February 23, 2019 - Pre-Recorded World Satsanga for The Kevin Moore Show

Transcript of Pre-Recorded World Satsanga by Guy Steven Needler:

"Well, welcome to everybody to this World Satsanga held on the 23rd of February 2019 in conjunction with Kevin Moore and <u>The Moore Show</u>. And again I thank Kevin for the work he's doing in broadcasting the World Satsangas on his own YouTube channel, The Moore Show and Moore Talk and also adding into it the graphics that accompany those particular audios as well, so thank you, Kevin.

Well, this particular World Satsanga is being broadcast from India. I'm actually in Mumbai at the moment, so namaste to you all from India — and it's part of three locations, where I'm holding the "Traversing The Frequencies" Workshops and personal consultations in Mumbai, of course, where I am now and Pune and Hyderabad. So this is a very rare occurrence, where I actually broadcast the World Satsangas in different locations. And it's quite appropriate that it's in India this time.

Okay, so we've got quite a lot to do today. There is a talk on sentience, specifically "Can robots be sentient and can they house a soul?" And then I've got quite a lot of questions from different listeners, which I'm going to go through. In fact, the questions this time — there were so many questions, I'm having to hold them up or hold half of them up for March's Satsanga. And I may well have quite a few over for April's as well, so lots of questions. I've actually tried to look at the ones who submitted them first and use those for the questions and answer session this month. And the ones who came in after not so much the deadline, but the amount of content I could cope with in one particular Satsanga over to March. And then we've got a meditation towards the end, which is enabling us to feel our connection with nature.

Part 1. Lecture on "Can Robots be Sentient? Can they House a Soul?"

So a big question here: Can robots be sentient and can they house a soul? And I would guess that this is going to be quite a...I would say, difficult one to answer, because I've looked at the question obviously before I decided to use it as a subject matter within the lecture associated with the World Satsanga. But if you think about robots themselves and what they are, I mean robots are basically a vehicle that is animated through a complicated computer program. And that complicated computer program is housed within a computer.

Now the computer itself is in the case of a robot — what I mean by "robot," I mean a robot that is animated and looks like or is trying to look like a humanoid type of form are really doing just that. They are allowing the opportunity to place some form of command and control in a body that is for all intents and purposes mechanical. But if you look at that in comparison to the human incarnate vehicle and even any other incarnate vehicles around the rest of the physical universe and the different frequencies associated with it, it's reasonable to assume that although we think of the human form and others as being special, in real terms all they really are is a biological robot.

And because without the soul associated with them, without the aspect that is, the sentience, which is attached to the human vehicle in the area of the soul seat, which is behind the heart chakra, or should I say, behind the origin of the heart chakra, the front heart chakra, therefore, in between the front and rear aspects of the heart chakra, and the energies associated with that sentience coalesce in the area of the tan tien, where the energy is distributed, then you could argue that really without the soul the human body is just a biological robot.

So the thing that allows the human form to be used as a vehicle for a soul is simply how it's constructed and the energies within which it is using to allow that animation to take place by the distribution of energies, whether it's physical energy, such as that created by the metabolic process through us ingesting food and drinking liquids and/or whether it's the energies brought onboard by the use of the chakras. And so the definition between a mechanical robot and a biological robot is what energy structure is being used to house the soul and the energies associated with the soul and the ability for that particular vehicle to be connected to a number of different frequencies above the gross physical.

(5 min) So the human body is quite a complicated piece of equipment in real terms, and if we break it down, we've got the three upper series of frequencies that are used as a step-down function to allow the aspect or soul that desires to incarnate to come down from quite a large bandwidth of energy and communication it aligns [with] down into a small tube, if you like, which is the Hara line into the human body via those first three frequencies. And then the spirituo-physical frequencies, which are those that exist in the 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th frequencies with the gross physical — that being that which we can see with our gross physical eyes existing on the 1st, 2nd and 3rd frequencies.

So in the event that we could create a mechanical version of the human form in the detail required to support a soul — that being that there was the capability of attaching to that mechanical vehicle the energies associated with the maintenance of the soul and its associated energies whilst being in a low frequency — then the possibility would be available for a soul to incarnate into a robot body. This at the moment is impossible, because we don't have the capability to create a mechanical body that exists in anything else other than in real terms the gross physical frequencies.

So right now there is no way that a robot could be sentient or in that instance could house a soul — the soul providing the sentience. But if we did detach the word "sentience" from soul and say: Okay, right now a robot body couldn't house a soul, then we look at it from the perspective of if we were able to manufacture the energy lines, those associated with chakras and the associated connection to the Hara line that allows the soul to go through the step-down functions and move into that body by associating itself with a soul seat, which is created energetically and then the tan tien, which is created energetically to allow the animation of and the sustaining of that soul at a low frequency in a robot body, then that would work. But as I've said just, we don't have that capability. [Excuse me, I have a rather sort of sticky throat at the moment. I think it's due to the guite dusty environment where I am.]

