

12.1

Changing Places

*As well as writing about spiritual physics, conducting workshops, giving lectures, writing articles and posts for my website, etc., I also take weekly healing and reading appointments. As a result of the vast number of readings I have with my clients I am constantly exposed to new information about the greater reality that is specifically related to incarnation. In a number of readings, I have come across incarnate Aspects that were previously in the role of a guide or a maintenance entity and that are now working with the evolutionary cycle as an incarnate. This leads me to understand that it is not cast in stone that once a TES or an Aspect has decided to choose a path of either being in service or being in the evolutionary cycle it has to stay in that role for the duration of the existence of the multiverse in its current version—the current version of the multiverse ending when all TES have evolved to the point where they can once more enter into communion with The Source. I will digress a little here to state that this current evolutionary cycle is the third—the information behind this statement being in my fifth book *The Origin Speaks*. Suffice to say, though, that once the evolutionary cycle has been completed, The Source reassigns its sentience to another location within the area of The Origin's omnipresent area of energetic sentient self-awareness, and starts all over again. Based upon this, I will state again that it appears that a TES or an Aspect may wish to either stay in the same roles for the duration of the evolutionary cycle or change roles if they feel the desire to. Having said that, to date, I had only been exposed to clients who had changed from the role of being in service to being in the incarnate cycle. This was logical I suppose because I am not likely to meet incarnate Aspects that have moved out of the evolutionary cycle and back into being of service. However logical this seemed, I was about to be given a deeper level of understanding by Anne.*

12: The Guide and Helpers

A: TES or Aspects of a TES that have chosen to be in service can and do elect to participate in the evolutionary cycle, and incarnate within the frequencies and environments associated with the physical universe. They can also elect to return whenever they feel that they have experienced enough.

ME:OK, you have just given me a nugget of gold here that I think we should work with.

A: And which particular nugget is that?

ME:That a guide or a helper can also elect to return whenever they feel that they have experienced enough.

A: Mmmm, I think you may have the wrong end of the stick here, so to speak. I will elaborate further.

A TES or Aspect of a TES can elect to be in either the evolutionary cycle or be of service at the start of a particular evolutionary cycle or at any point in the existence of the cycle; most, however, choose to stay on the path that they have chosen. Others, specifically those in service as guides, helpers, maintenance entities, or overseers ...

ME:What are overseers?

A: Overseers are the entities that guide the guides.

ME:Are they master guides?

A: No, they are part of another function. They are part of a hierarchy of entities that work with the, shall I say, work with their function.

ME:Are you talking about the so-called council of twelve?

A: One of them.

ME:There is more than one council of twelve?

A: Yes, there is a group of twelve entities for each of the frequency levels used to create the physical universe. Each of them has a role to play in orchestrating the evolutionary efficiency of the frequency they work with. I was going to

The Anne Dialogues

say “assigned to,” so I will say why they are assigned. The Source assigns certain TES to maintain the structure of the multiverse. There is a hierarchy of entities that help with this maintenance below them; some of these you would call angels or elementals. You will write a book on this subject later. The TES that are assigned to the physical universe assign other TES to orchestrate the evolutionary efficiency of their assigned frequency. These are the entities that guide the guides and can also be classified as overseers.

ME:Interesting.

A: Yes, it is, and I expect I may help you with the compilation of the book that will describe them and their roles. We still have a lot of work to do together with this book, though.

ME:Thank you for that reassurance.

A: Good, I will continue with delivering the information I was supposed to before you asked your question.

ME:Please do.

A: Others, specifically those in service as guides, helpers, maintenance entities, or overseers, can elect to enter into the evolutionary cycle for any period they wish. Some choose to complete the remaining period of a cycle of the multiversal existence; others choose to experience only a certain period of events. Many more only experience a few incarnations before returning back to their role as guide, helper, maintenance entity, etc. More frequently, though, incarnation is used as a method of checking the performance of a ward on an almost side-by-side basis, the period being minutes to days to weeks to months to a few years. Even whole lifetimes are used in this sense. What should be of interest to you is that those TES and/or their Aspects that are already in the evolutionary cycle can, and do, choose to become guides or helpers and therefore choose to be of service rather than be in the evolutionary cycle.

12: The Guide and Helpers

ME: Would these Aspects or TES need to be free of karma, to actually be in the position where they no longer need to incarnate in the first place?

A: Ordinarily, yes. But an Aspect or a TES whose Aspects are carrying minimal karma can elect to leave the evolutionary cycle and work out the karma in another way.

ME: How would they do that?

A: Where the karma is a link between Aspects there can be a trade of evolutionary content to negate the link. If the karma is based upon attractivity then the TES can work the addictions out by working directly with the Aspects, their guides, and helpers to dissolve it.

ME: And that allows the overall sentient energy that is the TES and/or its Aspects to move out of the evolutionary cycle and into service.

A: Yes.

ME: Just exactly how many Aspects or TES, or Aspects and TES together, move out of the evolutionary cycle in preference to being in service to those that remain in the evolutionary cycle?

A: I cannot give you a figure per se.

