April 24, 2021 - Pre-Recorded World Satsanga for The Kevin Moore Show

Transcript of Pre-Recorded World Satsanga by Guy Steven Needler:

"Welcome everybody to this World Satsanga held on the 24th of April 2021. And again I encourage you to look at Kevin Moore's YouTube Channel, MooreTalk and "They Call Us The Channelers" along with his Moore Show. He's got some fantastic new material on there — people who are identified as being channelers and the information that they get from the greater reality to present to us in our incarnate state. Fantastic information. Please visit his YouTube Channel.

Okay, so we've got lots to do today. Firstly is to look at the agenda. The agenda is as normal: the lecture and then followed by the information from the different questions. Lots of questions this time. And then a meditation and the meditation this time is not as advertized. I've had a request, which I think is a very relative request for us to meditate on becoming all equal and not having any issues with race, color, creed or any form of ethnism or financial or material wealth. We're all equal, so let's take a look at that and get the feeling of being all equal. And this should be quite a relevant and special meditation. And the talk is about everything in the multiverse is everything else and what does this mean?

Part 1. Lecture on "Everything in the multiverse is everything else and what does this mean?"

Well, one of the great curiosities about the structure of physicality is that it seems to have this limitless opportunity for microism, so that everything that is micro can potentially be macro as well. So if you look at the atomic structure of everything, we've got the atoms themselves and then we've got the components of the atoms: the electrons, neutrons, protons, muons, etc. And we get down to the leptons, which are the quark, stranges and the charm. And there's a couple of other layers below that. And then we get to the sixth layer, which is the Anu, which is first discovered or explained to the western world by Besant and Leadbeater — a couple of sort of 19th and early 20th century western yogis, let's call them.

Well, the thing is that we also start to look at this from a different perspective as well in terms of quantum physics, quantum mechanics and the things that cause interaction. And we're starting to realize that we can manipulate our environment by our sheer willpower or desire to have or enter into manipulation or our desire for results. And so we can create a different quantum condition and we've seen this in some of the more, shall we say, regularly advertized experiments, such as the double slit experiment where we get both particles and waves being presented on the target within what should be either a particle or a wave and not both. And that is created through our intention to find an answer.

And so the answer is that everything is everything. So if we look at this first before we look at it from the multiversal perspective, what does this mean? Well, this means that if something called light or electrons or anything can be fired through a sort of semi-target, which is a slit, and it can either come out as a particle response or a wave-based response, that tells you that there is no specific adherence to a law. And this means that everything is potentially everything. And I call this "quantum latency." Now I apologize, if this is being used by scientists to measure something else, but my understanding of quantum latency is that everything within the physical universe, therefore, everything within the multiverse is basically charged with everything.

So any aspect of a multiverse and its universes has the capability of being everything else. So everything in one universe can be the same as everything in another universe. And everything in a macro universe can be repeated in a micro universe. And everything in the micro universe

can include everything that is associated with a macro universe. So we have this indication that everything is usually in a state of quantum latency or just latency, if we just take it from the perspective of the multiverse rather than the physical universe.

(5 min) And that latency means that it's got this potential to be everything — not just specifically one thing based upon our desire to create one thing or assign it to being one thing, such as assembling a group of atoms to create a brick or a chair or a piece of metal or a computer. You know there's various different components in a computer and there's various different components in a brick. There's lots of different silicates and earth and things like clay and carbon and everything else that's there. So we have lots of different particles together to create one thing.

But all these particles change from being latent, i.e. being able to be anything, into being assigned to something. And this is also manifest in T cells. We see T cells as being a simple cell, which is nothing. It's a cell. But then it can be assigned to be something, such as a heart cell, liver cell, kidney cell or brain cell. So it's got this potential, this latency to be anything. And that's the same with the multiverse. So everything within the multiverse, if it isn't assigned to something can be anything else in the multiverse and that includes the physical universe.

Now this also includes anything that is assigned to be something. So if anything is assigned, let's say a pane of glass has a number of different quanta that are all together that have been assigned to change their position of quantum latency into a focus at some point, which in this instance is glass. If we were to change that focus, we could change it back into latency and into something else, such as wood, metal, a brain cell, for example, or anything else, even a part of a gas. We can change it to anything using our desire and our intention and our thought, which creates the action.

