

March 27, 2021 - Pre-Recorded World Satsanga for The Kevin Moore Show

Transcript of Pre-Recorded World Satsanga by Guy Steven Needler:

"Welcome everybody to this World Satsanga held on the 27th of March 2021 in conjunction with Kevin Moore and The Moore Show. And I invite you to visit his YouTube channel. He's got some excellent broadcasts on there surrounding the subject of channelers, and it's called "They Call Us The Channelers." And he's got a new broadcast there, which is very, very good. I think it's worthwhile looking at.

Okay, so let's have a look at the agenda for this month. We've got lots of questions actually, so I might have to cut them in half and use half of them for April, so we'll see how that goes. The first part is "How do scientists affect the results of quantum physics experiments?" Then all of the questions, thank you very much. And then we have another meditation for actually meeting the Om. I've got a request from somebody to meet the OM, so we'll see if we can do that.

So that means that we probably will have to reduce the number of questions. I'll answer them, but don't worry. Those of you who put the questions in, which is MO, FN, US and another individual. We'll find out. There's a lot of questions here, and I think it'll be worthwhile maybe spending some more time answering them. So rather than trying to rush through them all in one day, I shall hopefully try and broadcast them in April as well.

Part 1. Lecture on “How do scientists affect the results of quantum physics experiments?”

So the first part is looking at how do scientists affect the results of quantum physics. The physical universe, which is what we're relating to and not specifically the multiversal environment, is what is focused on for quantum physics. But the thing is that the quantum physics aspect of it is simply a projection or a creation sustained by ourselves, but also sustained by the Source, of course. It's because the physical universe is part of or just one component — a rather unique component of the multiverse — which is part of its own structure, which is compartmentalized to allow smaller parts of itself, our True Energetic Selves to experience itself in minute detail.

And so everything that's in the physical universe that is affected by the multiverse. And so as a result of that we just don't quite understand the effect that has. So when scientists have experiments and these experiments don't exactly go as planned, or shall we say, they don't provide the answers to the questions in the way they expected the answers to the questions to come, or the answers change, then there is a thought process that maybe there's something else going on. It's a reasonable thought process, because basically what's going on is that the power behind thought is immense.

We have a calculation, or how should I say, a linear progression of Desire — Intention — Thought and Action. And it's not specifically associated with our thought processes and how we bring them into fruition. It's associated with everything that we interact with. So when we have an experiment, and the classic experiment, which is being broadcast quite a lot is the Double Slit Experiment where a number of sort of light particles or light waves, whatever you want to call them, are projected through from a source to go through these slits and to be then captured on the other side by a receiver.

They are sometimes classified as being as light particles or sometimes classified as being light waves. And so the thought process or the theory is that light is a particle, a photon, that's also classified as a wave, because lights are waves, which is frequency — it doesn't quite solve the

problem, because it's not allowing the concept of light being whatever it is to be classified as whatever it is. And so what happens is when we have scientists who are interested in these experiments or any other experiments, their intention to find something out or their lack of focus surrounding finding something out creates a change in the way that aspect of the experiment works.

(5 min) So in this instance, when you're firing light, in whatever modality it is in, light wave or particle, through these slits, it either comes out as a wave or a particle depending upon the thought processes or the interactions with the experiment that the experiment has got. So we interact with an experiment by and large in a subconscious way. Because if we think, Well, light is a particle, then what we might get it through is a particle. Or if we think light is a wave, it might get through as a wave.

But if we have a number of scientist involved, who have...some of them think it's a particle, some of them think it's a wave, depending upon who's involved with it and when they're thinking about the experiment, and they don't have to be in the same room as the experiment, they can be anywhere in the world, but thinking about the experiment, then they can affect how the experiment changes and then changes the results.

So what we have here is the interesting conundrum where anything that we're doing from a quantum perspective isn't exactly quantifiable in a physical way. We can't say that quarks are quarks or stranges and charms, they're all part of the same thing. We can't say there's antiquarks. We can't say there's antimatter. Because at the end of the day, matter is a function of the gross physical, which is a function of six levels of quanta, which are going down from the atom all the way down to the Anu. Because the Anu is the first level, which was discovered by Besant and Leadbeater and also previously described by Hindu yogis as being the first manifestation of solidity, of physicality.

