of interactive structure, so to speak.

In your discussion about the Recorders, SE1 says to you: "As you are aware, we/you
are in the third evolutionary cycle..." Later in this chapter, SE1 says that the number of
cycles until all TES's become Source Entities is over one thousand million, which is to
me an inconceivable amount of time for us all to get there. Am I reading this right?

Yes, you are reading this right. But one of the things we have to understand is that as we move through an evolutionary cycle, we experience, learn and evolve an awful lot. So when we move into the next evolutionary cycle, that evolutionary cycle becomes...you know, the period to go from start to finish in that evolutionary cycle is accelerated.

So if we go back to the first evolutionary cycle and compare it to the second evolutionary cycle, the second evolutionary cycle was considerably faster in completion from start to finish than the first one was. The third one, which is where we are now, is considerably faster compared to where we were to do the second evolutionary cycle, which is unbelievably faster compared to the first evolutionary cycle. So what you start to see is we start to increase very slowly like a logarithmic curve from one evolutionary cycle to another to the point, where we can go through an evolutionary cycle in a blink of an eye or less.

So don't worry about these numbers, which are inconceivable from the perspective of the human being, but moreover, it's about understanding that the more we go through an evolutionary cycle, the more evolved we are, the faster we can go through the challenges associated with those evolutionary cycles. Good question, thank you.

 Near the end of The Recorders chapter, after telling us that the focus of his sentience is not with the entities that he created to populate his multiversal environment, SE1 states that he has "some small part of my sentience focused on you and a few others right now." Who are these few others? Do they channel, write and function like you do? Identities?

I don't know who they are, but they are going to be people, who are doing similar roles to myself, whether they are visible or covert or in the background. But certainly, I'm being told that those people, who are trying to create a different way of existing on this planet, for example. Greta Thunberg being one and the other White Children being others. And of course, Yogananda was one of those that Source Entity had an eye on as well.

But there's people who are trying to do good. They are trying to make us think, behave and act in a more holistic and service based way are the ones that the Source is looking at. Those that are going to make a difference, a big difference, whether they are very visible or very invisible. So they will do whatever they need to do. Some of them are going to be in the background. Some of them are going to be qualitative teachers and some of them are going to be quantitative teachers in the same way as the White Children are.

So what I'm being shown here is that most of these that the Source has got its eye on, so to speak, or some part of its sentience focused on is going to be the White Children plus those one or two people, who are doing this sort of work in humility. And we have to do it in humility. It's a very important thing to do, and we have to get it as right as we can do, even though we are subject to frequential turbulence at times. Okay.

In the beginning of The Interfacers, SE1 refers to "any part of my multiverse \*and\*
those parallel environments that could, can and will be created." What are these
parallel environments that are not currently part of SE1's multiverse?

Well, the multiverse has two functions, as we know: one of it is a metric for our evolutionary progression and the other one is an environment for our experiencing, learning and evolving to progress our evolutionary progression. But in being involved with it and interacting with it, we have choices to make and we sometimes make choices based upon what we experience and the circumstances we experience and the individual entities that are within the environment within the circumstance that we interact with.

(45 min) And so when we make a decision, we create a parallel condition, because we can go one way or another way, because we usually have two choices or three choices or four choices. So these parallel conditions are basically parallel localized environments, localized universes, and we can even have parallel multiverses as well. So it's all to do with how we as entities, who are working within the Source's multiverse create our decision process and those decision processes individually and collectively create another parallelized environment or reality, so to speak.

 In "The Initiators," SE1 references a few low frequency incarnates as having sporadic contact with us. Does this explain the supposed negative contacts that some humans have had with aliens?

Yes, and there's also some of the backfill people as well, who are also not quite here, but are here, so to speak, because some of these backfill souls or aspects are also in different incarnate vehicles, which aren't specifically human, but they can come from different locations within the galaxy as well or in fact the universe.

So sometimes we do have what we classify as negative contact, but we have to understand that some of these negative contacts are based upon our desire to work with some of these souls in these different incarnate vehicles. And sometimes they're part of our primary incarnation, where we come from and they're just basically monitoring the human form. So if you think of it in terms of what we call as as "abduction" is really the same as us going into the wild, taking an animal out of the wild, taking its blood pressure, taking its temperature and tagging it, so they can track down its habits, so it's the same sort of thing really.

So we have to think of it not being negative, but mostly being misunderstood. But some of these entities are basically not of the same sentient quality as those, who incarnate into the human vehicle normally or was normally, but they can also be the backfill entities sentience as well.

In "The Attractionists," SE1 talks about "the romantic side of attraction, which is purely
a function of an agreement between Aspects before becoming incarnate." I had several
romantic attractions before meeting my wife, Ellen, and almost had one after marriage. I
understand that she and I made an agreement in advance to fall in love and spend our
lives together, but this reads like it says that all romantic attractions are arranged in
advance. Are they?