The thing is that as incarnate human beings, we struggle that much with our environment, because it's low frequency, and of course, we are affected by our ego that wants to keep us low frequency, so it can perpetuate as long as possible its own existence, that we start to and by and large generally think that anything like that is impossible. So the chances of a pane of glass becoming a motor car by us using our intention to change it are an impossibility, because we've not seen anybody do it. We've not experienced it ourselves. We maybe heard some stories about quantum teleportation. That means that we can transport a group of particles by actually copying them from one point to another point, but they're still the copies, because the original copies stay there. That's called entanglement...[this] is something that we can't do.

But that's because of this low frequency environment and our ability to not be connected with our Higher Self or True Energetic Self or Godhead or Oversoul and/or the greater reality around us, and also our functionality that's apportioned to us, when we are normally disincarnate and at higher frequencies. So we have this doubt factor, which creeps in this fairly solidly engrained within us, specifically, when our peer group, our masters, teachers, authority figures tell us that these things are impossible, then we start to think in terms of that's an impossibility. In doing so, we create the possibility of impossibility.

So we create this condition, where we don't actually give ourselves the ability to create something else by changing its quantum form from one thing to another form or energetic form for one thing to another form, when we're above the gross physical and we're above the rest of the frequencies associated with the physical universe. So if we look at it from a different perspective, everything that is part of the structure of the multiverse has the capability of and is programmed to be — we use the word "programmed" because it makes life easy; we can understand that word "program" — to be anything. And that means it is anything.

Now another way to look at this is an electronic module that you might buy for a car. And in that electronic module you have, let's say for instance, a certain amount of functionality. Now when we buy the car, we agree to a certain level of functional accessories that are associated with the car and we turn on at the manufacturer or the dealership that functionality. What we don't see is that all the other levels of functionality that we could have bought — more functionality or less functionality — are also included. So we simply turn on a portion of the programming of that module, so it performs in a certain way, whereas we could have chosen a different way and then turn on another portion of the programming to make it function in a different way, a higher way or even a lower way.

(10 min) And that's the same with the multiverse. Everything is within everything. Everything is everything concurrently. It's just that collectively we tend to assign functionality to parts of the multiverse from the energetic perspective, and to parts of the physical universe from the physical perspective. And so when we realize that anything can be anything else, you start to think that things like teleportation is totally possible, because all we have to do is change the assignation of what a particular particle or aspect of physical space is from one thing to another.

And that includes the sentience, because the sentience will travel where it needs to. An incarnate entity that is in one location in the world, let's say Buenos Aires, and then it wants to be in Detroit, for example, in the States, then all it has to do is detach the assignation associated with that incarnate vehicle that it's using from the location in Buenos Aires and reassign it to being in Detroit in the States. So what you have then is that the body disappears and it comes back into its latent state or it gets changed into being background, which could be just the atmosphere. And the background that was there in Detroit becomes assigned as the incarnate human vehicle in this instance. And the sentience instantaneously moves from one point to another point, because it's creating the condition where it wants to be in a different location. And that movement could be anywhere within the physical universe or even anywhere within the multiverse as well.

So if we see that everything has the potential to be everything, because it's everything concurrently, it's just that we haven't turned it on. We haven't chosen that bit of programming, for instance, that we start to realize that everything is possible. There is no impossibility. Every function in the multiverse is capable of being reproduced and is reproduced either in a latent function or in an operative function, a chosen function, a specified function at any point within it. And so there is no difference in anything. Everything is everything else.

We think in a linear way, when we are incarnate, because we don't have the capacity to think in a higher frequency way, which allows us to be thinking in a spherical way, where we consider all points consistently or concurrently rather than having to go from A to Z via B, C, D, X, Y, etc. etc. And so we don't understand that these things can be concurrent, because we're experiencing something in a linear way, a very slow linear way as well.

So from the multiverse perspective, we can have anything within anything else as well. So you can have the biggest galaxy in the physical universe actually within an atom as well or within a lepton, which is a quark or even lower than that, because everything has the capability of being everything else. And that includes all the planets, the solar systems, the stars and supernovas, etc. etc., any black holes that are there. Everything can be reproduced. So not only does the multiverse have the capability of presenting itself as being everything concurrently, unless and until we assign it to be one thing, but size isn't an issue either, because the space that it's in is also expandable, so to speak, within its own space.