If we consider the other side of it in terms of sentience, and then we look at the twenty steps that an energy can get to to become sentient, and the definition of sentience largely being the ability to create, analyze that creation, modify the creation and re-analyze it, and that creation has got purpose and the ability to consider that purpose for that creation, and maybe create other creations that support that first creation, then the likelihood of a robot or even a computer having that level of capability as a result of a complicated computer program is actually quite likely.

So in real terms, if we give ourselves the next ten to fifteen years, it is highly likely that a self-contained, self-perpetuating computer algorithm that is complicated enough to be able to simulate all of the synapse functions that we associate with the human body as being the human brain, but allowing the level of creativity and thought processes and self-awareness and consciousness associated with being able to interact with the environment and those others around us in a way, which donates that that particular algorithm has got sentience, then the answer is yes, a robot could become sentient — a computer could become sentient.

(10 min) But it couldn't house a soul unless it has the same level of complicated energetic structures and the chakras that bring in different frequency energy at different levels to sustain that soul and its energy structure, which is the tan tien, which the soul uses to animate the human body in a robot body, then again we're not going to be able to house a soul in a robot body.

So to answer that particular question, yes, I believe that there will be sentient artificial life forms. The level of artificialness may end up being biomechanical or totally biological from that perspective, in which case if it's biological, there may be higher levels of technology later that would allow the generation of or the creation of the energy structures required and the complexity required to house a soul and its associated energies. But that's a long, long way away.

But in general, it's quite likely that we will have a sentient and certainly conscious, self-aware and creative series of algorithms that allow an artificial intelligence to become what we would classify as sentient and be by and large indistinguishable from the ability to for us as human beings to discern whether it's in fact a human being or a machine that we're talking to.

So I think it's going to be interesting times — artificial intelligence is something that is definitely going to happen with the way in which we're progressing with our technologies. And the possibility of a soul animating such technologies is highly unlikely certainly within our technological time frame and even thousands of years in the future unless we're able to operate on and access the ability to manipulate the frequencies right up to the 10th frequency and above and communicate with the guides and helpers, who assist souls to incarnate into vehicles, we're not going to get the ability for a soul to connect itself with any form of artificially created vehicle that has the potential capacity to house the energies associated with the maintenance of the soul.

So I hope that is a reasonable answer to a what could be quite an interesting question. So let's move on to the questions then. We've got quite a lot of questions here. I'm looking at my computer here, I have basically four A4 pages of questions here, although I hope it's quite large type looking at this and it's not, it's all [font] 11 type. But we'll see what we can do with the questions and how fast we can answer them in a clear and concise way, which is going to be acceptable for those individuals, who asked the questions in the first place.

Part 2. Questions and Answers

1. What can you tell us about Sadhguru of India? Is he a quantities master? What is his Inner Engineering Yoga? Is it like Yogananda kriya yoga? What does this yoga intend to accomplish? Which SE sent Sadhguru? Which former spiritual leader is he connected with or reincarnated? Sadhguru gets involved in his country politics and sometimes he makes comments that are arrogant and it does not seem too spiritual, i.e. not humble. Are we reading it right or he also has issues as incarnated being? (FN)

So this is from FN and there's about ten questions from FN, so I'll do the best I can to answer them, because some of these questions are nested questions. So the first one is about Sadhguru in India, and I'm here in India, so it's quite a reasonable thing to be able to ask this particular question whilst I'm here.

Well, I'm picking up he does quite a good level of work. I'm feeling that he's not a quality based or qualitative guru, and I'm also being told he's not a master as such. He may describe himself as a master, but I'm being told he's not an incarnate master or in terms of being an ascended master or one that could be classified as being a potential future ascended master. I don't know

what his inner engineering yoga is, but it doesn't sound like it's kriya yoga, which is based upon breathing techniques and with the mental processes derived from raja yoga.

(15 min) But I'm picking up it's all to do with the way we think, behave and act, which is a reasonable way forwards in terms of how we can progress spiritually and increase our evolutionary content. If he associates a change in the way in which we think, behave and act with any of the normal sort of yogic physical procedures, such as exercises or breathing or the generation of energies associated with certain mantras, then that would also be reasonable, and therefore, could be considered to be beneficial from that perspective.

Which SE sent Sadhguru?

He's part of Source Entity One, same as us, so he's not being sent by any other Source Entity. And that would make sense, because in essence, he's not a master as such, so therefore, he's not associated with any other Source Entity.

What does this yoga intend to accomplish?

The yoga itself, what it intends to accomplish, I would say a clarity of thought and mind and body, if used correctly in a pure way. Like everything else, the way to enlightenment is there provided we're prepared to work with that way to enlightenment in a pure way.

And yoga, like many things that are initially physical, are simply a focus to help us become more centered on who and what we are and gain self-awareness and then enlightenment based upon the focus of our concentration through meditation and through various different, if needed, physical techniques to allow us to become our own master, so to speak, of that which we are and the interaction with the environment.

• Sadhguru gets involved in his country politics and sometimes he makes comments that are arrogant and it does not seem too spiritual, i.e. not humble. Are we reading it right or he also has issues as incarnated being?

