November 30, 2019 - Pre-Recorded World Satsanga for The Kevin Moore Show

Transcript of Pre-Recorded World Satsanga by Guy Steven Needler:

"Welcome everybody to this World Satsanga held on the 30th of November 2019. And of course, it's always done in conjunction with Kevin Moore and The Moore Show. And I thank Kevin for all he's been doing in terms of exposing every corner of the greatest reality that he can do to help benefit us all to look and find what's going on behind physical incarnation and what the greater reality is and what we really are.

Okay, so let's have a look at today's agenda before we move on, because I've got lots of questions. In fact, before I go on, the questions I've got so far will be able to furnish me with questions for November and for December and a large chunk of January 2020 as well. So thank you very much for all those questions. They're a wonder to be able to read and to work with and see. And some of them are really deep in terms of the way they are asking questions as well, and the information that's required to support them in terms of the channeling is great as well.

So it just made me wonder whether I should really have a book based upon all these different questions from the Satsanga, but it's a different means of getting the information. The books are fine and maybe some time in the future this may happen, because they're all recorded and they're all maintained. And of course, that wonderful lady (U.S.) is also transcribing them, so it's quite possible we could at some point collate them, but let's see what Source says about that.

Okay, so let's have a look at the agenda for today's Satsanga. So today's Satsanga is "What's the difference between different spiritual or metaphysical leaders or teachers?" And then this plethora of questions I've got. In fact, what I've got is these are questions from a number of different people. What I've tried to do is rather than have the first come first served, I've taken a chunk of the questions from each of the people who submitted the questions. So I've now got a good spread of different questions from different angles. So this will be a trend that continues based upon the amount of questions I've received so far over into, of course, December and January as well.

And the end of meet meditation is a meditation to resist the downward trend in the frequencies, which we're all experiencing and is really quite profound as well in terms of how it's being experienced. It seems to be accelerating in all sorts of different ways. Okay, so let's have a look at this rather thorny subject about what the difference between spiritual or metaphysical teachers and leaders are.

Part 1. Lecture on "What is the difference between different spiritual or metaphysical leaders or teachers?"

Well, there's three main categories in terms of how they deliver their information. The first main category is a qualitative individual. And that individual will be presenting the information to a smaller number or a smaller audience, shall we say, of individuals. It tends to be very...the information can be quite difficult to understand, so we have to be awake to a certain level of understanding before we can start to become interested in it, let alone understand it.

So the qualitative individuals tend to give information, which is only available for those who are ready for it rather than the next category, which is a quantitative individual, who gives things to people, who is more popular and more easily understood. And whereas the quantitative individual can address tens of thousands, even millions of people with certain subject matters and you can see that happens to certain individuals, where they've sold millions and millions of

books, the qualitative individual may only sell a couple of thousand books a year, sometimes not even that. And sometimes they don't even bother with books, they're just happy to spread the information as it happens.

And then there's the sort of a covert individual, who works in the background, a bit like Babaji, for instance, and other individuals who work in the background. They sow certain seeds or nuggets of information and see how they grow within people. So they don't actually create a large following. They may have a couple of helpers that help them as well. But in general, they're the sort of individual who shies away from the limelight, so to speak, isn't particularly worried about how much information he's disseminated, but simply just wants to sort of push it and throw a bit of, shall we say, oil in the mechanism just to see how things work and to allow things to sort of progress. But they're not bothered by doing lectures. They're not bothered about doing workshops. They're not bothered about doing books, for instance.

(5 min) They just want to get the information out to one or two people, who they're working with. They like to work in the background. The qualitative individual would probably do things like workshops and lectures and even books, of course, whereas the quantitative would be out there all of the time, doing lots and lots of workshops, lots of lectures and probably is quite...I think I've lost the word now...but who could also have a plethora of books out there. I was going to say another word, but it wasn't exactly a word, it was something that I created. So we've got the different types there in terms of the way they deliver the information.

Now on top of that, there's those individuals who are simply broadcasting knowledge that they've picked up themselves. And they're the sort of people who are, if you like, they're individuals who are more intellectual in terms of the information. Maybe they read books, maybe they talk to people, maybe they've seen YouTube videos or other videos and they sort of gather information together and simply broadcast it in a different way. And so those individuals aren't what you would call hands on knowledgeable spiritual individuals or metaphysical leaders, they simply are people who are correlating the information together and rebroadcasting it. And sometimes it comes out as being their own work, but in general they are simply going over the popular information and throwing in some not so popular information and providing a different way, which is broadcast. So that's the this sort of intellectual leader.

Then you've got the sort of experiential leader. An experiential leader is somebody who broadcasts something that they've experienced themselves. Now the difference between the experiential and the intellectual leader is that the somebody who is an intellectual leader generally very rarely experiences that themselves, which they're broadcasting. It is they are simply pulling everything together and repackaging it in various different types of ways, which are, shall we say, more popular than the original, so to speak. And sometimes that's needed as well, whereas the experiential leader has actually experienced what they're broadcasting, whether that's by channeling information, whether that's by astral traveling, communicating with other entities or any other form of actually physically seeing or experiencing what they're broadcasting.

Those individuals are people, such as Yogananda, for instance, and initially, I think Neale Walsch and also initially Eckhart Tolle were the same. They experienced a profound state of beingness or a level of communication that allowed them to take information and have a level of communicative ability either via a clairsentient way or a clairaudient and clairvoyant way that allowed them to bring information in and then broadcast it in various different ways, whether it's via books or via interviews or via workshops, via lectures, those sorts of things.

So you've got the three different types of leaders in terms of the way they deliver information: qualititative, quantitative and sort of backroom, sort of covert. And then you've got the two types of leaders there who are in terms of the intellectual spiritual leader, which basically compiles

information from other individuals, and then you've got the experiential leader who tends to be a bit more unique in terms of their ability to get their own information from different places and broadcast in various different mediums, whether it's again books, workshops, lectures, etc.