The only way to explain this is really to consider those of you who watch "Dr. Who" (an old classic British science fiction series by the BBC) will recognize that the TARDIS [time machine and spacecraft] is bigger on the inside than on the outside. That's because it's using space in a

different way. It's using space not specifically in different dimensions, but in different frequencies. They call it "Time And Relative Dimension In Space," but basically "time" doesn't exist and dimensions are a much higher structure, so it's got to exist in different frequencies. And that's the reality of how it would work here. And so everything can be in the same space. It's just that we use that same space in a different way. And that's the same that's happens in the multiverse. Everything is everything else irrespective of size, shape, functionality and relevance.

(15 min) Okay, and that's something for the quantum scientists to consider and the theoretical physicists to consider as well. Because when you consider this, then you start to realize that mathematics is a very poor communicator of the function of the multiverse. In fact, the mathematics we had, I'm told, isn't even kindergarten level to be able to explain it what we're doing. It's even less than 1+1 equals 2. So we can't even use mathematics to explain it, although we try to. It's a very poor...the way we use mathematics, our most advanced mathematics is still a very, very poor way of understanding things.

But looking at it from a different perspective and getting back to this concurrence, everything is concurrent. Everything is concurrently everything else. It's just that our focus creates this assignation of what it should be, what it can be and what we desire it to be. Okay, well, I hope that helps. A little bit high level, so to speak, or should I say low level, because we could go into some detail into this. But in essence, that's enough to help us understand why sometimes some of us see different environments around us, when we are sure that we are anchored in this particular environment. Because all of the environments, all event spaces also interact with each other concurrently and are available to us should we decide to focus on them.

Okay, so let's look at these questions. There's lots of questions we've got today, lots and lots of questions. So I had to split them up from March to April and we've already got some more going over into May Satsanga. But these questions are from MO, the wonderful lady who's doing the translations of the books into Japanese and is doing a fantastic job, some really detailed individual doing this in a detailed way, so I'm really, really pleased. So the questions start as such...

Part 2. Questions and Answers

1. Is it bit easier to be one with The SE and The Origin, if one has certain percentage of the OM-ness associated with him/her? (MO)

I'm being told no, it's just a function of our dedication irrespective of whether you're a hybrid OM, full OM incarnate or a captive OM or a noncaptive OM. It's immaterial. When you are incarnate, you are subject to the vagaries of the lower frequencies and you have to work with this.

If you look at all of the different spiritual leaders around the world over the centuries or over the century, it's certain they all have their own problems with this particular low frequency environment. So my understanding is and what I've just been told is that it's not easier at all. It's just that we have a different energy set basically and a different type of sentience, a different quality of sentience, if you like. But whilst here, we have to obey the same physical rules that we give ourselves as everybody else.

• I feel the change in frequencies when I meditate. It feels like I am elevating the frequencies around me with me. Are we able to effect our environment positively if we meditate regularly? How are we able to stabilize the frequencies of our environment at higher levels?

Well, yes, we do change our frequencies, when we meditate. And if you feel that the changes in frequency, which could be heat. It could be tingling. It can be pressure around the spiritual or third eye area or around the base of the skull. It's because your physical body is straining to keep up with where your sentience is going. So the frequencies around us are also affected as well. So think of it like a heater or better still a light bulb.

The function of a light bulb is to create light, but the byproduct is heat. And so when we are elevating our frequencies ourselves or projecting our consciousness to a higher level of frequency, the resultant sort of a function of that is that there's also a waste product. And that waste product is that we inflate the frequencies or raise the frequencies around us. And that means that we can also help the environment by allowing the environment to become a higher level of frequency as well by meditating. But definitely, meditation does increase, does absolutely raise one's frequencies.

• Also, do the qualities and effectiveness to the surrounding environment change when we are contacting and/or in communion with the higher beings and entities such as The OM, The SE, and The Origin while we are meditating? If so, how?

(20 min) Well, anyone who is meditating creates a positive effect, because we are increasing our frequency around us. When we increase our frequency around us with contact with other entities, such as the OM, other disincarnate or incarnate entities that are higher frequency, or we can talk to the Source Entity or the Origin, we are creating a condition where we are lifting our frequencies. And so those around us are affected by it as well.

And when they are affected by it, they start to change the way they think, behave and act to a positive way, because they start to see how stupid things are, how kindergarten our thought processes are, how ridiculous the way we respond to people is. When we're angry at somebody, why are we angry? We don't know why we're angry, we just know we're angry sometimes. Or they've annoyed us in some way. And the thing is with this that when we're higher frequency, we can say why we're angry. We can see what's made us angry. We can see what makes them angry. And we can see that we're just entities in incarnate vehicles doing the best we can to experience, learn and evolve.