ME: Why not?

A: Because it is not a number that you would recognize as being reasonable.

ME: Try me.

A: OK, it is in the billions, that being, if we include all of the frequencies of the physical universe. However, this number is only a transient figure.

ME: How do you mean "transient?"

The Anne Dialogues

A: I say transient because ultimately any entity that chooses to move outside of its initially chosen path needs to move back into it again prior to communion with The Source, hence the figure being transient.

ME: Does this not affect their evolutionary content?

A: No. Nothing is lost from an evolutionary perspective by moving back into your initially chosen path of being either in the evolutionary cycle or being of service.

ME: Why does it need to move back to its original evolutionary cycle? I wouldn't have thought that this mattered.

A: We have a path, an evolutionary path, to follow and that path is one that we choose at the start of the evolutionary cycle of the multiverse. That being, when The Source has accepted all TES back into sentient communion, moved its sentience from one group of energies within The Origins area of polyomniscient sentient self-awareness to commandeer another, and then populates it with TES again, our TES choose a main path to work with. As I have alluded to, there are only two main paths: the personalized evolutionary cycle and being of service. The reason for the need to return to this "originating path" is because it invokes a primary Event Space associated with it.

Although Event Space is a parallel condition, it has functionality associated with it that is aligned to the accrual of evolutionary content. This demands that, no matter how many Event Spaces are created by an Aspect or TES, it must return to The Originating Event Space.

ME: Surely this means that there is only one ultimate path that an Aspect or TES can follow, that all others are supplementary.

A: No, it means that although all parallel conditions are created from one ultimate start point, and finish at one end point, they are just as valid as individual, but parallel, spatial environments. It's the evolution that is linear, and it is the evolutionary condition that determines whether an Event

12: The Guide and Helpers

Space should continue to expand fractally or collapse back into the main evolutionary line of progression.

ME: Got it. This means then that if the evolutionary path chosen is to be of service, taking into account that being of service is not the personalized evolutionary cycle, it is an evolutionary path, at the start of the evolutionary cycle of the/a multiversal environment, then the Aspect or TES has no choice but to return to this evolutionary path at the end of the end of the evolutionary cycle of the/a multiversal environment. This is irrespective of how many times it swaps from the personal evolutionary cycle to being of service and vice versa, including the number of Event Spaces it creates.

A: Correct.

ME: And this is simply because The Originating Event Space is either in the evolutionary path of being of service or the evolutionary path of the personalized evolutionary cycle.

A: Correct again.

ME: So Event Space controls us even though we create it?

A: Only in so much as it is a function of our own choices and that we must return to The Originating Event Space, an Event Space which we initially chose to work with.

ME: Is there any difference in the, shall I say, "flavor" or "function" of Event Space that is chosen by an entity, the TES or Aspect, if it desires to be of service or be in the evolutionary cycle?

A: Only in so much as there is a specific direction that the TES or Aspect that invoked the Event Space needs to go in, in terms of the roles and responsibilities that they would operate within in a particular evolutionary path.

ME: And these are the creation of Aspects and or Shards to animate the incarnate vehicles within their chosen environment for those that chose the evolutionary cycle. For those that choose to be of service it is remaining as a whole

The Anne Dialogues

TES with no Aspects, or be a TES with Aspects created to be multiversal maintenance entities, guides, or helpers.

A: Correct again, but with one caveat.

ME:What's the caveat?

A: I will describe it in a moment.

ME:Oh, OK. What about the TES at the originating Event Space?
I would have thought that a TES would not create/project Aspects of itself so early on in the evolutionary cycle of a new multiversal environment to be in a position of choosing which path to take.

A: Two main things happen at the point of population of a multiverse during a new multiversal evolutionary cycle.

One: the individualized Source Entity sentience that are TES are allowed to consider their direction before they are assigned a body of energy, that being they are individualized while still in communion with the overall Source Entity sentience. In this instance, individuality can be carried over from a previous multiversal evolutionary cycle, it can be a new individualization, or it can be an individualization that is re-individualized after entering into full communion with The Source at the end of its personal evolutionary cycle in a previous multiversal evolutionary cycle. During this time, the TES decides on which evolutionary path to take and whether or not to create Aspects of itself to help it in its chosen method of experience.

Two: once they have made this decision and are assigned a body of energy, if they have already chosen to create Aspects of themselves they assign smaller portions of their own individualized sentience to certain areas of their energy (creating smaller Aspects of themselves) and assign certain desired experiences to certain Aspects. These experiences are either within the evolutionary cycle or being of service. In this instance, the TES remains outside of both the evolutionary cycle and/or being of service remaining only in the evolutionary cycle of the multiversal environment while

12: The Guide and Helpers

their Aspects work either in the personal evolutionary cycle or are of service. This is the normal state of a TES if it has some Aspects that are of service and some that are in the evolutionary cycle. Those TES who create Aspects that are all of service enter into service in totality. Those TES whose Aspects are all within the personal evolutionary cycle enter into the personal evolutionary cycle in totality.