In terms of him getting involved with his country politics, I don't feel this is of benefit to him or those around him. Maybe he feels that he can affect a change of thoughts, behavior and action by being involved in politics. But from my perspective, a spiritual leader wouldn't get involved with politics as such. They may have to circumnavigate them somehow in terms of what they're doing and what they're trying to achieve, provided it is of the purest sense and it's there to benefit individuals, and therefore, be of service to individuals.

But if he's trying to change the governance of a country by interfering with the politics, then I think that that particular individual may have overstepped their mark, because at the end of the day, you change people's minds and hearts by being what you're trying to broadcast to them — lead by example. And if you're meddling in politics, like everybody else seems to meddle within, even the politicians meddle with politics, then you're really heading towards failure.

So I think that maybe if he's very self-confident, then that could be classified as being arrogant and rather than being humble. So it may be that he's felt that his position, which is the individuals around him, who have placed him in, is his own divine right, and therefore, he feels he's got the ability to be influential, but that's a slippery slope and that's borne upon ego.

 What is your take on being vegetarian? Some spiritual people say that killing plants is like killing animals and there is no difference? Some say that their DNA requires them to eat meat? Is it true that when we are vegetarian, we are able to meditate better and to

connect to higher frequencies? Are you vegetarian? It is personal question but you are a teacher to many and we would like to learn.

Yes, I am actually. Well, I am vegetarian for initially, I have to say, for taste reasons. I went off the taste of meat — that includes chicken, fish, I never liked fish really, and other meat products, like beef. And so that was when I was 24 actually and so I've never really returned back to it.

And I feel that the energies associated with animals are, and certainly the way in which they're looked after (or not as the case may be) and the way that they're slaughtered isn't spiritual either, so the energies associated with the way they're kept and the anxiety and depression and fear that they have can be broadcast upon the individual, who eats those animals, the flesh from those animals. So I don't actually recommend it, although some people do need to eat meat. Some people can live purely on meat. Some people can live purely on vegetables, and some people live by eating both of them. And that's basically to do with your genome and the blood type that you are.

(20 min) There's a good piece of work on the internet, which I can't remember what it is now. But if you just typed into Google, as an example, blood groups and their dependencies, whether it's meat or fish or vegetables, you'll find out which blood groups are associated with the ability to be omnivorous, carnivorous or herbivorous, so to speak.

This bit about killing plants is like killing animals is no different — there is levels of intelligence and there is a level of soul type associated with plants. And so when we do kill plants, there is a level of response from them associated with the level of sentience associated with them in the overall scheme of things, which isn't a lot. The larger the plant, sometimes trees have got an element of sentience associated with them from the function of being group sentience and group intelligence. But mostly things like plants and vegetables, their level of sentience is so low that although there is an expression associated with the leaves being taken off or them being cut from their roots, it's not a what you would classify as being self-awareness to the point of recognizing it as pain and a desire to not experience that pain. Let's look at the next question then.

Who are present masters that are incarnated to guide us? Please provide names and what is their goal?

When I looked at this question, I thought, Now that's an interesting question. But as I logged into it and sort searched the Earth, I know we've got a lot of individuals, who are purporting to be masters and want to have a number of individuals here that they are, shall we say, of service to to help them experience, learn and evolve in a more accelerated way, and therefore, accelerate their evolution. Other than Babaji, who has been here for some time as a master, I'm not picking up anybody else at the moment.

There are the White Children that I've talked about in previous Satsangas, but they are not ascended masters. They are of the quality of a master, so to speak, but they are not one of the ascended masters. So right now I'm picking up that although we have some individuals of note on the planet, the only one I'd recognize as being a true master would be Babaji. And Babaji is one, who is in complete control of who and what he is — he's self-aware and manifests a human form whenever he needs to interact with human beings or those of his followers, who are capable of working with him. So Babaji is the only one as far as I'm aware and doing a scan around the Earth, I'm not picking up anybody of that master quality.

So that's an easy question to answer really. It's probably not the answer to the question that was required, but it's sort of indicative that right now we don't have the quality of individual on this planet, who could be classified as a master.

Babaji's goal by the way is to do things in the background. He influences things from a covert position using individuals, who know him and who he trusts. And he's not interested in quantitative levels of followers or even many qualitative, he just happens to like to be in the background and work with a very small number of individuals, sometimes once, sometimes twice or even smaller number, who are in contact with him on a reasonably regular basis whilst they're incarnate. And what I mean by reasonable, regular basis is they may have two, three or four contacts with him in their whole lifetime.

(25 min) Babaji is staying quite covert and doing what he can do from a covert location, because he's energetic and he only needs to interact with us when he needs to, and therefore, only manifests a human form when he needs to.

• What can you tell us about Tom Campbell, the writer of My Big TOE [Theory Of Everything]? He says he was able to get access through meditation and now helps people transition with permission from Council of Twelve. His compares that our universe is run by computer and every incarnate being is a pixel. He is a physicist. He seemed to have changed his life plan, when he persisted to be let in and the Council of Twelve finally agreed. Is that true? Could we change our life plan like that? From your book, you said it is next to impossible, how could we make that happen?