Yes, basically, it's not just about romantic, it's about working together and about us being in certain circumstances together. Maybe we have health issues we have to work with. Maybe we have certain experiences that we need to work together to experience it properly. So everything we do has a life plan associated with it, but don't forget the life plan is only a series of goals. It's not really much deeper than that. How we achieve those goals within the life plan and who we achieve it with is really part of our individualized free will. It's just that some of those individuals we achieve it with are part of the goals of the life plan. And because they are also wanting to experience similar or same things, but from a slightly different angle and it makes sense to incarnate with somebody or an entity, a soul who wants to experience something similar, and therefore, you can work together. So basically, everything is experienced upfront.

Now it's interesting to think about this is that the number of times we've had chances to be with a different partner that we don't take, those missed opportunities, so to speak, have been missed, because our guides and our helpers have been changing our thought process or not making the decision fast enough, because we're supposed to be with somebody else. So that's another way to think about it.

 At the beginning of "The Generators," you reference giving us readers "a mental break from the difficult concepts..." I am probably as avid a reader of your materials as anyone, cycling continuously through your work, but I find this volume to be so dense as to no longer be much of a spiritual help to me. I suspect some of your readers feel the same. So I am grateful for your comment.

(50 min) Well, I have to admit that the information that was coming through was difficult for me as well. But it's to do with expansion, isn't it? Not all of us can be expansive and expand at the same rate. And there's nothing wrong with that. That's based upon our evolutionary level and that which we need to understand at a particular point in our existence. So we have to think of this not just as spiritually progressive information, but hard metaphysical information as well. And some of it is so far advanced, that it actually can be meaningless to us until we get to a different level of understanding.

So if we are finding that it is difficult, that the information is too hard to grasp, don't worry about it. Either put the book down and use it as a door stop, for example, or the end of a book shelf. And some time in the future you will want to pick it up and you'll be ready for it. Or just read it and treat is as a story and not try to absorb the information and then the information will be downloaded to you as and when it is required.

Remember we can't do quantum physics unless we've gone through the primary stage of first understanding a times table and our arithmetic. So when we've got to the point where we can start to think about considering quantum physics, then we're going to be exposed to those concepts and levels of detail associated with that, when it's appropriate. So don't worry about it. All of you who have read "The Curators" are finding it very hard. Don't worry about it. Just read it and let the things absorb in a natural way.

Because you've picked up the book, you've gone through the process of buying it means that it's going to mean something to you at some point. Don't worry about it if it's straightaway. It's a bit like learning a language. We don't always learn every word straightaway. Simple words sometimes elude us and take three or four years to be absorbed in our memory set, whereas other words we know straightaway. It's a bit like that.

• In the beginning of "The Universalists," you state indignantly that there are three hundred and ninety-seven universes. What!?! What happened to the other ten universes I recall from another of your books?

Right, in "The History of God" I was told that there are 12 full dimensions and each of these full dimensions had three tritaves or three subdimensional components, and each of those subdimensional components has got 12 frequencies associated with them. So in effect, if you add all those together, you've got more. You've got an awful lot of potential multiverses. In fact, you'll find that if you add 12 x 3 x 12, you get 432.

But later on in "The History of God" and maybe even in the "Beyond the Source - Book 1," you start to realize that the information I was understanding was in slight error, that the multiverse is created through 12 full dimensions, but they all work in the same way apart from the first full dimension. So the 2nd full dimension to the 12th full dimension behave in exactly the same way. They split out into three subdimensional components that are sometimes called tritaves, and each of those subdimensional components or tritaves splits out into 12 frequency levels or frequency bands. And each of those frequency levels or frequency bands has the capability of supporting a self-contained simultaneously occurring or parallel universal environment. So this is a static structure.

So that's 11 x 3 x 12, which is 396 universes. Okay, 396 universes. Then I found out later that the first full dimension works in a different way, because it houses the lowest frequencies. So we get the three subdimensional components being split out from the first full dimension, but then it collapses back into a "composite subdimension," which only allows 12 frequencies to be created, because it's all low frequencies. And those 12 frequencies, they're all required to create a universe. So from the 13th frequency upwards, which is the first frequency of the first subdimensional component of the second full dimension, from that frequency upwards, it's got enough resolution or finitude, so to speak, to enable a universal environment to be created. Whereas in the first full dimension, you need all those frequency levels to create it, because they're so low.

So what we've basically got is a difference in the number of frequencies and the number of universes. So we have 396 + 12 frequencies, which is 408 frequencies, but 396 + 1 universes, so it's 397 universes. So that's where you start to see the difference there and the difference is actually the difference between 408 and 397, which is basically 11 difference.

(55 min) So I hope that explains that. You can see the difference between "The History of God" and "Beyond the Source - Book 1" when I'm speaking to SE1 there. And actually, I'm quite shocked, when I'm told by the Source that I was in error basically or I found out that I was in error, because I was wondering why I was allowed to be in error for a start off, but it's all to do with being allowed to go in a certain direction, even if it's not strictly the right direction. As long as it's generally in the right direction, then we're allowed to do it.