There's another form of leader, which links into it as well, where these individuals who are like intellectual or experiential tend to find a much wider reading base or, should I say, a difference in the way in which they are accepted by individuals. The intellectual individual will be aiming towards popularity, so they end up being more of a quantitative spiritual leader or metaphysical leader, whereas the experiential leader can be both qualitative and quantitative, because they would, depending upon what they're trying to do and how they're trying to achieve it and what they're trying to broadcast, they can either be somebody who is just addressing an issue in a lighter way.

(10 min) For instance, they know what to do and how to broadcast it and they broadcast it to the masses, because it can be acceptable by the masses and can be achieved by the masses, whereas those other ones, the other experiential leaders who pick up information that is quite difficult to understand, and therefore, isn't naturally available to the masses, even though it could be available to the masses should they decide to access the information. So the experiential leader is one who would normally have a smaller following than the intellectual leader, although there are experiential leaders who potentially have a wider following, if what they're broadcasting is more easily understandable or achievable, because it's a simpler thing to broadcast, so to speak.

And there's a further function of these leaders or teachers and that is how exposure to the general public, the general spiritual/metaphysical public is taken by them. Because we have spiritual/metaphysical leaders who are just humble and are respectful of the information that they've given, whether it is by their own intellectual or research and broadcasting it in that way, or whether it's experiential by in terms of their own experience, so to speak. Those individuals are, shall I say, they're genuine, because they don't seek fame and fortune, so to speak, through spiritual means or metaphysical means, but they work in a way, which is accepting that they are put on a pedestal sometimes by their followers, but they don't let it be absorbed into their ego. It doesn't go into their heads basically.

Whereas on the other side of the fence, you have people who actually use it as a medium to seek various different levels of fame and fortune, and most of what they're doing is specifically geared towards getting them in an elevated position. And then the third characteristic is when the level of exposure to being in an elevated position is corrupted. And so rather than just having it as an ego perspective, they start to be able to want to control individuals as well. And this can be guite obvious at times or it can be guite covert at times.

So individuals who are usually on the intellectual side of the gathering information, and therefore, broadcasting it, and sometimes those who are exposed to the quantitative aspect of exposure to spiritual individuals sometimes get themselves in a bit of a pickle, because they like to be on stage. They like to be, shall we say, followed by people, revered by people, put in an almost, I'm not going to say, godlike experience, because we're all individualized units of God. But basically they allow themselves to be put on a pedestal and they desire and they demand to be followed.

And you can see this sometimes in the way some of these gurus in India have allowed themselves to be corrupted, where the student-guru relationship is so close that it has been prostituted, and it allows the guru to manipulate individuals and gain, shall we say, fortune from requesting various different monies from individuals to achieve certain things. And so it can be a case of all they're doing is perpetuating their own ego rather then providing a service, and so that they're fooling and confusing people along the way as well. So there's a number of different

categories here, which we are seeing and they can intermingle as well in terms of the difference between different spiritual or metaphysical leaders.

What I would say to people is look at the individuals themselves. Are they true to themselves and are they true to the public that's around them? Now a metaphysical leader who is classified as being poor, financially poor or poor in terms of their materialistic status, doesn't necessarily mean that they are genuine. And likewise, one who is quite happy to work in a higher materialistic status, have various different things around them and, shall we say, they're blessed in terms of the amount of finances that they accrue, doesn't always mean that they're fake either.

(15 min) So you have to be very careful in terms of how you see spiritual leaders in terms of irrespective of their status, in terms of their financial and material wealth, for instance, you have to look at what they do with this stuff. Is it controlling them? Or are they just simply experiencing it? You can have a king or an individual who is worth billions, who just simply knows that it is a transient condition and is using it as a means of helping them to broadcast the information and/or helping other individuals by giving money away.

You have lots of individuals who are very, very rich, for instance, who have not let it go to their head. I mean Bill Gates is one of those individuals, who is an avid philanthropist and encourages other people to do it as well. He's not a spiritual leader, but he is somebody who understands that money is corrupting and the material wealth can be very corrupting, and so he doesn't let it corrupt himself. So that's a really sort of wonderful thing to see, whereas other individuals who are in a spiritual leader position do get corrupted by position, fortune and fame, whereas others don't.

And so just look at who's around you and see how they're handling the information, see if they're seeking fame and fortune or they're just humble to be able to do what they're doing. And they also like to maintain...sometimes some of these people also like to maintain a sort of life away from the spiritual world as well just to sort of experience, learn and evolve and be responsible for the things that they have to do whilst incarnate. And so being responsible for things that are in and around you in terms of relationships, in terms of making sure that there's...you know, the bills are paid for, for instance, isn't overtly materialistic, it's being responsible for who and what you are in this world and allowing yourself to still exist in this world and do the little bit of work or the major bit of work that you are doing as a spiritual leader to help others become more aware and awake and understand part of the greater reality that we're part of.

So I hope this has helped us, that it's helped the listeners in terms of understanding the different sort of spiritual or metaphysical leaders and the sort of characteristics that surround them. Clearly, there's going to be more characteristics or smaller differentials between different leaders that can be spotted and that can allow one to move forwards and understand who they can potentially, I'm going to say follow, but take information from to allow them to grow.

I remember a long time ago my healing instructor said that there was a bit of palaver, and that means a bit of aggression in a workshop that Barbara Brennan did, where people were saying, you are just taking money from us. One or two antagonistic individuals, not people in general, were saying that she was taking money from people and everything else and what's she doing with it? And she came in, I think, the next day, the story goes and she came in with some really nice expensive clothes and expensive jewelry and saying, Yes, I can afford this, but do I need it? No. Does anybody want to have this or that? But they wouldn't take it. So she brought the stuff in and she offered it up to give it away to people, but they didn't take it. And so basically, she'd won the battle there in terms of she knew she got a significant amount of material wealth as a result of what she was doing and teaching her vibrational and energy based healing. But

she also knew that she didn't need it. It was just there and she was enjoying it, but it wasn't the reason for her continuing to do the work she was doing. It was simply there.