So it affects us and it affects the people around us and it affects the environment positively. And if you meditate and meditate to higher entities, and you're meditating around trees, for example, or plants or any sort of flora and fauna, which is just natural, you'll notice that they start to glow as well and they start to benefit from the higher frequencies. They love it. Next question...

• How does our SE feel when human incarnates manage to contact It? And how about The OM and The Origin?

Well, the OM are a bit aloof, a bit distanced. They like to keep aloof. There are not many of them that like to incarnate, so they like to keep away from incarnation, because it creates karma. It also creates responsibility. They tend to keep away, but those who have contacted the OM, will be pleased that the OM are pleased to meet them. And so is the Origin and so is the Source Entity. I mean it's very rare that an incarnate entity will be in contact with the Origin or even the OM, it's more likely to be the Source Entity, because that's predominantly where our sentience is individualized from.

But it is delighted when an incarnate aspect of itself manages to rise above the frequencies and return to it, even though it's temporarily maybe in meditation to communicate with it or be in communion with it. It's delighted by that, it's in joy. And we are also in that joy as well. So we get the bliss state associated with it. So transcendental meditation or samadhi, as the Hindus call it, usually results in a large smile on one's face, and that's because we are in joy. We're enjoying

being in communion. And the Source is enjoying a smaller aspect of itself being in communion with it as well. So next question...

• Why do we need to ask for SE's assistance in order to establish connection with The Origin, if we are all part of and are The Origin?

It's simply a function of needing help to be elevated. You know, when we fly, we need help. We need the help of a vehicle, an airplane or a balloon, for example. So we seek the assistance of something that can do something that we can't do. And so the Source Entity, if we ask for its help to communicate with the Origin, is basically giving us a boost. It's accelerating our opportunity to be at a higher frequential level to allow the Origin to communicate with us and to allow us to communicate with the Origin. So we have to move to a point, where we are equal with the Source Entity, so that it and we can communicate with the Origin.

It's a bit like standing on top of a mountain, so you can see as far as you can. You can only see the whole landscape and the curvature of the Earth from a very high position. You can't do it from being on the floor. Or certainly if you're in a city, we have city canyons. You can't see above those canyons unless you go to the top of one of those buildings. So being on top of one of the buildings is like asking the Source to help us. We need to be elevated to a point, where we can see more and communicate more. Okay, next question...

How can we tell if we are communicating with The Origin and not other beings/entities or creation of our ego?

(25 min) Hmm, you know. You definitely know. It's something quite profound. When we're communicating with astral entities, for instance, or incarnate entities at a higher frequency, you feel as if you're on the same level as them. You feel as if they are simply brothers or sisters, for example, to use a human term. But in real terms, when you're communicating with the Origin or the Source, it's like being exposed to the biggest level of communion that you've ever existed. Your expansion or one's expansion is indescribable, so you know. I can't describe it. You can't describe it in human terms, but you know when you are in communication with them or in communion with them.

• If Pure OM can move around different SEs, then they do not have the sense of belonging to specific SE?

That's right. In fact, the OM aren't specifically aligned. Pure OM or noncaptive OM basically aren't aligned to a Source Entity. It's only those that are of a lower level of quality of sentience, who become captive OM or hybrid OM that are particularly associated with a Source Entity. Some of them decide to be associated with a Source Entity, but that tends to be on a temporary basis to just suit themselves. But in real terms, it's something that they do, but they don't need to be associated with a Source Entity. They belong to themselves. They are beloved of the OM. They're not beloved of the Source Entity. Next question.

• Is your specific Pure OM originated from our SE, or from different SE? If different, which one are YOU from?

My understanding is that I'm one of those that are not specifically from a Source Entity. I don't know. I'm not allowed to know why I associated with this particular Source Entity, other than the fact that maybe because it's got the more diverse function in its own interpretation of the decree from the Origin to experience, learn and evolve in as many different ways as possible. It has a really unique and diverse and "multipulous" way of experiencing itself and its environment through us. And as far as I'm aware, there isn't anything like the same type of multiversal environment created from its own structure that is anything like reproduced in any of the other

Source Entities. So for me, it feels very much like I chose this Source Entity, or my True Energetic Self, or the other OM who's looking after me, like Hum, for instance, because it looks after me every now and then. With Anne as well, we looked after each other while we're here, and she's still looking after me here. We just basically decided that it would be interesting to associate and do what those individualizations of sentience from a Source Entity are experiencing, just as an experience. Okay, so I'm not from any particular Source Entity, although at the moment I'm aligning myself temporarily with this Source Entity, if that makes any sense.