Well, the first thing, I actually know Tom in some small way. I've interacted with him on a number of occasions and introduced him on the possibility of having a joint discussion with Kevin Moore. Various different things didn't happen in the right time frame, and as a result, Tom managed to get to interview Kevin on his own. But having communicated with Tom, I think he's got an interesting view on the greater reality, and one that would resonate with some people and not with others. And it's part of this plethora of methods of understanding the greater reality around us that allows more people to be able to access it and to become more expansive as a result of being exposed to more expansive concepts.

So his ideas are and his understandings are reasonable, and I suppose like mine, they're specific to the particular educational content that he's experienced in his lifetime. In terms of if he says "our universe is run by computer and every incarnate being is a pixel," that I would suggest is a figurative statement to give you an idea or to give the reader an idea of how miniscule we really are in comparison to the wider environment. And of course, the word "pixel" is also not really defined, because depending upon the resolution of the device or the image depends upon how many pixels there are in the image. So if you've got an image of a small number of pixels, then that means the individual as a pixel has quite a big role to play in the universe. But if the image has a huge number of pixels, then you can see that the individual [that] defines a pixel is a smaller fish, so to speak, in the pond.

I would suggest that if he says he's changed his life plan, then that's no mean feat. My understanding is it may well have been this is his role, what he's doing now. And all he's done is accessed his role by understanding his role or has, for want of a better word, recognized that he needs to do something and then accessed whoever his guide and helpers are to say that this is what he wants to do. And this is what he wants to do for the rest of his life. It is very difficult to change a life plan, because although we work with our guides and helpers and the life plan is basically a series of experiential goals, so to speak, that we need to experience, and therefore, how we do it and how we get to these goals is based upon our free will while we're here.

In real terms, there's a whole group of guides and helpers behind us, who are working with us on achieving these goals and doing lots of behind the scenes organization in terms of making sure that we're in the right place at the right time, communicating with the right people, interacting with the right people in the right environment. And so there's lots of downstream functions to that as well. And not only that, there's lots of other individuals, who interact with us

for their life plans. So to say we can change a life plan and get away with it without having massive downstream functions and affect countless other people and countless other parallel conditions is a bit of a statement.

(30 min) I would suggest that his change in his direction was already part of an overlying life plan — maybe there was two plans there in effect, that he was capable of dropping into, and the one was there naturally and the second one was there, if he desired strongly enough to move into it and that would have created a significant amount of persuasion in the energetic, and would depend upon the ability of the guide and helpers to have had this in there as a potential direction of his life plan in the first place. Irrespective, I think he's doing a good job and although I probably wouldn't use the descriptions that he uses to describe the greater reality, it is useful for other people to have an alternative method of understanding it for those, who think in that way. So that's my answer to that particular question.

 If one has had any addiction but he/she recuperated from during their incarnated life by attending AA meetings, etc., do they still have to be quarantined?

Ah, this was mentioned in "The Anne Dialogues." The level of quarantine depends upon the level of dependency on the drugs, and the level of the ability of the incarnate soul or aspect in my terminology to disassociate themselves from that addiction. Sometimes the addiction is there as part of a piece of evolutionary progression, where we place ourselves in a difficult position and then the life plan is to remove ourselves from that difficult position — bearing in mind, we run lots of risk of significant karma and also contamination, if drugs are involved.

So the level of, if they have moved away from it, but because they've created the path within themselves and within the outside environment, where they can draw upon the expertise of individuals, who can guide them away from this dependency or this addiction, this karmic link, then they would still have to be quarantined, but in a smaller amount — not in the same level that somebody, who was completely dependent upon drugs and died as a result of overdosing, for instance. So it's usually, there would need to be a little bit of quarantine, just to make sure that the energies associated with the low frequencies that they accrued or stuck to them during their dependency was removed, so to speak.

- In "The Anne Dialogues," you mentioned that intention of low frequency like addiction are removed by going back to when it was processed and through event spaces. Do you mean we go back to the inception when the low frequency attractively was desired and then the desire is removed?
- Doesn't that change the occurrence or what we humans here call as the past?
- How would be its effect on parallel lives? By making these changes, such as removing the low frequency, how does that change the experience of incarnate as they were?

In effect, once we have disincarnated, we've moved away from this particular incarnation, the addictions are removed, which is part of the quarantining function by going through, as it's stated here, through the different event spaces. That allows a disassociation. It's not a change in the past, because the past, present and future are all one. Having a past, having a present, and having a future is a human concept. It's a metric that mankind has created to describe something or control something, which doesn't really exist.

