

TES who projects an Aspect or Aspects into the animal vehicle may be working directly with, or under the direction of, the Habitation Specialists that are responsible for a specific animal or plant vehicle.

The Atmospherisists are a group of entities that work with the stability of the wider environment, planetary or otherwise, and how it is used by the incarnate vehicle and supporting infrastructure it is designed for. They modify and manipulate the functions of the atmosphere and its periods of change relevant to the location of the planet or environment. A planet is not the only location for an incarnate vehicle to work within and neither is the need for aspiration to ensure bodily function within the location and frequency it resides within always necessary.

The Populationists are the flora and fauna engineers. They have various levels of responsibility—each specialized according to the environment they are working with. The environment can be universal, galactic, systematic, planetary—includes moons or nebulae based as habitable environments. They work in close harmony with the Atmospherisists, who provide the details behind the atmosphere they are creating or able to create with the materials they have. The Populationists then create the basic mechanical vehicles that are used for those entities that are incarnate for the purposes of being a “living” functional aspect of the atmosphere, so to speak.

Guides and Helpers [*these are described in The Anne Dialogues—GSN*] should not be confused with those entities described in summary above as being relevant to the maintenance of the multiversal environment. They are specific to the assistance of those entities that enter into the evolutionary cycle and use the lower frequencies of the multiverse as an evolutionary accelerant.

ME: This is all very good in summary, AND I know that we are going to describe these entities in detail later on in this dialogue. But I do have one question, one that a lot of my readers will want to know.

SE1: And that is?

ME: Why do we refer to some of these beings as Angels? Where did the description, or should I say, the use of the word Angel and their roles and responsibilities come from?

SE1: We have already discussed this to some extent at the very start of this dialogue, but I will see if I can make it plainer.

Each of the entities described in your short appraisal of the currently understood (and with a largely Christian bias, I note) hierarchy of those entities who are closest to me, are the result of the spiritual knowledge, intellectual capacity, and energetic ability of the incarnates of those periods when they were described. Also note that, in reading your thoughts, those incarnates that were capable of either understanding what they were seeing or were being exposed to “information-wise” were given the information in ways that they would be able to work with and move on with. When those incarnates that were being communicated with offered an explanation or description that they expressed as being some level of understanding of what they had been given was considered to be progressive they were allowed to use that information. The issue here is that this understanding was only for the illustrative purposes of one person—the person being communicated with and should not have been used for the education of others of either lesser or greater knowledge. It is the variance in understanding, or schools of thought, and the subsequent disagreements that cause the creation of one way versus another and aggression between these schools of thought, for all schools think that they are correct and the others are not. The fact of the matter is that none of them is correct to the point where they know the absolute truth about the subject they are broadcasting, they can't, because they don't have the intellectual and educational capacity and neither do they have the frequential level that supports the ability to access higher knowledge.

So, getting to the question, the word Angel is a descriptor for those entities that are disincarnate and as a result “pure” from the perspective of the incarnate. It has been misused and used as a description of those entities that communicate issues of guidance to certain incarnates—which of course are the Guides and Guides' Helpers. Even the name given has been misused and used as a

means of describing the environment they exist within—that being the Angelic—you will see what I mean later.

ME: Where does the use of the word “Arch” come from in the descriptor “Archangel?”

SE1: This is a function of the use of the human languages and the desire for a structure to exist. Based upon this I suggest you look at the word “hierarchy” and break it down to two words—Higher (Hier) and Arch (Archy). Incarnates like to see things in a structured way, so, until they can see in a concurrent way—i.e., everything existing all at the same time and at the same level in a total unstructured and amorphous way, I and those who help in the education of truth seekers will give them structure. The words “Higher” and “Arch” together can be used to describe the graduations in the frequencies. “Higher” meaning above the current location of one location or entity within a location, and “Arch” is a way of describing a frequential level as being above the current, as an arch or bridge over to the next level.

“Arch” as a descriptor for an individual is a Greek word and it means “leader, ruler, or chief.” In another way, it is a method of saying an individual is above or higher than another.

Angel as a descriptor for an individual is a Greek word and it means “messenger.” In another way it is a method of saying an individual is a bringer of news or is an educator.

Neither of these descriptors should be used to describe the maintenance entities if used in this context, but they can be used to describe Guides and Helpers. Guides and Helpers do not, however, have the same roles as those entities that are involved in the maintenance of the multiversal structure, and those that do are either classified as Elementals and are not in a position of giving messages to incarnate mankind, or are involved at a level where they are never in contact with incarnates.

ME: Based upon this then, the use of the words “Angel” and “Archangel” cannot be used as a descriptor for any entity that is involved in the guidance of incarnate TES Aspects or maintenance entities of any level.

SE1: Correct. There is one level of description where it can be used, though, and one where the visual descriptions fit in with history, to a certain level, that is.

ME: Pray tell me, I am all ears!

SE1: You are aware of the ability for a higher frequency incarnate to create a secondary incarnation, so that the Aspect involved in the “primary” and higher frequency incarnation can experience two incarnations concurrently, one of a higher frequency and the other of a lower frequency.

ME: I am. It is described in *The Anne Dialogues*.

SE1: Well, this can also be used to allow the higher frequency incarnate to exist within a lower frequency civilization and, when the timing is right, make changes that will improve the education, knowledge, and technology of the lower frequency incarnate civilization through the communicational opportunity that is created by the higher frequency incarnate Aspect advising its lower frequency Aspect what to do and when to do it. Because they are a higher frequency, they would normally be invisible to the lower frequency incarnate Aspect. However, they would be able to, by the use of certain energetic technology, make themselves “visible” to their lower frequency incarnate Aspect and give them direction. They would be messengers to themselves—their own Angel or Archangel! This should work as an explanation for you.

ME: It does, and I was feeling a little uncomfortable about the use of the word Angel and the historical descriptions of them. In these few short sentences, you have put my concerns to bed. Thank you.

SE1: Good, this method is actually quite common and is an explanation for the reports of “God’s” messengers that removes a link with Guides, Helpers, and multiversal maintenance entities because it is all maintained within the one universal environment.