Alternatively, if a TES wishes to remain whole and not create Aspects of its self, it can choose to be of service by working with the maintenance of the greater multiversal environment. In rare instances, and this is the caveat, a TES can elect to remain whole and enter into the evolutionary cycle as well. But, this is only chosen by a TES if they wish to make a change to a certain universal environment and that that change requires all of the sentient energies of a TES and not just an Aspect of a TES.

ME:Doesn't this last option run the risk of a TES creating TES-sized karma?

A: If the TES is incarnating into the lowest frequencies of this particular multiverse, yes. But in this instance a whole TES would be the sentience behind a number of, shall I say larger bodies, such as galaxies rather than an incarnate vehicle like the human body.

ME:Well, that would ensure that the TES avoided TES-sized karma, wouldn't it?

A: Yes, it would.

ME:Hold on a moment. Aren't we supposed to be of a whole Om TES?

A: We are each a single projection of a whole Om TES. We are the only two projections, the only two Aspects. There are no Shards projected from us. As you already know, Om, pure Om, don't generally incarnate.

I would like to touch upon how a guide works now, for we are getting close to the end of this dialogue.

The Anne Dialogues

ME:How close to finishing are we?

A: We are within the last 15 percent or so of the work necessary to complete this dialogue.

ME:There is quite a bit more to come then?

A: Yes, but we are coming to the end of the subjects I wanted to discuss with you and your readers.

ME:OK, let's go for it. We are on the home straight!

I have to say that at this point in the proceedings I did actually feel that the "end was nigh," so to speak. I felt this even though I noted that we had another four main chapters to work with and another subheading within the current chapter to finish. My logical mind had a dichotomy to deal with, though. Anne had stated that there were only two main ways to progress, the evolutionary cycle and being in service. She also stated that an entity must return to the path of origin if that path was deviated from. For example, if The Originating path was the evolutionary cycle and the entity changed over to being of service it must return to the evolutionary cycle in order to commune with The Source. In The Origin Speaks, The Origin identified six ways to progress and that once a path was taken, any migration to another path was a one-way street. Something didn't feel right here. Based upon this, I felt a strong urge to clear this up before moving on to the next subject. As I paused for thought for a moment, I noticed that my connectivity with Anne was being activated. Anne was quick to clarify the situation.

A: There is no dichotomy, just a limitation in the information being portrayed.

ME:How do you mean, a limitation in the information being portrayed?

A: The Origin gave a holistic rendition of the information surrounding the way an entity, as an individualized unit of sentience, can progress. It was considering everything

12: The Guide and Helpers

relating to a TES in general and not just those TES that are created by The Source Entity you refer to as SE1, which is what we were discussing. What's more, although there are many form factors throughout the physical universe that an entity can project an Aspect (soul) of its self into, it is still the "evolutionary cycle" and as such is still relevant to incarnation as the evolutionary method of progression. It is incarnation and the use of the human form that are being used as a datum. Based on this, we are only really interested in the two main ways a TES can relate to as methods of progression while in the evolutionary cycle, the evolutionary cycle itself and being of service. The other methods of progression are nice to know, but are of a higher context when considering this human-centric dialogue on the processes surrounding incarnation.

In terms of the comment about migration from one path to another being a one-way street The Origin itself later stated that this was not strictly true because some entities do swap paths on a secondment basis. Note here, though, that they must still return to their original path before communion with The Source can be achieved, so migration is by definition a two-way street. Even if the entity stays in its second path for the rest of its individualization and that the second path ends up being 99 percent of its total existence it needs to return to The Original path for it to ascend into communion with The Source.

ME: So there is no dichotomy, just a limitation in the depth of information being broadcast because of its applicability to incarnation within the physical universe, which in this instance is human-centric.

A: Correct.

ME: And the one-way street comment really referred to the need to return to the original progressive path taken?

A: Again correct.

ME: OK, thank you.

The Anne Dialogues

With this cleared up, I relaxed a little. It made perfect sense to me that the information in this book would be human-centric and the information in The Origin Speaks would be more holistic. I also noted that in some respects I had placed the cart in front of the horse by being presented with deeper information from a higher authority and then working on a new project that by definition would present less information in the holistic sense but would go deeper in the specialized subject of human incarnation and with a lower authority.

A: It is nevertheless important for human kind's understanding of that which is invisible to them in the incarnate state. It will make them more cognizant of how the decisions they make and the actions resulting from them ultimately affect them in their true state. A lower authority, eh! From where I exist, you are the lower authority.

ME: That I can fully accept, and I accept full responsibility for maintaining the level of accuracy necessary to ensure the information is clear and concise. Especially as it is up to me to get the information in the right order and ensure that there is continuity in the information that is being presented. I know we are allowed to be in error as long as we are heading in the right general direction, but it is important to get it as accurate as possible.

Right now I again recognized that it is the way questions are asked, and the need to interpret the answers correctly, that ensures that the information is clear, concise, and has continuity, giving it ultimate validity. I have always known this but for some reason, when dealing with different levels of information to capture the attention of truth seekers that are at different levels of interest and understanding, it has become even more important.