So the question, does it affect the past? No, it can't do, because the past doesn't exist. It's only a series of nows. And so the ability to go back to the inception point and remove it to remove the addiction, and therefore, remove the energy associated with the addiction means that you're just changing the use of the event space to allow that to happen, and so it won't affect the past. And it doesn't affect parallel lives either, because we're moving into an event space that is neutral to parallel lives. And so it doesn't change the experience of the incarnate, or should I say, it doesn't

change the experience of the True Energetic Self, because it's already recorded. The incarnate aspect is simply a means in which the True Energetic Self can experience multiple things by having, if you like, parallel experiential processing, so to speak.

(35 min) And therefore, as long as it's being experienced, it doesn't matter, if the addiction associated with it is removed, it's the experience that counts rather than whether the addiction is still there or not.

- When one is disincarnated and he/she had desires for low frequencies due to addiction, could this disincarnate avoid going to the light? I mean, is this one of the reasons that this disincarnate being chooses to stay at low frequencies and becomes an entity that has no ability to digest its own energy and attaches itself to other incarnates, i.e. becomes attachments to human with similar low frequencies or attaches itself to incarnate when incarnate has low emotions, etc.?
- What is the whole post incarnate process for individuals who do not follow their life plan and commitments?

Well, I think that's a difficult question to answer in the second instance. But the first instance, let's have a look. There's never a situation, where an aspect avoids forever going back into the light, so to speak. Going back into the light means that they go back into the frequencies associated with the location of the True Energetic Self. So there's never any condition, where that never eventually happens. And so the thing to notice is that although there may be a time, when an aspect desires to stay where they are and not recommune with their True Energetic Self in one of the various different ways in which they can commune, they eventually will change, because of the work of the guide and helpers.

And so that particular entity wouldn't become like an energy vampire, for instance, and take energies from other people, although we do have people, who do that whilst they're incarnate. But in essence, the entities that do take energy from us normally are "astral entities," and not entities that are based upon the individualization of sentience from a higher entity, such as our Source. Okay, so it's irrespective of what we do and how we do it, we will all return back to the light, so to speak — that light being the ability to recommune with our True Energetic Self in one of the six different methods of which we do so.

What is the whole post incarnate process for individuals who do not follow their life plan and commitments?

Well, the post life plan or post-incarnation process for individuals is the same irrespective of whether you'd followed your life plan or not. And that's explained in quite some detail in "The Anne Dialogues." So if you don't follow your life plan, you simply have to review why you didn't and what circumstances led you to not follow those sort of in-built desires to achieve certain goals or achieve certain levels of experiential content, so to speak.

So when a soul by and large misses a significant amount of those experiences it's supposed to have, it simply has to replan another life and try to achieve them in the next life. So the process is simply understanding what wasn't achieved, why it wasn't achieved, what circumstances created the condition, where they weren't achieved, and how the interaction with the guide and helpers affected or didn't affect the ability to have that experience.

And so that's the background towards that and again "The Anne Dialogues" illustrates the process of the post-incarnate analysis of the life in quite some detail — in detail actually from what I can see above and beyond most of the previous texts, although the previous texts have validity, the level of detail is generally based upon, where we were from an expansive capacity at the time and the level of education at the time.

- What does this mean where you wrote: "in order for the individualization to work within
 a collective condition, the collective needs to be under the creative authority of a single
 True Energetic Self (TES). This means that a single Aspect can work in an
 individualized way while still working within the functionality of a collective.
- This can only work when the TES is in full projection of its primary Aspects, all twelve and without Shards, and they are in the same frequencies in the same Event Space in the same incarnate vehicles concurrently when the act of the pre-agreed suicide is actioned." Page 1802 Kindle.

(40 min) So basically, this is in Kindle (page 1802). So I'm not sure if there's two things here together. Let me just have a quick look and understand. Well, I've decided I think I need to read this out again, because this is a little bit confusing at first. I had to read it about ten times...

So the whole thing is relative to the acceptable conditions that allows an incarnate aspect to end or terminate its incarnation through an act of suicide, and in my understanding would allow no downstream evolutionary debt to occur or subsequent karmic debt to occur between those individual aspects, who would have interacted with that aspect, had the incarnation of that aspect been maintained. And so this is the individualization side of it is really to do with not so much individualization in terms of an entity, but the individualization to the point of isolation of the effect of a particular aspect being allowed to terminate or create a termination juncture within its incarnation by self-demising, so to speak, by ending the incarnation through things like lethal injection or electrocution or carbon monoxide poisoning or hanging or jumping from a high location, those sort of things.

Well, I hope that's answered that question, but it seems to be what allows the conditions, where an aspect can be allowed to commit suicide and that there's no downstream evolutionary debt functions associated with it. So I think that's a good question actually, but it's one that needs to be observed and actually I would suggest that people, who are interested in termination junctures and the process that we go through in terms of the pre-incarnation and post-incarnation process, do go and either get the Kindle of "The Anne Dialogues" or buy the paperback version, because there's a lot of information there which is very useful for people. And it's the sort of information that will allow us to make decisions about how we exist and how we navigate through this incarnation in a way, which is more efficient and more evolutionarily acceptable.