And so everything we do affects everything we do. And so when we create an experiment, we expect it to work in a certain way. And so it works in a certain way unless we have a completely free mind. And this means that things like the Large Hadron Collider aren't actually doing anything decent in terms of an experiment. It's a huge piece of expense over a huge, vast area, kilometers long, and it gives us an example of what we want to see. If we were totally open-minded and in total acceptance and totally expansive, we wouldn't need to use such machines to get the answer we want. We just need to ask Source for it.

We just need to understand it from a different perspective. We need to be on a higher level, a higher frequential level, to be able to understand that from a physical perspective, a lot of the physical universe isn't apparent to us, because we are only observing basically a quarter, or should I say, not even that, a tenth, if you think about it. Because the first three frequencies, mankind thinks they're dimensions, creates the gross physical. Then after that every level above that creates a different level of physicality, which is more and more diffuse. And so in effect, in the physical universe we have ten levels of existence that can be experienced whilst still having to have the need to incarnate.

So the first three frequencies create the gross physical. The next frequency, the fourth frequency, which is the lower astral, creates the opportunity to have a higher level of frequency but still being in the physical. The fifth, again a higher level or higher frequency relationship with the physical, but still being physical, still needing incarnation. So everything we do is basically from our perspective focused upon the gross physical, but actually we affect all of the frequencies within the physical universe.

So scientists affect the result of experiments through their expectations, which is based upon previous knowledge. And that previous knowledge can and does result in constraints or

resistance to understanding the grosser reality as it is. And so when you look at the results of fired light and going through two slits, and sometimes it's a wave and sometimes a particle, what are we learning? We're learning that scientist can affect the outcome of the experiment by what they think irrespective of where they are, or how they're interacting with it irrespective of where they are.

(10 min) They could be in the pub, for instance, talking about it while the experiment is going on. Or even before or after the experiment and that will affect the outcome of the experiment. Right now scientists are suggesting that light is both a particle and a wave. But whether it's a particle or a wave is by and large affected by the interaction or outside interaction of some level of consciousness or sentience that creates it. But in essence, what the light is what we want it to be, okay. It is in effect both a particle and a wave or nothing that is associated with the gross physical.

And so if we as scientists were able to detach from the constraints of the so-called laws of physics that we have created as part of the gross physical, or our interaction with the gross physical for the last 300-400 years, we would be able to see things as they really are, because we wouldn't be constrained by gross physicality. We'd only be working with that which is achievable. We wouldn't have any limitations. We wouldn't have any thought processes that are governed based upon previous knowledge bases. If we had not been constrained by certain laws of physics, by certain individuals, such as Einstein, Newton, Copernicus and all these different people, Galileo, then we would know more than we do right now.

Now clearly these people have been landmarks and massive milestones from a scientific perspective, and they've made a massive contribution towards science, but what they've done in essence in their contribution has created a framework that future scientists or subsequent scientists have used to create new models for understanding the physical universe. And those models have been constrained by previous work. So rather than having new discoveries that are completely novel, that are not based upon previous thought modalities or constructs or physical constructs or scientific calculations, we would have been able to have understood more.

So when we as experimenters enter into an experiment to discover something, we need to be totally and utterly open, in total acceptance. And it may well mean that the experiments, the materials of the experiment that we're going to use to try to find the answer to the question aren't needed. We might not need to have large colliders. We might not need to throw light through slits. We might not need to use huge magnetic forces to try to control electrons. We might just need to be able to meditate and understand it from that perspective.

We need to understand everything we do we have the power to affect. And in thinking, as based upon desire and intention in some way — Desire — Intention — Thought — Action — isn't always about how we interact with others or how we normally interact full stop creates an outcome based upon sometimes a limited concept. So every time we have a concept, we need to make sure that concept is a milestone. And it is only a milestone, it is not something we would use as a means of generating the next level of understanding. It is simply something we have achieved at one point.

So every time we make an experiment, we need to throw away all the previous knowledge base we've had and start from scratch every time. No expectations. No reference points. No confusion. No references. No use of milestones. No use of springboards or kickstarts. We need to start from scratch and then we might find much more about our physical universe above and beyond those that are affected by or created by our frequency level.

(15 min) Okay, well, I hope that's answered a few questions. The other thing to note, to affect and understand is that everything in the physical universe is everything else. The concept of things like entanglement or the creation of entanglement again is a limited function. It's a limited thought process, because it's creating directive thought rather than recognizing that everything is everything else and has the capacity to be everything else.