So if you see somebody who's got material wealth but can give it away, or they're happy to sort of distribute it, or if somebody questions something that they'd give it to you without question and without remorse as well, then you know you've got the right person in terms of somebody who you can work with as a spiritual leader. Remember actually a real spiritual leader is just somebody who shares their knowledge. They're not teaching you per se, they're sharing what they know and sharing you the methods in which they can achieve what they're achieving. And hopefully, you can do it yourselves.

(20 min) Sometimes people demand a financial arrangement with some of these things, like with appointments, for instance, or workshops, but there has to be some level of understanding and some level of, shall we say, commitment from people to give it worth. And this is something else that we talk about. A lot of individuals say that spiritual people should give things away for free, but that's okay, but then it gets abused and then the worth of what is being given then is lost. And so we have to be very careful how we treat it, so we have to be true to ourselves and true to the principle of what we're achieving and how it's moving forwards.

And I understand this, because although I give quite a lot of things away, you know, in terms of the books or readings or healings or even actually the workshops over the years, but you can tell who's trying it on and you can tell who is true and I can always spot that. But at the end of the day, you have to work at this. And a good spiritual leader again isn't a spiritual leader so much as a spiritual sharer. And think of it in those sorts of terms. Okay, well, I hope that's filled in that particular question. In fact, I'm going to tell you the next Satsanga. I'm going to address a question that came out of a recent appointment, believe it or not, and that comment is: How to have an efficient incarnation? So that's what the next (December) Satsanga's lecture is going to be about: How to have an efficient incarnation? And actually, it's really simple, but it is very difficult to achieve.

Okay, let's have a look at these questions that all these wonderful people have sent in. And again what I've tried to do is to with the individuals who have sent in the questions, I've tried to take out chunks of each of them and spread them out over November, December and January. So this is from US, the wonderful lady who does all the transcriptions for us and thank you very much for doing that wonderful work. It makes a big difference to people who actually maybe deaf, for instance, who want to understand the information that's being broadcast. And also it's good for people who can print it off and give it to people who don't have computer access or internet access. So it's a wonderful service to share these transcriptions around. Right, moving on to the questions then, because there's quite a lot of questions here and some of them are very deep and some of them are nested as well.

Part 2. Questions and Answers

1. In the beginning of "The Curators," I was surprised and frankly put off by the tone and words of our Source Entity, who was being very "snippy" to put it politely, calling you "Mr. Om" (page 50) and giving you such a hard time ("By now you should have worked out..." on page 74) that you finally said to SE1: "Give me some latitude here please." On the next page, SE1 apologized for its behavior. (US)

Yeah, well, sometimes it's...I suppose it's frustration that I sometimes ask the same question two or three times and that's because I want to make sure I understand it. So the comments down here are...

 What was the point of that exchange? Was it to show us all that even SE1 has to apologize sometimes — in this case for being so critical of your line of questioning? Really? Even after you've written six highly expansive books already?

Well, yeah, I mean it's really a case of sometimes any entity will have a level of understanding and a level of frustration irrespective of frequency. But sometimes it needs to be broadcast in a way, which is understandable on a lower frequency. And so Source Entity One in that condition was telling me to just realize how much I know and not be, what's the word for it, not overly question what I know. Because sometimes I can as an engineer, you know, we try to sort of analyze and understand things right down to the point of — and a scientist would understand this — right down to the point of being absolutely 130% certain that what we're experiencing is right. And so I do tend to do that and so really it's saying, You know this — why do you need to ask the question again?

It's a bit like riding a push bike and then asking your parents: Can I ride this push bike? And they look at you strange and say, Well, you've just done a marathon. You've just ridden a hundred miles. Of course, you can ride a push bike. It's a little bit like that, so it's really sort of giving me a bit of a kick or a nudge to say that you don't need to ask these questions like this, because you understand it. But it was making or sort of moving me out of a certain level of sort of uncertain thinking process really. That was the reason for that. The next part of it is...

 That didn't sound like a Source Entity level exchange in my view. By the way, the same snippy Source Entity reared its head in "The History of God" a couple times, which made me wonder who you were channeling at the time. Please explain from your point of view.

(25 min) What it is, sometimes the Source Entity has to — and we all have to — change the way in which we work with people to make sure we work on their level. And if you try to engage with somebody who hasn't got a degree in astrophysics in a way that somebody who's only got a PhD in astrophysics would understand, trying to converse in that way doesn't work. So we have to change the way in which we, and the Source Entity has to as well and so with the Origin, has to change the way it communicates with us in a way, which is understandable by us or acceptable by us.

And so sometimes giving us a nudge in the right direction has to be done in a, I wouldn't say, aggressive way, but in a way which is saying, Come on, wake up! You understand this. So it's a bit like taking me out of my own sort of reveries or sometimes slightly downward spiral, where I'm not going into disbelief in what I'm picking up, but I'm questioning it to the point, where I need to move out of that spiral and go back up again. So that's the reason for it really. It's the Source dealing with me in ways in which it has to, because I'm being a human being at the moment. And of course, don't forget right now we're going through a lower frequency situation, so even I am affected by the low frequencies. And sometimes these lower frequencies do have an effect on the way we think, behave and act at times. So that's the reason for that, but a good question and it needed to be answered as well. Fantastic, thank you.

- 2. Your newest book, "The Curators" is another great contribution to our understanding of the greater reality. (Thank you.) BUT it left me totally confused about the term "angels," for example, the entities we call Archangel Gabriel, Michael, etc. that many people channel for guidance. (US)
- What type of entities are these archangels then? Are they maintenance entities (as you told us before) or is it a "mixed bag" of guides and helpers and curators or even primary incarnations of souls that are in secondary incarnations in the human form?

- Are they True Energetic Selves (TES) or aspects of TES or can they be both, depending on their job? Are the Curators all TES level entities (e.g. Beginners, Enders, Beleaguerers, Attractionists, etc.)?
- Do they exist at all levels of the multiverse (as you told us before), depending on how far along the evolutionary TES and their aspects have ascended at a particular point in the cycle?