- 2. I have been working on a question for your Satsanga for several weeks now, but am not quite how to put it together. It concerns Lord Metatron, information from The Urantia Book, and ET's from a book from the late 50's where they made contact with a group in Detroit. (DT)
- First off, the ET's said that the Great Pyramid was built about 45,600 BC by crews from the third planet of the star Aldebaran. The original base was 765 ft per side, the height was 486 ft with a 51 degree angle. But around 3000 BC Khufu added the limestone sides and the capstone was copper and shown for hundreds of miles. Also, 243 ft beneath the base a generator was installed which functions to this day.
- They gave other dimensions that were all multiples of nine. The reason given is that 9
 is the key to the mathematical science dealing with magnetics. When I calculated the
 rise over the run and looked it up in my Trigonometry tables the angle was a bit more
 than 51 degrees but not 52 degrees. The question here is whether any of this is true, or
 how much is?

Well, certainly the pyramids were available a long time ago and certainly 45,000 years is a minimum in my understanding. In my understanding they were generated by the Atlanteans. Now I've not asked the question whether they were helped by another incarnate race of a

similar frequency to that that the Atlantean civilization was whilst on Earth. Let me just ask the question.

Well, I'm being told that the Atlanteans understood the relationships between geometrics, sacred geometry and the dimensions associated with them and the ability to attract certain energies and harvest those energies. And I'm being told that they also knew about the existence and communicated with other incarnate civilizations within our galaxy as well. And they at times, shall we say, requested the use of or the help of the integration of or the installation of certain technologies that they were not so expert in, so to speak, and they traded those technologies for what they knew about crystals and other more natural technologies with these other races.

My understanding was that all of this was done at the same time, so the limestone sides and the copper top was there as well in the first place. And it's nothing to do with Khufu, although there was some repair work done by that particular pharaoh, who may have decided to claim the work as his own to perpetuate the typical belief system of the Egyptians that the pharaoh was a god. So I hope that explains that.

- Now this part should be in your new book, which I haven't seen yet. The Urantia Book claims seven super universes traveling counterclockwise around the Central Sun.
- But Metatron describes it this way: Our Galaxy belongs to the Dahl universe where Micheal is the Lord. Twelve universes is called a cluster, ours is called Aun ruled by Malalalael. Twelve clusters are called a Ring (144 universes). Metatron is Lord of ours. Twelve Rings are called a Wheel. All rotate around the Central Sun.
- See my confusion? Is any of this close? My feelings are that Metatron is correct because the Origin works in groups of 12, as does our Source Entity?

If you disassociate the angelic aspect of it and just look at the structure, then in effect what we are looking at is a way in which the structure of the multiverse is described based upon the level of education at that time. And it's quite common — I mean I've described the structure of the multiverse through the help of one of my helpers in the past to show it as a pie chart and split up into thirds — and each third being a sub-dimensional component and each sub-dimensional component having twelve frequencies, and therefore, universes associated with it. But the number of universes I have vs. the frequencies is 397 universes in a 12-dimensional multiverse that we exist within, but 408 frequencies.

(50 min) So my understanding is that the information is corrupted, but is based upon a truth that may have been difficult to digest at the time, and therefore, was simplified in some way and may be corrupted with all the other information later. Well, okay, I hope that helps in terms of understanding. That is a quite difficult concept actually. Good.

3. In the Bible, the original text introduces God in the plural as Elohim, a group or a council of beings. Then it singles out one of the group and designates that being as Jehovah Elohim. Throughout the bible it speaks of different individual names or titles as designations for whom we consider one God. Those names are recorded as Jehovah, Adonai, El, El-Elyon, and El-Shaddai. Are these multiple titles for one being or are these separate beings that we've labeled collectively as God? And If they are separate beings, are they all apart of the Elohim." (HF)

My understanding is the Source Entities are classified as what really should be termed as the Elohim, the co-creators, the original creators of that which is within the Origin and created by the Origin itself. Each of the Source Entities is created by the Origin itself. So they are the co-creators and the co-creators are the Elohim. So again it's to do with understanding the meaning behind what was trying to be broadcast to individuals of a certain level of educational intelligence. Not being derogatory in the use of these words, but if we're only working with 18th

century technology, how can we explain a computer to somebody? How could we explain an airplane to somebody in the 15th century? So you have to think of it in these ways.

So sometimes the Source Entities were given names, if that information was being taught to people to help them understand. I mean we understand the word Source Entity One, Two, Three Four to Twelve now, because it's a logical progression. But that progression is only there as a function of the order in which I managed to contact them. It has no other weight other than that. So if the Source Entities decided to give themselves names, which are in English or whatever earthbound language they are, which is highly unlikely, then would they give themselves those names? Or are those names being given to them by those individuals, who in the old days were able to communicate with them?

My understanding is that it's probably just a way of demarcing [demarcating] the different Source Entities based upon the time frame that they were — time doesn't exist, of course — the event space within which that information was being broadcast. And the names are simply there to demarc the Source Entity with the functions and the ways in which they are evolving on behalf of the Origin. So each of the Elohim would be one of the Source Entities. Okay and if you think of it in terms of a council, they are a Council of Twelve, Twelve Source Entities, Twelve Elohim — Elohim being a name for those who are the sort of start of creation, as we know it in the definition of what I am understanding. Okay, not an evolutionist text.