So my watch can be my car, because there's enough physicality there to create a car. Or my eye could be an airplane no doubt [unclear]. It's the concept. We have to think that everything that we have, every part of physicality has the ability to be everything else. And then when we understand that, then we'll understand that the laws that we've got in physics and quantum physics are simply limiting us and our ability to understand this particular aspect of the multiversal environment that we're in, the physical universe.

Okay, so let's have a look at these questions. I'm going to see what I can do. I'm probably going to split them in half at first and see what happens. So this is from MO.

Part 2. Questions and Answers

1. Are Lemurian Seed Crystals really what humans say they are? They are really pricy crystals, but I am a bit skeptic about their stories. It feels like most of them are just marketing strategies? (MO)

Yeah, I mean, how do we know a crystal is from Lemuria? How do we know it's from Crete or whether it's from a mine in Outer Mongolia? We only have the say of the individual who is selling it to understand that's where it's coming from, that's its origin. So my idea is or my feeling is, I should say, that just feel a crystal first before you buy it. Now crystals like everything else respond to psychometry, respond to your intention to get information from them. So ask them where they've come from? Don't go into a shop and spend \$100 or something on a crystal, because somebody says it's from Lemuria or it's from Atlantis or it's from some other part of the world that's in deep or prehistorical condition. Ask the crystal where it is from before you buy.

• How does the OM's energetic signature different from The Origin? Are they similar?

In essence, it's the same, because the OM are individualized units of the Origin. But they're unintentional individualized units, so therefore, you could classify them as mini-Origins, although that's probably a bit preposterous. They are smaller individualized units of Origin, so they are pure Origin, but they are individualized as a function of basically the reuse of that energy that hadn't been reassigned properly. So it's preprogrammed Origin energy that was used to create along with other reprogrammed energies to create Source Entities, but actually it didn't mix, because it had a different level of understanding of what it was.

So that which was previously used to create the Twelve Origin experiment stayed as Origin. It didn't mix to become Twelve Source Entities. Okay, so it's the same. It's the same. But of course, they have different experiences and so that demarcates or differentiates between that which is Origin in totality and that which is smaller individualized units of Origin that are uncreated as part of creation. They are the uncreated creations.

• Are 24-hours-7 days-a-week full-time professional meditators that you talked about previously connected with The SE and The Origin? or just The SE?

There's not many that would be in contact with the Origin, basically because of their own limitations. They, as I believe a young gentleman called Roy Eugene Davis once asked Paramahansa Yogananda back in the very late 1940s-early 1950s, how many people have gone

beyond God? Yogananda says not many. They get basically infatuated with God and they don't move beyond God to that which is beyond God, the All There Is. And the All There Is, the Absolute, as the Hindus call it, is the Origin.

(20 min) So you can probably say that there's a significant number less than 1% that are in contact with the Origin. But a lot are in contact with the Source or God.

- **How come we don't hear about The Origin from others besides you? Are others referring to The Origin by different names?**

Yeah, I mean, again the Hindu yogis, they understood that the Origin is that which is beyond God. They do talk about it. When I first started to be in contact with the Origin, I didn't know that at all that there was a limited number of individuals who know about the Origin at all. And it was only later, when I opened a random page in a book that was given to me by an old Aikido student of mine, and that book was a very ancient book. It was published by a yogi who lived in Chicago back in the early 1900s, and he mentioned the Origin but called it the Absolute. And later, much, much, much, much later I was able to read the "Autobiography of a Yogi" that I realized that the Origin was the same thing. So really it is individuals, who are expansive enough to be able to recognize the fact that there is another much, much larger level of sentience above the Source Entities. So in reality, it's All There Is, that which is beyond God, the Absolute. Those are the ways it's described.

- **What are the key factors to establish stable connection with The Origin? And how can we maintain it?**

Learn to have constant communication the Source Entity first, and then ask for its assistance in connecting with the Origin. Connecting with the Source Entity is a significant achievement, a significant achievement whilst incarnate. It's not something that everybody does. There are many who seek it, but sometimes their own desire creates a blockage. So my advice is do your best to meditate. Do your best to disassociate yourself from the gross physical and in doing so, enlist the help of the Source. And then when you're competent in your connectivity with the Source and you can turn it on and you can turn it off, as and when required or at will, then you can ask the Source to help you in understanding and working with the connection required to gain in contact with the Origin. So that's basically it, those are the key factors.