 In "The Universalists," SE1 talks about atomic particles in a steel bar that are not aligned to create magnetism and says that when they are in the same space as an aligned bar, "they feel the attractivity of the material whose particles are in alignment and align themselves into the same pattern of alignment as that felt." Do these atomic particles actually feel and make a conscious decision? Are all particles capable of detecting forces and making conscious decisions?

That was a figure of speech. It's more like an attractive force. Like attracts like. So think of it in those sorts of ways. It's more of a sense than a conscious decision. And these particles sense each other, so to speak. It's a bit like the atoms that create copper or the particles that create copper sticking together. An alloy can be created, but you tend to find that they still clump together in certain things where they do adhere together as well to create the alloy. So it's really about a figure of speech that suggests that they are normally attracted to because of their frequential level, particles of the same frequential type. Well, I hope that explains that.

They don't have consciousness as such. Although particles and this is now, every particle in the physical universe is capable of being every other particle in the physical universe. Think of how many particles there are in the physical universe. And the different frequencies as well. And the permutations of, that's an interesting thing to consider with our quantum physics, which is what I'm dealing with now with the book on the Om.

• In "The Parallelism Engineers," SE1 says that the Parallelism Engineers do four things to reinforce some demarcation line. He gives as an example the creation of a new fashion craze or a political condition/situation. Another likely example of the work of the Parallelism Engineers was the filming by a 17 year-old girl of the unfortunate murder by the police of African American George Floyd on a Minneapolis street. The four policemen were fired and charged with murder, and a whole mindset was affected by this tragic incident. Is this indeed an example of what is being discussed, with involvement of Parallelism Engineers?

It's one of them definitely. When we see things that come from nowhere, where there's no quantifiable reason for it happening, that's when we know that Parallelism Engineers are starting to change us from one particular localized reality to another one. And that happens, when where we're going is not going to create an advantageous condition for us. They are helping us take the right steps, helping us realize that we are just incarnate souls in a vehicle that we experience in this particular planetary location within the physical universe at this particular frequency. And that's it.

There should be no discrimination as to where we're born, what particular version of human vehicle we're working with. Everything should be the same. We should be having the same educational capabilities irrespective of where we are on the world, by the way. We should be having the same ability to exist and live. We should be having the same remuneration capability. We should all have the same capability to exist in a home that is of a similar quality worldwide.

(1 hr) There are other humanoid versions around the galaxy and around the physical universe. When we start to see these other body types, and probably not in our lifetime, so to speak, because they're already here, but we're not thinking, behaving and acting in the right ways that allow us to advance up the frequencies, to ascend the frequencies yet, we'll start to be exposed to these different entities, different versions of humanoid condition. Just as an example, off the cuff, just look at the different humanoid versions you see on different science fiction films. It's part of the education for us.

But if we're worrying about or discriminating against somebody's skin, ethnic location, thought processes, religious context, then how are we going to cope with the other variations upon the human body theme? When we start to think, behave and act in a mature way, and we see people for their achievements and their personalities, and not their body type, then we're going to be able to move up the frequencies much faster.

And things like this filming by this 17-yo girl of this unfortunate murder of George Floyd, who by the way, when he incarnated agreed to be a force for change in a particular parallel condition, is one way, which we're being given a nudge in the right direction. The problem is we need to make sure that nudge is in the right direction and is not taken in the wrong way. Okay, well, that's all the questions so far from this particular Satsanga. Thank you very much.

### Part 3. Meditation

(1:01) It's time to go into the meditation. The meditation is on "Working on ourselves to provide or create a great civilization."

Please download the high quality MP3 File to do the guided meditation with Guy Steven Needler via his website or blog (meditation starts around 1:02:18).

(1:20) Closing comments: This is the end of this July's Satsanga. Thank you very much for participating. Thank you for your very difficult questions. And again I don't read them beforehand, so the information is channeled straightaway or I meditate on it for a moment, for a few minutes before I answer. And some of those questions are passed on to the month and some of those questions are going to be asked in the books as well, the Beyond the Origin and the Om book, The Om: The Uncreated Creations. Thank you for listening. Thank you for being spiritual in sight for others, a leader for others, because you all are. You're all leading in your own way providing a better way of being. You're a good example for everybody else. Namaste to you all. God's love to you all, and I look forward to broadcasting the next Satsanga in August. God's love to you all." END.

NOTE: I have prepared a Searchable pdf document with ALL World Satsanga Lectures, Questions and Answers collated from 2016-2020 to make it easier for us to see what questions have already been asked in previous Satsangas and what answers were given at the time. This will allow us to ask NEW questions or ask similar questions from a different angle after reviewing what we already know. This document is over 600 pages, so don't try to print it out.

You can go to Needler's website (www.beyondthesource.org) to find the document at this URL:

www.beyondthesource.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/All-World-Stasanga-Transcripts-2016-2020.pdf. OR simply click on **"All Transcriptions"** here to go there.