Well, basically they are maintenance engineers or entities basically and that's what they're there for. But we do refer to our guide and helpers as "angels" as well. And sometimes our guide and helpers may refer to themselves as being a higher force that we recognize from a religious aspect anyway to again make us sit up and take notice. This actually goes back to the first question again about why did the Source Entity sort of reprimand me sometimes.

Well, sometimes we need to be encouraged as well, and sometimes the encouragement is based upon giving us something that some part of us relates to. And this is based upon sometimes we relate to old teachings, where the old level of information and understanding was basically broadcast in a way that made us sit up and take notice. So the idea of angels, you know, winged human individuals is sometimes attractive to us, when we know that really they're energetic entities and what we would classify as wings would be oscillating energy.

So we have to sort of...and the vision of a human being would be again based upon an interpretation or a translation of what we're experiencing and seeing vs. what we've seen before or experienced before. So in essence, we can call our guardian angel our main guide. We can also call some of these other entities, like the Archangel Michael, for instance, or Gabriel or Metatron, etc. basically as being entities, who are looking after the Earth from the evolutionary perspective and making sure that we're working in the right way, or should we say, trying to put us back on track most of the time.

(30 min) So they are maintenance entities and sometimes individuals do get in contact with them. But they are definitely not humanoid, although they are presented to us in a humanoid condition. They tend to be, depending upon how we access them, they are either individualized or temporarily individualized or smaller projections or aspects of a True Energetic Self. But they tend to be at TES level, because of the work they've got to do. For those of you who have read "The Curators," you'll start to realize that a lot of the work that's happening is happening not only on a multiversal scale, but it's also on a parallel universal scale as well, and in different realities within the different parallel conditions that are created by the event spaces, which are not just sort of localized or galactic-sized but also universal-sized and can also be multiversal-sized as well.

So they have to be able to work on all these different levels concurrently. So they can and do exist on all multiversal levels, but they can also focus themselves on one particular level, depending upon what they want to do and how they've achieved it. And actually, although they're not specifically in the evolutionary cycle themselves, they do gain evolutionary progression as a function of — well, certainly our guide and helpers do. I mean the guides aren't in the evolutionary cycle, but they gain evolution from us. We give them evolutionary content for helping us basically, so they gain evolutionary progression as a result of working with us and for us.

Whereas the maintenance entities don't specifically get evolutionary progression, but they do as a function of what everybody else does, who are in the evolutionary cycle. So they don't seek it and they don't gain it sort of directly, as we do in the evolutionary cycle. Or even pseudo-directly, as our guide and helpers do through us giving them some of our evolutionary progression as a function of them working with us. They sort of gain it through the natural progression of everything and everyone from a holistic perspective rather than just an individualized

perspective. Okay, very good questions though. Thank you. The rest of those questions that came for me, by the way, I shall deal with in one of the next Satsangas. I think that's probably the December Satsanga, I'll answer the second part of these questions [from US]. Great questions, thank you very much.

And don't worry about me being told off. Sometimes we need to push, which is good. And at the end of the day, you know, we have to understand that we're being helped, and sometimes we have to have a good kick up the back side to make sure that we're moving in some directions. Sometimes, as Barbara Brennan once said, sometimes a heart attack for men is a good way of making us change direction. So that's, you know, you have to think of these things sometimes. Sometimes an illness is a way of changing us and we would consider that to be a very difficult or a very direct and probably not desirable way of being moved from one way to another way.

Okay, the next question's from MO and MO is another wonderful individual, who's helping to translate the books into Japanese and is a very deep questioning individual, I can tell you. But these questions are quite...actually, they can be taken in all sorts of different ways, so I'll read them out and I'll let you work on the questions and what I'm answering, because some of them are quite personal, I think, in terms of what this individual is doing herself.

3. Thank you very much for answering my questions in last Satsanga. (It's a pleasure). I am glad that we are able to help nature by using visualization techniques and sending love. I have further question on this topic. You said, "You're sucking up with a vacuum cleaner all that pollution that's around, whether it's pollution through manmade pollution or the normal pollution that happens in the atmosphere..." This might be a foolish question, but what should we do with the pollution that we collected? Are there techniques that we can use to clean this pollution or recycle them properly? (MO)

(35 min) Well, by pollution, which could be low frequency energy by the way, simply if you're visualizing hoovering it up, like for instance, taking all the energy or low frequency debris, so to speak, from in-between the gaps in-between the individual vortices in a chakra, simply visualize a recycling bin and that recycling bin is part of Source. And then what you're doing is you're taking energy from one place and putting it in another. So you're taking energy from a static environment and then giving it back to Source, so it can be converted back into a more dynamic environment and be reused again.

It's a bit like having plastic packaging, for instance. It's done its job and then you're taking it back to be recycled and it will be reconverted back into a basic plastic substance or regrind and then it goes back into being reused to create something else. It might be more packaging. It might be a plastic part in a car, for instance. So we have to think about, you know, look at it in these things. Think about whatever you're doing, think of it going in a recycling bin and that recycling bin is Source, and Source is going to use that energy to do something else. Good. The next question is rather embarrassing for me. It says...

4. How do you manage to provide such wonderful meditations every month for us? You are hosting meditations for hundreds upon hundreds of people (which you've never met!) at various frequencies and conditions. This is a HUGE task! If may I ask, how do you prepare them? And what do you normally do afterward? It must take a lot of effort to do do so behind the scene. Thank you very much for your unconditional love. (MO)

Thank you. Well, actually, I get it given to me. I sit after every lecture, for instance, and every set of questions and then the end of meet meditation, I sit and I meditate on what I've given and I ask to be given what the next subject is, both from the lecture and from the end of meet meditation as well and I'm given it. So I don't create it, I don't invent it. I don't think of it, I don't

work it out. I don't try to relate it to what I've done before. Source simply says, You should work on this. And that's what I give.