- **How many people on the current Earth are consciously in communion with The SE?**

Based upon the fact that we've got 7.8 billion, now this is American billion, not a UK billion, so an American billion is a thousand million, not a million million, as it used to be. This is basically computational needs rather than how to use or define the jumps from one particular metric to another metric. I'm seeing that it's just a few thousand, not even that maybe. Not even that. Not even individuals who are yogis are in contact with the Source. Not even yogis that have been meditating hard and diligently for 30 or 40 years can't contact the Source. Maybe because they're doing something wrong. Some individuals can be in contact with it instantaneously. Others may take a bit longer. But in general, it takes dedication and complete open mindedness.

- **And how many are consciously in communion with The Origin?**

Even less. I'm being told less than a hundred. Okay, less than a hundred. So it's quite a lot of people there who are not in contact.

So I'm going to end those questions there with MO, because we have swapped them and use them for April's Satsanga. Now we've got some questions from US. Just to let you know, there's four more questions from MO that I want to use in April.

(25 min) US has taken into account the lecture and asked a bunch of questions posed on quantum physics, so with the transcription, there's going to be a question with the questions, there will be the links to various different locations where some of the different questions have been derived from, because some of this is published matter and the questions are based on existing published matter.

2. Here is a science question based on a recent article in [The asymmetry of antimatter in the proton | Nature](#). Please comment if this is an example of scientists' influence over the results OR if there is a more fundamental reason behind it (e.g. better particle detectors or experimental design)? (US)

- Researchers at Fermilab confirmed that there *is* a confusing imbalance in the antimatter inside every proton (which is made of two up quarks and a bottom quark sticking together using the strong nuclear force). For every one of those quark types there's a mirror opposite — an antiquark.

There is no real existing antimatter. It's something that's been created with the function of science seeing things positive and negative, and the physical universe being duality. So the objective that things have an opposite is not strictly true. So again this is something that we expect to see. We expect to see an opposite, because when we have things like electricity with a positive and a negative. We have an Earth but there has to be something that creates the surface. But that's a loop. A circuit is a loop basically.

Okay, sometimes we have a battery that is there, but the positive and the negative of the battery is the connection of that loop in the battery, and then we've got the thing being activated either a bulb or LED or a small motor that creates the loop. So a loop is the battery with that being activated or excited, which is a light or a motor. So rather than thinking of a loop, they're thinking of it as a positive and a negative. That thought process also comes into the idea of how things aren't in the physical universe.

- When one type of quark meets its antiquark match, the pair vanish back into the sea, leaving a virtual flicker of a photon in its wake. If we imagine a proton like a balloon of quarks zipping about with a variety of energies, those momentums say something about the kinds of quarks popping in and out of existence.

So what's happening is that basically the combination of those quarks together is allowing it to be or present itself in one frequency level vs. another. And the movement from one frequency to another has an effect, and that effect is the generation of something. Now in this instance, they talk about a photon, but in essence, it could be anything, because that particular pair of quarks, or whether they call it antiquark or quark 1 or 2 and positive quarks or negative quarks, it doesn't matter, it's moving into a different frequency. So it moves from one frequency to another without anything else happening other than that space needing to be occupied by something that's in the first frequency level.

The other thing to recognize is what appears to be a photon might be something that's come from a higher frequency. As I just said, the combination of these two quarks together creates the potential to go into the next frequency level or change what they are from quarks to something else. So I'm also being shown here that there's a potential to have in what's the location in this particular frequency, a high point in this low frequency be in contact with a low point in the next frequency (up the fourth frequency), and as one thing leaks in, one thing leaks out. So another way to think about it is again it's the potential expectation. And also another thing to observe is that sometimes when one thing goes from one point to another point, again there's a momentary portal there, a momentary keyhole observation what's in the next particular location that may present itself as a photon, because that's expected by the experimenters.

- Older experiments found that once the quark's momentum was high enough, some flavours of antiquark did become more common, the up ones.

(30 min) Again this feels like it's an expectation that something might be different. I mean don't forget quarks are leptons. The quark, the stranges and charm are three particles that are classified as being leptons. So they're not...so there's three conversions of the same thing basically. And so these things will change. And of course, the opportunity to manipulate it based upon what is expected will affect the outcome of the experiment. And it's saying here, however...

- But new experiments on quark momentums found more abundant down antimatter quarks than up antimatter quarks over a wide range of momenta.