• If the energetic signature of The OM and The Origin are the same, how can we (non-OM) identify which one is which?

That's a very good question actually. I'm just going to ask the question. Okay, so it's about the quality of sentience. The quality of sentience with the Origin is vastly bigger in terms of, let's call it volume, than the quality of sentience with the OM simply because they are much smaller individualized units of Origin sentience and energy, who have got their own individuality, well, in terms of their own ability to move around and do what they need to do, whereas the Origin is everything. And so the sheer volume of the quality of sentience associated with the Origin is how you could tell.

(30 min) It's a bit like going into a greenhouse and then going into a nursery where there's huge, field-sized greenhouses, just to give you a minor example. When you're in a greenhouse, you know it's full of glass and it's for growing flowers. But then if you go into a nursery, you go into a huge greenhouse, which can be a hundred meters long and all joined together, so it can be a 100 meters square. So it's the same thing, but it's much bigger. You would feel the enormity of the Origin in comparison to the minority of the OM from that perspective. But you know it's the same thing. They're both greenhouses, but one is a bigger greenhouse than the other. That's the way to identify it. You would intuitively know.

In your past recording of Satsanga, you were talking about time becoming shorter and shorter, i.e. what used to be 24 hrs/day is actually much less nowadays. Then how many actual hours do we have today? (I feel like a day is like a blink of an eye and a year feels like less than a week.)

I feel that we are 17 hours now per 24 hours. And the 24 hours I'm talking about is 24 hours we used to have in the end of the Medieval era, for example. And we've moved a lot. In the last 40 or 50 years, we've lost a couple of hours. Definitely. It's a lot. I mean a couple of hours in the last 40-50 years is an awful lot. And you can feel the difference, because the percentage of those couple or three hours we've lost is a big change. It's a bit like a logarithmic scale, where the further you progress along the x-axis means that the more significantly more you progress on the y-axis, for example. That's the same sort of thing. So we're in comparison to the end of the Medieval era, we are 17 hours per 24 hours now, if that makes any sense. I hope it's understandable. Next question...

• What is the significance of the pose during meditation? Is there more effective pose than the other? If so, which one is the best pose for meditation?

Well, the Hindu's have got this nailed. The best posture is sitting in the lotus position or crosslegged with the back erect and the shoulders relaxed as well. And the eyes closed and gently focused on the origin of the spiritual or third eye. With the back erect and the focusing on the third eye, what this does is it allows us to create an uninhibited line of travel for the energies within the spine. And then when we meditate properly and we breathe properly in pranayama, the energy moves up and down the spine and activates certain plexuses associated with the chakras. And when that happens, that elevates our frequencies. And so, if we sit in a crouched position, we're inhibiting that flow, so having the spine erect is the best way forwards. You can also meditate lying down if you wanted to. That creates the ability for the spine to be straight, but in the supine position, which is lying down, you have the chance of going to sleep. So it's not the optimal really. The optimal is being alert, aware and awake and sitting in a crosslegged position or a straight backed chair with your feet flat on the ground. That's the best way for it. And I believe the Hindus like us to have a woolen rug that we sit on and also our feet are on the woolen rug, because it insulates us from the magnetic fields of the Earth.

(35 min) Okay, so the next question was basically the request for a meditation, which is to overcome discrimination, so we'll deal with that later. Okay, which is a very good question. And that's the end of the questions from MO. The next set of questions are from FN & US. And US is that lovely lady, who does the transcriptions for me and FN is a facilitator or we've tried to have some facilitation of some workshops in the States, so these are the questions from those lovely ladies. The question starts at 3, because the rest of these questions were basically passed on from March's Satsanga.

3. Queen Elizabeth: How is a soul picked to be someone like Queen Elizabeth? Many of us have had readings of our Akashic Records and we discovered that we have been various souls in the past from famous philosophers to warriors. (FN & US)

• How do souls pick the role of a world leader? Who determines which souls get the part?