- 4. Hi Guy: Why is it that mankind has always believed to some degree that sometime during one's lifetime, the world as they know it will end e.g. The Biblical End Times prophecies, the coming pole shift, the 10th Planet entering and disrupting the solar system, global warming, peak oil, even Y2K, etc.? (WP)
- As someone said, the world has a bad habit of not ending. So why the ever present
 narrative that it will and soon any day now? This all seems to be part of the
 superstitious nature of man in a constant state of worry about things that never happen
 seems like a colossal waste of time and a major inhibitor to spiritual growth.

And it's also a waste of cerebral horsepower. My understanding is that we have a memory set, as it were, that we bring into this incarnation based upon our experiences of different civilizations that we've incarnated into and as on Earth — and that some of the things they've done resulted in the demise of that particular civilization as a dominant technological and civilization-based collective of incarnate vehicles that we used to experience, learn and evolve.

And so some of the things that we're doing now would trigger a memory, so to speak, that we would be able to access energetically and the potential possibilities thereof of these things being part of a number of things that could create a cataclysmic effect of some sort. So it's basically a little bit of self-governance saying, Well, if we do this, this is what'll happen, because it happened last time. And when we see these things around us, we start to think, Ah, actually, if we're not careful, this could happen, because it happened last time, and this was one of the key issues that created that problem and this particular key issue is manifesting itself now.

(55 min) So it's basically just warning ourselves that we have to behave ourselves and move ourselves away from certain thoughts, behaviors and actions, because they've been seen before.

 When you are in contact with our Source Entity or Origin, do they exhibit feeling, emotion, compassion or is it more like talking to a robot devoid of these human tendencies? This is an old question I suppose, but it really gets at what is the nature of these beings? Religion is ambivalent it seems, both fearing a vindictive God who will

smite the evil doers and a loving God but only for those that follow the rules. Of course, Religion has no clue as to the nature of God, but you do?

I have a small understanding of God, but one thing is for sure — it's not vindictive, it is all-loving and it allows us to and approves of everything that we do irrespective of what we do, because it all creates a level of understanding of every aspect of Self, whether it is interacting with others or interacting with the environment or interacting with others within the environment.

So do they express emotion? Well, if you consider it very logically, every emotion that we've got is a function of us, and therefore, a function of Source, and therefore, a function of Origin, because we are individualized units of Source and the Source is an individualized unit of Origin. So that which we experience Source experiences and Origin experiences.

So they will experience the things we experience, but in a significantly more knowing way, in terms of trying to understand it in a bigger picture than we do. We tend to do these things in a personal way, whereas the Source and the Origin would be considering these things in a much bigger picture way, in terms of how is this emotion experienced in this way by these individuals adding to my total experiential set, and therefore, adding towards my evolutionary progression and acceleration.

5. Hello, thank you very much for sharing the lists. I hope they will be helpful for others. I will upgrade the information when the next Satsanga is on air and send them to you. (MO)

So basically this is a wonderful individual, who is helping to translate "The History of God" into Japanese. There's a number of individuals, who are doing similar things in Polish and in Czechoslovakian and in Lithuanian as well, so the books are being translated. The question is as follows:

"Sentience" to "Pure Thought" (The sentience is the thinking, being, considering, creating, modifying, learning, understanding, evolving and progressing part of what we are. Adding quotes from Satsanga October 28, 2017: Pure thought is one of the milestones on the road to sentience, it can not in itself describe sentience? How about "Sentience" (from a Japanese perspective) = Infinite Intelligence or Infinite Creativity?

(60 min) That's one way of thinking about it. The Infinite Creativity and the analysis of Infinite Creativity is one way to think about it. But this is a good question that comes as well later. This is for this month's Satsanga.

When you talk about different Sentient "weight" of Om, or other beings, does "weight"
 = "quality" or "purity?" Or is there other aspects to this "weight?" Please elaborate on it.

Sentient weight is a bit like saying quality of sentience. It's the purity of sentience within the space that the sentience exists within. So if you have, for example, a square meter of sentience and it is evenly distributed — if you had the sentience associated with that square meter within a square millimeter, then if you said, Okay, then let's have a square meter of the same sentience that's in that square millimeter back into a square meter, then you'll have a significant increase in the quality and the weight of sentience.

So it's to do with the volume, if you want to call it that, of how much sentience is within a certain space, and therefore, the quality of the sentience and the ability for the sentience to be productive from an evolutionary perspective and being able to be a major contributor.

Well, I hope that helps in understanding this. It's understanding that if you have a general amount of sentience associated with a square meter and then squash that into a square millimeter — and then you say, Okay, let's put it back into a square meter, but that sentience that's in the square millimeter will occupy all of the square millimeters associated with the square meter, then you get a multiple condition of many thousands of times increase in the sentience within the same space. So it's a bit like how fine is the environment and how much content can you put in the environment, depending upon what space is there and the frequencies and the energies that are there.