So in effect, it's showing that if we have confused thought within a group of experimenters, this can affect the outcome of the experiment. And so really what we're saying is the link between the old experiments and the new experiments is that there is influence on the results of the experiments based upon the thought processes of the experimenters themselves. And hence, the desire to find something different creates something different. You know, you only have to have, if we want to find something different, it creates the opportunity to find something different, so that's what creates these differences. So this question is based upon: [Decades-Long Experiment Finds Strange Mix of Antimatter in The Heart of Every Proton](#) (there is a link here to that particular piece of research that will be part of the transcript, the answers that will be transcribed). It's interesting here, it says:

"As always in particle physics, a lot more information is needed before the matter is settled. Those nuclear matryoshka dolls at the heart of every atom aren't so quick to reveal their secrets quite yet."



There's a comment here: If it's too complicated for a general audience, just answer me privately. But I've tried to answer what I can do here. Don't forget that mankind expects to see something below. We break an atom, we see electrons, protons and neutrons. We break protons and neutrons, we find the quarks, stranges and the charm, the leptons. When we can break a quark, we will find something else. So there's an expectation to find something else, but we create that something else. So although there's potentially six levels of quanta below the atom giving the Anu, these are based upon our expectations. Not because they're actually there. We create them. We create these different levels. And actually, we can create another increasing level of detail, of depth based upon what we expect to see, because we create it.

Okay, the next question is from both FN and US, so let's look at this. Just one moment and it's based on backfill people.

3. Backfill people: In the previous Satsanga, you said that Backfill people accrue karma very quickly, because they don't know how to handle individualized free will. You also said that they are not responsible for dissolution of their karma, because it is being reabsorbed by everybody else. You have told us Donald Trump is a backfill president (with two backfill souls in his body). (FN & US)

So there's a bunch of question based upon this. Okay, we'll see how we go.

- Is his karma being reabsorbed by those people who voted for him? Or all of us, even those who recognized him as a backfill person and as a poster boy for karma based on his low frequency thoughts, behaviors and actions (e.g. corruption, nepotism, bank fraud, tax fraud, malignant narcissism, sex scandals, etc.)? If animals accrue karma, why are backfill people exempt?**

Animals tend to accrue karma because of our interaction with them. So that's there because of us basically. Sometimes they come into incarnation with fears based upon how they've been mistreated by man. But they can also come into incarnation with positive karma based upon how well mankind has interacted with them. So in this instance, yes, we all, not just those who vote for somebody, we ALL help clear the karma of every backfill person, because they are there to assist, to be of service to us in totality, because they are helping those who haven't ascended to the next level to achieve that level of ascension in this particular level of frequency. So they're there to maintain the base population on the Earth, so that those who haven't ascended yet to the next frequency level can therefore do so.

- In one Satsanga you said that backfill people are not in the reincarnation cycle and that they incarnate once and leave. In another Satsanga you said some of them will be allowed to reincarnate and they do accrue karma. Is someone like Trump and his children allowed to reincarnate to clear their own karma or not? Let's say Trump, who is backfill person, encourages violence and his followers are hurt and held criminally responsible for their actions as a result of listening to Trump's orders. Trump does not accrue karma. How do these others absorb Trump's karma?**

(I just dropped my microphone there.) It's very rare that those backfill people do come back. And those who come back are usually, because they've not responded in the average way. They've not responded in a normal way and they show the possibility of benefiting, and so they are allowed to move into the possibility of becoming part of the evolutionary cycle, even though the vast majority — you know, 99.9999% of backfill people don't. So in this instance, that individual would — I'm not going to refer to Trump, as that's probably a distraction, but for instance, somebody, who creates karma is allowed to have that karma dissolved, but they're allowed to come back, because they've got merits and they are showing evolutionary progression, would eventually be able to reincarnate and clear their own karma, as it's accrued. But then they would have significant help from their guide and helpers. Okay.

So the question: How does one's karma get absorbed by another? Well, basically, if you can recognize it as being done in this way. This is an example: When we do something to somebody, we create a link. And that link is either dissolved by ourselves or dissolved by the individual, who we've done wrong to or has done wrong to us. So we have to forgive them and they have to forgive us, or we accept reciprocal interaction with them. This is the classic thought process of karma.