Well, we all have the chance of being every particular role. There's not one particular role that we are destined to be. We're all kings, we're all beggars. We're all thieves, we're all criminals. We're all spiritual leaders. And it's just basically when the opportunity comes for our turn. Now certainly there are some areas where we are world leaders, where certain levels of evolutionary level are more pertinent to that role than others. And so sometimes you find that there are world leaders, who have more than one soul associated with them. So they're all experiencing being a world leader, because they're all in the same body.

But individually they wouldn't have the sentience or not the sentience so much, more the evolutionary progression to be able to cope with being at that level, but collectively they do. So it's simply a function of if the chance is there, we take it. But it's the vehicle of how we take it, which makes the difference between whether there's multiple souls within one body or a single soul within one body, when that body ends up being in a dominant or important or leadership or very influential level.

Will Queen Elizabeth II be the last monarch for the UK, given that support for monarchy is eroding among younger generations, Scots and others who abhor abuse of power?

Let me look. It's not going to change in our lifetime. I can still see two more potential leaders, which are quite obvious to us. But it's going to change in its function. It's going to be more of a figurative condition, even more figurative than it is now. Rather than being an authority, it will be almost like a brand image from what I'm seeing here. I'm probably not explaining it properly.

It'll just be something that is recognized as being unique in certain countries. There are still certain countries around the world who do have kings and queens, for example, though the UK isn't a rarity in that matter. But having said that, in terms of its visibility, it is quite a unique situation. And it's going to be used as an example of what happened in the past, in the past event spaces rather than being something that's being used as a governmental opportunity.

4. Jesus and the Essene Brotherhood: The core group around Jesus included his Mother Mary, his father Joseph, his uncle Joseph of Arimatheae (who took him on world travels),

his wife Mary Magdalene, his brother James, his disciple John The Beloved (who some claim was Kuthumi) and another energetic being ("The Silent One"). Could you ask your guides and tell us the real story? (FN & US)

Right, all of these individuals that were mentioned were people who were...or souls who had incarnated to assist in the presentation or delivery of alternative ways of experiencing existence and beingness with a view to increasing the frequential environment and the frequential level of those who were incarnate.

(40 min) So from that perspective, they were all part of not specifically guides or helpers, but they were all there in support. I mean we have a similar thing now with the people or families we're born into as support mediums. They are our guides and helpers, but they're not. They're not our guides and helpers, but they are there to assist us.

This core group, and certainly the Essenes, were part of a group of individuals, who knew how to connect with Source and they knew how to work with the energy associated with the multiverse, but specifically, in this instance, the universe. So they did teach Jesus. They taught him how to meditate. They taught him how to manipulate his environment. They taught him how to heal. They taught him how to move into different event spaces. They taught him how to basically understand and master his environment. So that's as far as I'm aware. He was a master yogi, if that's a better way of saying it. He really understood how to work with the environment. He wasn't attached to impossibility. He wasn't attached to low frequency thoughts. The next question, which is part of this...

The bible claims Mary had a virgin birth (immaculate conception). Was Jesus' body
procreated by Mary and Joseph in the usual manner or was Mary assisted by a higher
frequency entity to get pregnant? If so, please state the type of entities and which
frequencies or dimensions?

We don't need to know that. It's too much detail.

• Why it was decided to contribute Jesus conception to a virgin mother? What were the reasons to broadcast Jesus's birth in that manner? Mohammed in the Quran alluded the same, years after.

It wasn't an immaculate conception in my understanding. It was a physical conception and a physical birth. Basically, the words they use are figurative. Okay, they're illustrative to suggest and tell us that that soul was born in purity. And the souls that were allowed to procreate to create the condition and the baseline frequency for that soul to be attached to and to be born into also had to have a level of purity. So the conception was born in purity, was conceived in purity. So that's what made it immaculate, because it was pure. There was no karma. Nothing. There was no addictions. There was no attractions, no materialism. There was no status associated with it. It was immaculate in terms of its purity. And that's what it is. So it's the understanding of the words and what it's trying to portray. Not what they actually read like, if that makes any sense. Next question is...

• When Jesus was born, he was visited by the three Kings or Magi. Some claim they were the ascended masters known as El Morya (Melchior), Kuthumi (Balthazar) and Djwhal Khul (Caspar). Is that true?