Are Hybrid Om still considered and called as "beloved of the Om?" And also do they
have any benefit of being a hybrid? Do they function differently from other hybrid
beings, if so, how?

Well, hybrid OM are still considered to be "beloved of the OM," but they are in general only classified that, because they have a level of "OMness" associated with them. The OM energy is a function of the reuse of energy from the Origin in its experiment on recreating itself twelve times and then reusing that energy to create these Source Entities.

And depending upon the weight of OMness, so to speak, the weight of sentience associated with what's classified as being OM (originally from the Origin or part of the Original Manifestation, that why it's OM), then it is either within — if it's a small level or quality of OM sentience — it either stays within the environment that is the Source Entity, it's captive, and if it's small enough, it will then mix with the energies associated with the Source Entity, when it creates its own individualization of sentient entities. It's their own versions of True Energetic Selves.

So a hybrid OM is still classified as being beloved of the OM, but the level of sentience it donates with it has to exist within the structure of the Source or whether it's outside of the Source Entity as a whole, shall we say, spherical volume or weight of sentience that can exist outside and inside, if it chooses to, but it's not captive by the energies associated with a particular Source Entity.

So in essence, the only functional difference is that most hybrid OM incarnate in some way, shape or form, whereas true OM or higher qualities of sentience, whether they're captive OM or noncaptive OM or pure OM, don't need to incarnate or wouldn't normally decide to incarnate, because it's not necessary for them, because they're outside of the evolutionary cycle. So from a hybrid condition they would follow the same processes and the same experiential path that follows an evolutionary cycle that every other entity created by a Source Entity would have to follow.

 Question on forgiveness: Forgiving someone is difficult, but I feel that forgiving self for wrong doing to someone is even more difficult, especially if people we hurt are away or deceased and never going to see them again in person. Are there effective ways to forgive self for what we have done to others? If these were answered before, then I'll read the books.

(1:05) Basically, there's no need to worry about that. These sorts of questions, they regularly recur and it's always worth answering them again. Basically, the most effective way of forgiving somebody is to forgive them in an unequivocable basis, in a total basis without prerequisite dependencies upon that forgiveness — like I'll forgive you, if you do this, or I'll forgive you, if you do that.

The thing is to forgive yourself, if you've hurt somebody and seek forgiveness from them as well by addressing the possibility of communicating to them that you are seeking forgiveness and

that you're sorry and you see the error of your ways. If you can do that in person, that's fantastic, because it will really just clear the air. But if you can't, meditate on it and seek forgiveness energetically as a result of the energetic interaction with that individual that you do yourself through meditation rather than having the physical interaction with that individual.

So really sitting down, forgiving yourself first and feeling and knowing you've given yourself forgiveness goes a long way. And then sitting and meditating and asking for forgiveness based upon the lessons you've learned associated with the acts that you've done — and that being I've learned my lesson basically and I seek forgiveness, because I now see that this is not an optimal way of existing or behaving with another person.

So that's the way to do it and you can do it with true love in your heart and true knowingness that you have forgiven yourself and you are recognizing the error and make a distinct and definite and dedicated and devoted change to the direction you're going into to justify the request for forgiveness, even if you're meditating on it and asking for energetic forgiveness without having a physical interaction with that other individual, then it's going to be successful.

Okay, well, I think that finishes the questions, as it happens. And I'm just having a quick look to see if there's anything else? No, well, we've gone through quite a lot actually and the rest of the questions I've got this month I'm going to use next month. So thank you for all those questions. And I know there's a number of questions that might last several months, so I think that the opportunity there is fantastic, so I'm going to have enough for next month. So if you do send them in, be aware that those questions might not get answered certainly not in March but even in April, so let's see what happens there. Don't be shy about putting your questions in, they will be answered, but it might be a couple of months away yet.

Part 3. Meditation

(1:08) So the next thing to do within this Satsanga is go through the meditation, which is a meditation on allowing us to feel our connection with nature. So let's have a look at this and see what we can do with it in terms of connecting with nature. I'll just get to the point, where I can be in the correct orientation for meditation.

Please download the high quality MP3 File to do the guided meditation with Guy Steven Needler via his website or blog (meditation starts around 1:09).

(1:23) Closing comments: That's the end of this Satsanga broadcast in conjunction with The Moore Show and broadcast from India, from Mumbai in India. And I have a suspicion that the April Satsanga might be in Greece this year. I think I'm going to hit a lot of the normal times I've broadcast the Satsangas, where I'm being in different countries. This might be one of the few of these Satsangas broadcast in different directions, different locations on the surface of the Earth.

So thank you very much for listening to it. Thank you for participating. Thank you for joining in the meditation. I can already feel the unity of different event space. And thank you for sending in your questions. Like I said, I've got enough for next month, but still send them in, so I can put them in the queue to be introduced in April's and May Satsanga. Okay, thank you very much for listening and I'd like to wish you God's love and namaste." END