And in terms of the questions, the answers to the questions are mostly channeled information. Or if the questions are based upon a subject that I know already and I can remember it, because you could imagine the amount of information I pick up, it's difficult to remember it all, then I can answer it straightaway. But usually the information is channeled through. So although it seems like I'm just answering the question, the information is actually coming into me from different directions. And hopefully, I'm able to answer the questions in a way, which is meaningful to the questioner. Okay, so basically at the end of all of these things, I sit down and meditate for some time, just to sort of absorb what's come through me and what needs to be dealt with in the next Satsanga. Good question, thank you.

5. At the end of last Satsanga, you cautioned us about entities that "have some fun with you." How do you mean by that? Why do they do so? And how can we distinguish such entities and avoid them? (MO)

Well, basically I was talking about entities. If people are really overly enthusiastic about connecting with other entities, for instance, and they're enthusiastic to the point of indiscretion, then astral entities can come in to communicate with us and try to persuade us that we are talking with another entity that is disincarnate, but is within the evolutionary cycle. Or even we can find other entities, which are incarnate but higher frequency that want to talk to us. So we have to be very careful in how we receive things. My response is to be guarded in how you receive information, how you broadcast your desire to enter into communication with other entities.

I've known a number of individuals, I've known a lot of individuals actually over the years, who have desired to be in communication or experience communication with other entities, whether they're higher frequency incarnate entities or whether they are energetic entities, for instance, to the point where they don't care about where it comes from, or they don't check where it comes from, or they are just so open that anything and anybody can come through. And what happens then is they can be controlled and coerced and manipulated. And this is quite sad, because then they may have what is classified as being a poor spiritual experience. And they have a lot of difficulty in terms of understanding whether they can actually receive information again and trust it and trust themselves.

(40 min) So what we have to look for is: Are we being buttered up? And by that I mean, are they just giving us answers to the questions we want? Are they particularly working with our desires and giving us what we want or what we feel we want rather than information, which is not specifically what we want, but is true? Are they using sentences, which we wouldn't do ourselves, so that this is a way of thinking that you are not talking to yourself basically, because we're all good at that. So if you can look at the way sentences are constructed and the words that are used, then you can realize that some of these sentences and the words they use aren't something that you would do, then you can start to realize that in essence, it is another entity.

But then you have to work out and find out whether they're just coercing you by telling you what you know or what you want to know rather than what you don't want to know. So it's very difficult. You have to protect yourself first. You can use a psychic shield of any form really, as long as you really know it's going to work, not just believe but know it's going to work. Or you can create the one that I've got on the internet and you can program it. And again you should know it's going to work rather than believe it's going to work.

Or just simply ask questions that would potentially trip up this entity. And if it's real and sincere, you'll know, because the entity won't...it's a bit like asking psychological questions to find out

your IQ. You ask the same questions in a number of different ways. And so if you can ask the same questions in a number of different ways, in ways that aren't, shall we say, traceable, so to speak, or linked together, and if you can get the same answers, then you know that the entity you're working with is bona fide and he's okay to work with. Okay, but always protect yourself. Always keep your own counsel. Always maintain your energy. Don't give yourself away to anything and anyone. That's most important.

6. Why stone circles in the world are not made with clear quartz crystals? Most of them seem to be using dark stones. It might be more powerful if we built it with quartz crystals? Are they not? (MO)

Well, many of the megaliths and monoliths around the world do have what I would call a mineral rather than a crystal attitude to them. So Stonehenge and Avebury and a bunch of other different places around the world have got what we would classify as being a mineral based stone rather than a crystal sort of based, sort of state of beingness. So crystals and stones are different. Although crystals can be classified as being stones, because they're a mineral, they're not specifically the same type of mineral as a quartz crystal would be. So I would like to demarc between quartz being a crystal and the other stone megaliths being stones, because they are more of a mineral, so to speak, more mineralized.

And clearly, quartz crystals and other things, like rubies, like emeralds, like diamonds, they are purer as well. And that's because of the temperature at which they were created and the force that created them. But also some of the stones, because they're minerals and they're darker and they're not so pure, they're more broadband, whereas quartz would be more narrowband. So when you think about it in terms of a stone or a megalith or a monolith that's there to gather energy, you'd particularly want it to gather everything it can do. And then you can hone that energy down into being for one particular function vs. another different function. It's best to get everything and then separate it out than it is to get nothing.

For instance, when we harvest the air and we get things like helium, nitrogen, oxygen and other things, like neon, for instance, we have to take air first and then separate it all out. Well, think of it in terms of that's the sort of way. Think of it in terms of these big megaliths and monoliths being a dark stone, it pulls everything in first and that would allow those individuals, who can work with those stones to then extract the various different energies and frequencies and use them in the way that they would want to use them rather than having to specifically focus on one particular energy.

(45 min) Because if you're accessing all energies and all frequencies, and you can do it for one particular location or one point, then you've got a particularly efficient way of doing it. Whereas if you're having to get lots of different things dotted around to harvest this energy at that frequency and that frequency of a different energy, for instance, then you have lots and lots and lots of different things going around. Whereas if you've got one that is very broadband, you can get everything from it and then you hive it off and synthesize it, so to speak, into what particular frequency or energy you want. Rather than having lots and lots of different receivers, you've got one big receiver. Okay, good questions, they're excellent. The next one's from EM. There's a couple of questions here.

7. Is it possible for an aspect to draw out negative entities from another aspect and then send them into the light? If so, what would this be called, and does it harm the aspect who takes the entity out? (EM)

Yeah, that's called entity removal basically. Healers can do it. Basically, they're removing I wouldn't say negative entities but lower frequency astral entities is one way of saying it. Sometimes there's other entities, who have walked-in to a body as well. But they can be

removed and they are either sent back to their True Energetic Self, or if they're an astral entity, they are recycled. You can send them back to Source and it will recycle the energy. And so really that's entity removal basically and it's quite a common thing for healers to do. But it can be...we say it's quite a common thing, but it just takes skill, it takes training as well. So you can't just do it unless you've had the instruction to do it from a competent healer by the way, because they can jump from the patient to the healer. If the healer isn't competent, then the healer can't move it on to Source or into the light, so to speak.