He was certainly visited by ascended masters, as we call them now, because they were there to assist in making sure that when Jesus was in a very vulnerable state, he wasn't disposed of, so to speak. They were there to ensure that the things that needed to be in place from a physical perspective were in place to allow him to flourish. So they were there for that. And there's all

sorts of stories of you know, there's frankincense, myrrh, etc. etc. as being gifts. So these things were there to help. They were currency in effect, gold, frankincense and myrrh. They were currency to help him move around in a more invisible way in the material world with very aggressive. But they also were yogis in their own right, so they understood the importance of another ascended master or another soul of the same level of sentience and of the evolutionary level that could be classified as an ascended master was to the Earth. So they were there to help. Okay, so the next question is...

• These three ascended masters seem to have incarnated together in several lives, according to CW Leadbeater's book ("The Masters and the Path"). Is that true?

(45 min) Whatever you call an ascended master, there's lots of them. There's tens or thirties and forties of different names associated with ascended masters, although there's supposed to be twelve major or master ascended masters, if you want to call it that. And St. Germain is one of them and so is El Morya and so is Djwhal, etc. They're all different names for those entities who are beyond the need to be incarnate. They've mastered incarnation. That's why they're an ascended master. They've mastered incarnate.

Yes, there was a particular period on Earth's history, if you want to call it that, or event space, where we needed to move forwards quite quickly and in an accelerated way. And so there's been a number of times when ascended masters or souls of high evolutionary level have entered into incarnation together to provide the basis for a springboard, to allow us to move onwards and upwards through the frequencies in a faster way, and to encourage those who are incarnate to follow a better path of existence whilst incarnate. Okay, I hope that explains that.

The next question is from MD. Okay, and this one again is a series of questions that we split out into two, so this starts from #6. So it's following up from #5 from March's Satsanga. Right, so quick questions here.

6. You said a healer can sever karmic links between individuals or a guru can take other people's karma. This confused me. Where is the role of forgiveness from the receiver of wrongdoing in this? (MD)

Usually, if there wasn't the feeling of forgiveness, then the guru or the healer couldn't remove the karmic link. So in going to the healer to have the karmic link removed, they are automatically offering forgiveness, because they don't want a link there. And actually, it's quite a high thing to do, to ask for a karmic link to be removed, you are creating a condition where you are helping the perpetrator of your bad fortune or your bad health or even being killed, for instance, or bad circumstance, you're allowing them to move onwards, so you're being of service. So for a guru or a good healer to remove the karmic link is allowing the person who is asking for it to be of service [by] giving them this karmic link in the first place.

So we have to think of it from a higher perspective, and also sometimes the forgiveness has to be reciprocated. Yes, I do accept your forgiveness of me, and the link usually severs quite quickly, because souls don't want karma. They don't want karmic links, so they are quite happy to receive that level of forgiveness. So even though it's subliminal, or nonvocalized forgiveness, the forgiveness is there, because they want to have the karmic link removed. Next question.

• What about financial debts, does that create karma too? What If someone dies before paying his debts, does that create karma?

A financial debt is usually a function of materialism. It's usually something where we've been extending our ability to exist beyond our capability. And that is usually to support some form of materialistic condition, which is karmic in its own right. So financial debts are just a

consequence of the initial condition, which created the karmic link with materialism in the first place. So if somebody dies before paying off his/her debts, they've already got the karma, so it doesn't matter. It's just maybe a deeper level of karma. They have to work it out in the next life basically. So they'll have to understand that materialism and the use of currency is another way of gaining karma. And when they understand that in a new incarnation, then that link is severed.

• Is astrology just the result of intense observation of patterns within a frequency band and positioning of planets in reality have no effect on our lives except serving as a symbol of recognition of a frequency pattern?

(50 min) Yeah, I mean astrology is...it's very, very high concepts to understand it, but the astrology that we're working on is based upon the rotation of the galaxy, which results in high or low frequencies, depending upon the location of the galaxy within the physical universe and the rotation or how long it takes to rotate. And so when the galaxy rotates, it goes through levels of high and low frequency, and those high and low frequencies are repeated, so to speak. And the personality type of somebody associated with a particular location is generally governed by frequency level. So yes, it is a frequency pattern.

So basically, when somebody's born Taurus, for instance, they're usually born in Taurus or maybe even in the Chinese zodiac as well. So you've got the zodiac or the location associated with the twelve months of the year, and it's twelve, a very magic number that's part of the structure of the Origin. And then you have again this number twelve being repeated later as well. So we have twelve within twelve, which again is an indication of the structure of the Origin.

But in this instance, it's a function of the structure of the physical universe within the multiverse, which is a function of the structure of the Source, which is a function of the structure of the Origin, because the Source is a smaller individualized unit of the Origin, of course. So these areas have pockets of high frequency and low frequency, and so we see how that affects us from a sentient perspective, because if we incarnate into a really low frequency state, then we start to be affected by the low frequency state, because we do. I mean we are, because we're in a low frequency environment. But if we incarnate into a higher frequency aspect of that low frequency state, we're more able to use our evolutionary content, and therefore, we're more aligned with higher frequency thoughts, behaviors and actions.

So the answer is yes, it's an observation of patterns within a frequency band, and actually aligning it to a planetary system doesn't actually mean anything as such. It's just a symbolic explanation of how the frequencies affect us when we're incarnate and that's it. Next question.

• What about numerology and tarot cards? Am I correct in assuming that because of the belief systems one has about them, the Higher Self, guides, the shard/aspects through intuition and using the concepts offered by those tools?

My feeling is that numerology doesn't give us an awful lot, other than it's along with tarot cards, it's a focus for us. If we don't have the confidence to connect directly by using our sentience through meditation, which is another tool to get connected, then we sometimes use lower frequency tools, such as pendulums, such as crystals, such as stones, such as candles, such as burning herbs, such as cards.

They're all a focus for us to gain information in a way which we can relate to, because it's a lower frequency modality, isn't it? So they're just there. They're just tools that we use to create a focus and that's it really. So it's more than just a belief system. It's about what we're prepared to work with, how expansive we are, whether we need to use these tools or not, as the case may be, and that expansion might be a function of a belief system you might say.

So yes, I'm sort of half agreeing and half saying, there's a bit more to it than that. But really these things are a function of our expansivity and our evolutionary progression and how that affects or can be used in our incarnation dictates as to whether we can connect directly or whether we need to have a tool to act as a focus to help us connect. Okay, well, I hope that answers that question. Good question, that is.

• In case of reintegration of the shard with the aspect, does the shard become part of the aspect in an unconscious manner or do they find themselves as the individualised aspect and gain consciousness?

(55 min) No, shards, they become fully communized. They enter into full communion. There's not the same level of individuality whilst still being in communion that an aspect can have with its True Energetic Self. Simply because of the percentage of sentience associated with them. Although they can function as an incarnate, you tend to find that shards aren't...although they can still be famous and they can still be rich and all this sort of stuff, the aspect probably wouldn't be drawn into such low level sort of desires, for example, although look around us.

When the shard demises or the incarnate vehicle demises the shard is using, that shard goes back into full diffuse communion with the aspect, so it loses its individuality. So there might be a moment of expansiveness when entering into communion, but then that expansiveness is lost, because it is then the aspect, rather than a smaller individualized unit of the aspect.

Okay, so I think that's the end of the questions. We do have some more questions for next month, which we will use then. So rather than add them in now, since we are starting to go towards the hour, I will get on with the meditation.

Part 3. Meditation

The meditation is to try to remove, well, let's not try, let's remove the problem we have with discrimination around the world. So let's enter into a meditation, and I want this meditation to provide a different thought process basically, and therefore, [be] more enlightening for us.

(58 min) Please download the high quality MP3 File to do the guided meditation with Guy Steven Needler via his website or blog (meditation starts at around 58 min).

(1:13) Closing comments: Thank you very much for participating in this meditation. Share the meditation with as many people as you can. Cut and paste this particular last 15 minutes or so in this World Satsanga. Pass it on. It's an important concept to understand that we're all one, irrespective of body type. Body type is transient, but the soul isn't.

Thank you very much for participating in this World Satsanga. It's always a joy to feel you all whichever event space you're in. And I look forward to working with you again in May's Satsanga, which will be, if I can look at the calendar, on the 29th of May 2021. Source's love to you all, God's love to you all, my love to you all. And love each and everyone of yourselves together. Namaste." END

NOTE: I have prepared a Searchable pdf document with ALL World Satsanga Lectures, Questions and Answers collated from 2016-2021 to make it easier for us to see what questions have already been asked in previous Satsangas and what answers were given at the time. This will allow us to ask NEW questions or ask similar questions from a different angle after reviewing what we already know. This document is over 600 pages, so don't try to print it out.

You can go to Needler's website (www.beyondthesource.org) to find the document at this URL:

www.beyondthesource.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/All-World-Stasanga-Transcripts-2016-2020.pdf. OR simply click on **"All Transcriptions**" here to go there.