- 8. Can you explain whether or not the popular therapy known as "Systemic Family Constellations" developed by German Psychotherapist Bert Hellinger are authentic, and do they do as they claim? Constellations, as they are often referred to, are said to resolve transgenerational family issues on an energetic level. (EM)
- If they are not effective, what are the dynamics that are at play, which enable the participant to channel or perceive an energy? Which level entities do the participants connect to, and does it really heal and order the family's genealogical tree?

The genetic side of linking between incarnate entities is nothing to do with that which is brought from one incarnation to another. So a geneaological tree is completely separate from an energetic link or series of links between entities who worked together or interacted in some way, shape or form and have a basic karmic link together with them. So although it seems to be that family constellations isn't actually doing what it's supposed to be doing, I'm picking up it would provide a form of focus that most people can work with, if they can't make the next step of and just say, I'm just going to deal with an energetic link, a karmic link or other between themselves in a previous incarnation or others that they're working with now in previous incarnations.

So it's a bit like having a tarot card or a crystal. Basically, they are a focus, it's a focal point. And the same with EFT, emotional freedom technic, it's a focus, it's a physical focus. So some of these methodologies that allow us to resolve past life issues with aspects or souls that have incarnated with us again, either within the family line or as friendships, for instance, or call it work colleagues, is generally only dealt with energetically. But if we can't relate to the energetics, but we can relate to a genetic thing, then the use of this system provides a focus for us. And although we think we're dealing with it on the genetic level or genealogical level, we're actually dealing with it on the energy level, because we're using it as a stepping stone. We're using it as a prop, as a physical focus. So if it works for people, because that's the level that they can work with, then fine, it works.

But my thought processes are that a lot of these things that use physical focal points are there, because people haven't gone to the next level, and they can deal with them specifically through an energetic means or meditational means or energetic or vibrational means, for instance, to heal things, or psycho-spiritual means is another way of saying it.

(50 min) So it'll work, if people feel and totally believe to the point of experiential understanding that in working with this particular methodology, it is going to sever a link between a family member or a friendship member, then it works. You know, if they feel that going down this road is going to work, it's going to work. But at the end of the day, there is no link energetically between an aspect and another aspect through a genetic or genealogical line. That does not exist. If it's used as a means of focus, and it focuses people to say, Oh, I can sever the link between my grandmother and me, for instance, by a method of genealogy and the use of this particular methodology or modality to do it and it works, fine.

But at the end of the day, it's not necessary. It's just an energetic thing or a karmic link generally. It's usually a link of some sort, an energy link of some sort that we create between ourselves and other individuals, or it's a karmic link between ourselves and other individuals, depending

on what we've achieved or done in previous incarnations, or in this incarnation, for instance, or in different realities or parallel conditions or event spaces. And also just pay attention to this, psychotherapy is a very...I'm not going to use the word inaccurate...it's not an absolute science, because we're trying to place things in physical terms that are basically energetic. And so we need to understand that the link with the physical is the limiting factor. Once you move away from the need to link to the physical, then we start to lose our limitation and we start to deal with things properly. Okay, so to answer it very quickly, if it helps and it achieves it, then it's a useful focus. But you can do things much more efficiently by dealing directly with the energetic. Okay, well, I hope that answered that particular question very quickly.

And we're going through these questions...well, we're not too far away. We've got a few questions to go through now, which is good. The next question is from JM. If MO is one side of the world, JM is the other side of the world, diametrically opposite nearly. Okay.

9. I speak to our SE and to the Big Oh (my term) regularly and I know that they hear me. As I am making my way through the "Beyond the Source" (and other) books, I find myself wanting to communicate with other Source Entities, even including SE 13 and beyond from "The Origin Speaks," expressing gratitude for sharing themselves with you in a way that you could document for our edification. Since our SE is not running interference [interface] for me, as was done for you, am I just deceiving myself by imagining that I can share thoughts with them, as I "get to know them" through mindful reading of your "Beyond the Source" books? Or are they aware when I express gratitude to them? (JM)

Well, simply put the books can be a focus, as I've just described. And they can be an energetic focus and give you energetic downloads, so they can be a link as well. So the answer is we're all smaller, individualized units of our True Energetic Self (Godhead, Oversoul, Higher Self, whichever words you want to use to explain the same thing, it's all the same thing). And our True Energetic Selves are also smaller individualized units of Source, and Source is a smaller, individualized unit of Origin. And so therefore, we're all the same thing. So to express concern as to whether or not one is communicating with a higher part of oneself or a higher level of sentience is probably the ego coming in and trying to keep you down in terms of the lower frequencies.

(55 min) So my response is be discerning definitely, and that's the right thing to do, but know that you are communicating with these entities, because they are simply higher functions of what we are, because we're part of them. Okay, so yes, and sometimes the books do act as a focus and they do act as an energy download as well. And I know a number of individuals have experienced this and it's wonderful for them to express the fact that they have experienced various different downloads as well. So I'm really pleased when they do. But yeah, the books are also a focus. Okay, next question...

10. Says SE 2: "Your own Source Entity has allowed your universe to have a certain level of awareness." So how aware is our universe? Is it aware of the Origin, other universes, galaxies, stars, planets, moons, comets and asteroids, beings on planet Earth, ants, viruses, etc.? Is our universe aware of me personally? Can we communicate with our universe the way we communicate with our SE? (JM)

And the answer is yes, the universe again is a part of the multiverse. And although it's constructed in a rather different way to the rest of the universes within the multiversal environment, it is still part of the structure of Source, and it is still therefore part of the structure of the Origin. And it has a level of sentience associated with it. So you hear spiritual people sometimes saying, we'll leave it up to the universe or the universe will decide. Well, that's a rather automatic way of saying that they know inherently, subconsciously that the universe is part of Source or part of Origin.

So my response is yes, communicate with it. We can all communicate with a universe, and although it will be aware of all of the aspects of sentience that are within it and working with it, if one of you decides to communicate with it and, shall we say, make a point of asking it questions, it will respond. Normally, it won't respond, because we don't ask questions.

Nothing can respond to a question, when it's not asked. You know, we have to ask questions. We have to engage. We have to sort of get in there and do something before we'll get responses back. If we don't ask questions, we won't get anything. So we need to ask the questions, or if we don't interact, we won't get any interaction back. So yeah, carry on, go for it. That's a really good question actually, yes, everything is aware of everything else, specifically when that something else engages in communication with it. Right, the next question is...

11. SE 3 told you: "In real terms I have just started the work that I wanted to do." A start implies an end, a state defined by each SE, I assume. Is each SE working towards a defined end point, whereas the Origin has none? (JM)

Each Source Entity is working towards the answer to a question basically or a project or a level of experience. And in our Source Entity's case, that's what we're here to help our SE to do, to understand itself more, to understand what can be done with the environment that it created or separated out to support smaller versions of itself to experience, learn and evolve through working with, manipulating with every aspect of that which it is, and interacting with those and other smaller individualized units of sentience that are also there as well.

So the end point is when a particular question or a particular desire to interact with the multiverse has been finished basically. And there's no more evolutionary progression that can be gained from it. That's when the end point is. And that's why every Source Entity has got, whenever they're finished, they will have gained the maximum evolutionary progression for what they were doing. That's the finish point, when there's no more diversification of experience that would create additional evolutionary progression. And so when that point has been achieved and that evolutionary level has been finalized, then we move on to the next evolutionary progression. And whatever our Source Entity does next time is probably going to be answered in the "Beyond the Origin" I would like to think.

- 12. In getting you ready to communicate with SE 4, SE 1 told you: "In essence, you need to be normalized. The process of normalization would normally take a few weeks to achieve, if we were starting from scratch, but as you already have a residual level of higher and differing frequency, we can make the jump to the level and type of frequency required in one go. This is something that will be commonplace with you, as you start to work with the other Source Entities, for the more you are in contact with them, the easier you will find the communication."
- Are you fully normalized now, to where it is "commonplace" for you to communicate easily with SE's 2 through 12 and beyond? Is this a state reserved to you alone or can others realistically expect to achieve it? (JM)

(1 hr) Well, to be honest with you, I haven't really communicated with SE2 up to SE12 or even SE13, for instance, which is the last one since the "Beyond the Source" books. So I'm just going to have a quick....they're all...yes, they're still there without a doubt. If you can imagine yourself walking into a room and people come from behind the sofa and say, Surprise! And it's almost blowing you off your feet, that's what I've just experienced. Yes, I can communicate with them straightaway, and it's the same with the Origin, of course, because obviously, these things are part of Origin as well. They're smaller individualized units of Origin sentience as well. So yes, and I wouldn't call it "normalized" now, I'd call it connected and connected at all times. Yeah,

they're saying they're waiting for me to communicate with them with the "Beyond the Origin" book. Okay, so not now. So let's say the answer is yes.

And is it reserved just for me or?

I'm being told the capacity to communicate with any Source Entity is there for the taking. Communication is not an issue, positioning the self beyond the energies and the frequencies associated with a particular Source Entity within which one is, i.e. as an individualized unit of sentient energy, is different. So although we are able to communicate with a different Source Entity, or should be able to communicate with any Source Entity, we are limited to moving, depending upon which particular Source Entity we're part of.

So if we're part of SE2, this is hypothetically speaking, because nobody within other than a few masters, one or two masters from different Source Entities generally... Let's make it simple, if we're from SE1, which we mostly are, the vast majority of us are, then we are able to communicate with any of the other Source Entities provided we dedicate ourselves to doing it, but we can only stay within the energy of SE1, because we actually are a smaller part of SE1.

Does that make sense? So we need to be able to know that we can communicate with anything and everything, but in terms of moving our sentience, we are stuck within the confines of that which we are, smaller individualized units of SE1. Okay, I hope that explains that particular question. Okay, there's four more questions from FN, then we can move into the meditation. So we're moving forwards as well, we've gone through an hour, which is quite fast actually considering all these questions.

13. Since Architects can manipulate and modify multiverse and universes, then it makes sense that our earth can never be destroyed or contaminated, because Architects can manipulate and reverse or prevent cause and effect of changes happening by directing the cause to be a cause of something different all together. It seems that mankind's fear of destroying earth is not accurate. What are your thoughts on that? Is this illusion, i.e. human destroying earth is a part of structural point of commonality theme interlinking the multiverse between incarnate entities? Please elaborate. (FN)

This is obviously from "The Curators" book. Okay, let's have a look. I'll need to ask this question myself. Okay, the use of the possibility of destroying the earth is a self-imposed controlling factor to stop us doing things energetically we shouldn't be doing.

(1:05) And some of these devices that we use do have an energetic effect on the earth. It can affect some of the different entities that are incarnate at a different frequency associated with the earth. Don't forget that the earth is a panfrequential body. It exists on the first three frequencies (FB 1-3), what we call the gross physical. And it also exists on the other nine frequencies, so 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th and 12th as well (FB 4-12) in a, shall we say, compartmentalized way, because you have to be on those frequencies above to be able to experience the frequencies that you're on and those below. So you can't experience the frequency above you, if you're on the frequency below it.

So in essence, it's a self-imposed controlling factor. It is impossible for us on this particular level to affect totally that part of the earth, which is on the other frequency levels. So we could never destroy the earth, because we'd only affect that which is on this particular level. Although there would be some, shall we say, bow wave effect going onto the fourth level from what did in the third level, if we produced something which was of a significant energetic force that enabled or that provided a connection between the highest point of the third frequency level to the lowest point of the fourth frequency level. Okay, I hope that explains it.

We are not at the technology [level] that that would happen right now, even with atomic bombs and neutron bombs and all those other different pieces and particle accelerators of any sort, size, shape or force. We just don't have it. And actually when we get to the point where we do or would have it, we'd only be limited in terms of how we'd affect the next level up. And at that point, we'd be more mature anyway and we wouldn't want to, because we wouldn't be allowed to have that level of technology in an immature way. It's a bit like giving a baby the match to a fuse of a bomb. None of the guides and helpers would participate in such stupidity basically. No, we wouldn't be able to go that far. And we aren't. And we haven't in the past either.

14. Following 1st question, in "The Curators" you speak of isolating and separating or removing event space during WWII, because of the use of an atomic bomb. And when aspects started destroying every city, they were isolated. So it appears that another earth was duplicated and aspects were left to deal with the aftermath of the atomic bomb. This may suggest that our concern about the earth, environment may be misplaced, since Source, and its Enders and Beginners are able to isolate events that lead to destruction. Perhaps there is no need to deal with any damages to our environment, since everything seems to run as intended and we are not in total control to destroy the earth. Is that a correct assessment? (FN)

Here we go, that sort of feeds into what I've just said. We aren't in total control to destroy the earth. We're only allowed to have our individualized free will to achieve what we need to achieve or experience certain experiences. In terms of destroying our environment, the level of destruction, we'd only be allowed to get to a certain level, because it then educates us. As we destroy our environment, we destroy ourselves, and inherently, we don't want to destroy ourselves. Even the ego doesn't want us to destroy ourselves, because if we destroy ourselves and the physical form doesn't exist, the ego doesn't exist. So the ego is another controlling factor as well. So the ego is used by some of these maintenance entities to also impose a form of self-controlling means, so that we do behave ourselves, so to speak.

But there are times, when certain aspects are taken out of this particular event space and placed somewhere else to play it out and brought back again. And there are a number of no doubt good examples through history, where something strange has happened that shouldn't have happened, where something that was going to happen suddenly didn't. Okay, let's think about that. I'll let you all think about that one. Have a good look through history and find out that something was about to happen and suddenly it didn't. Okay.

- 15. What does this mean "The lower the number of Architects the more supportable the environment is—as is its ease of use [see later—GSN]." (FN)
- (1:10) Let me look at some...because obviously, this book now is quite a long way away now, because I've just finished the 8th book, which is a healing book. I need to ask the question to get a channeled response. Yeah, basically what it's saying is that it's a sort of a function, that if I invert it and say: The more self-supporting the environment is and the more easier the environment is to use, then the number of Architects required to support it are reduced. That's the best way to say it. It's sort of back to front wording really. That's what happens sometimes with me as well. So it's a way of saying really the more self-sustaining an environment is, the lower the number of Architects that are required to support it. Think of it that way.
- 16. The book refers to Orchestrators as Beings but later in calls them General Maintenance Entities. Since there is a difference between an entity and a being, could you clarify this? Which Curator creates these Orchestrating General Maintenance Entities? (FN)

The Orchestrators, I'd classify it as a typo basically, because most, in fact, all of the maintenance entities, the Curators are entities. There is a possibility that some entity...it's difficult to distinguish between entities and beings and call them what they are.

A **being** is that which has been created through sort of Darwinian evolution of the energy by the way. You know, similar or same energies subconsciously or all about grouping together and getting bigger and bigger and bigger, which creates a level of natural gravitation, which creates a level of semi-intelligent desire to gravitate towards another energy to an intelligent desire to sort of recognition of desire to recognition of self to, you know, etc. etc. etc. to self-awareness, consciousness, creativity, modification of creativity, and then the desire to create and modify and then recreate. That creates a being, whereas an **entity** is that which has been created by another being or another entity by the isolation of or individualization of sentience and energy, for instance.

So sometimes I may call an entity a being, when it should really be an entity, or a being an entity, which should really be a being. So that may be just a typo. But my description of an entity vs. a being is what it is. Entities are created by another being or entity. A being is created through Darwinian evolution, so to speak. Okay, so that's worth looking at, that the terms sometimes or the words are mixed up. But I'm hoping the readers will get the gist. I don't suppose there's many of these things, but it would be interesting to find out. I know that there's been a couple of comments from some readers on one particular location within "The Curators" that where the words have got mixed up. And I've already asked the publisher Ozark to try and change that in the next publication, the next print. Okay, so that's all the questions asked. Thank you very much, and very deep, searching questions. I'm really grateful for them.

Part 3. Meditation

(1:14) But now let's do a meditation to resist the downward trend in the frequencies. This I think is actually quite timely, because right now we are experiencing quite a rapid degeneration and a desired degeneration at times. I mean people are almost sort of hugging the opportunity of doing naughty things or thinking bad thoughts or having low frequency thoughts, behaviors and actions and getting away with it, so to speak, because people are ignoring the law and thinking they're above the law.

So this is needed, so people like ourselves, who are working for the greater good in the way that we can, okay, everybody is doing it in their own individualized way shouldn't get despondent but should know that what they're doing is putting the brakes on. And that's the most important thing to think, okay.

Please download the high quality MP3 File to do the guided meditation with Guy Steven Needler via his website or blog (meditation starts around 1:14:45).

(1:31) Closing comments: Okay, that's the end of this Satsanga today. We've done an hour and a half, which is quite a long time. Good and so the next Satsanga will be at the end of December in between Christmas Day and New Years Day. I'm just having a look to see what day that will actually be. It's the 28th of December, okay. And the lecture for that will be "How to have an efficient incarnation?" Okay, and that's based upon a question I got from somebody during their consultations with me. It's a very good question and I knew straightaway we need to deal with it as a meditation. Okay, so looking forward to meeting up with you energetically again in December. Thank you very much for listening to this Satsanga and participating and sharing it with others. Please share it with others. And I wish you all namaste and blessings and love to you all and look forward to seeing you, hearing you, feeling you in December. Namaste." END

NOTE: I have prepared a searchable pdf document with ALL World Satsanga Lectures, Questions and Answers collated from 2016-2019 to make it easier for us to see what questions have already been asked in previous Satsangas and what answers were given at the time. This will allow us to ask NEW questions or ask similar questions from a different angle after reviewing what we already know. This document is over 600 pages, so don't try to print it out.

You can go to Needler's website (www.beyondthesource.org) to find the document at this URL:

www.beyondthesource.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/All-World-Stasanga-Transcripts-2016-2019.pdf.

OR simply click on "All Transcriptions" here to go there.