Somebody's karma can be — and this is part of another question I've answered — somebody's karma can be removed by somebody else without them interacting with that other individual or other experience or other environment that creates the karma in the first place. But if that is being done as a result of healer, then that has to be done with thoughts by the healer and by the individual who has gone to the healer for the healing and for the karma to be removed. So there has to be some level of interaction to say seeking forgiveness, that's some mantra said by the individual, who desires to remove the karma that they are interacting in a way, which can be conducive to them wanting forgiveness or seeking forgiveness or giving forgiveness.

Those who can have karma removed remotely through remote healing, for example, if so working on behalf of somebody else, the healer has to ask permission of the other person energetically to find out if they want to have that karma removed before I can do it. Okay, and so the links have to be done. So there has to be some level of, shall we say, reciprocal agreement that allows that karma to be removed. And we all have agreed to absorb the karma of an individual. Some of those individuals are those backfill people, for example.

(40 min) And the way we do it is we just...and you can tell now that we are absorbing a lot of karma. You can reference all of the strange, wrong things that are happening around the world right now. Those of us who aren't participating in those wrong things are absorbing that karma. And in some instances we do feel a bit aggrieved because of the things that are going on around us, and that level of aggrievement is a function of us absorbing the karma. The trick is not to become part of the karma. Not to slip down the frequencies and interact with the environment and others in ways that are consistent with the ways that that karma is being accrued by individuals.

Okay, so it's like all the bad things that somebody's done, for example, we take onboard, and then we subconsciously absorb it by seeking forgiveness or giving forgiveness for individuals, who are doing wrong. So we won't know who those individuals are, but if we can rise above all of the bad things, like the abductions, the people being murdered in parks, for instance, people bombing people, people still warring with people, people who are still arguing with people, people still wanting to have status over people. We can forgive all of that and still show and articulate that we can live in a better way and we can act as an example in a nonnegotistical way, then we are not only absorbing that karma, but we are showing how to create good karma as well. It's difficult, but that's by and large how it's done.

And the individual, who absorbs the karma will basically and by and large feel what that karma feels like. They'll feel associated with things, they'll feel drawn into thinking low frequency thoughts, behaviors and actions. The thing is not to. The thing is to stop it. So when you feel drawn into a low frequency thought, behavior and action, it's probably because you're assisting in the negation of somebody or some group's karma somewhere in the world whether it's close by to you or further away.

- **In another scenario, let's say we have a batterer who is a backfill person and his wife is a fully sentient human soul. Does the victim carry the batterer's karma in addition to their own karmic behavior of having to deal with fear and accepting responsibility?**

That's one person abusing another. If a person is in forgiveness, then they will forgive that person. But they will also need to leave that environment. It's not good to stay in an environment, which is abusive full stop. But you can move out of that environment and you should move out of that environment and you should forgive the individual for being what they are. So yes, that person will be dealing with not only with their own karma, which could be positive karma, because they are forgiving that person, and they're also creating a condition, where they are entering into a higher level of frequency by moving out of that abusive environment. But also they're creating a positive level of karma by forgiving the person, who has

wronged them as well. So that's something else to think about. It's a difficult thought process for human beings to understand, okay, to get around the fact that somebody, who has abused us needs to be forgiven. But remember Jesus says: If somebody punches you, offer them a chance to punch you again. That's the way of negating it, because you are absorbing their karma for them. That's what Jesus said, you know. Somebody wrongs you, ask them to wrong you again. And two negatives eventually make a positive. That's a way to think about it.

4. Corey Goode says that when he was a teenager he was removed from this timeline to work for a secret space program. He was working for them to interface with extraterrestrial Galactic Federation as a psychic and an empath for 20 years. Once his work was finished, he was brought back to Earth again. They cleaned his memory and he was again a teenager, as if he had never left. He was able to remember his past 20 years experience slowly and now he openly talks about it.

- **Could you inquire from your guides whether what Corey Goode is saying is accurate? Is there an alien galactic federation?**

What I'm being shown here is that there are many groups that you could call a Federation, if you want to call it that. There are many groups that work together depending upon their supposedly geographical location within the physical universe at this frequency, or at another frequency in other locations. They tend to group together to work on certain projects and things that benefit them altogether. So there's not just one, there are many in this group of three frequencies and other frequencies subsequently above this frequency. Okay.

- **Does the secret space program have access to a "time machine"? Does the US Government have access to a time machine?**

(45 min) There is no such thing as "time" and there's no device that we could use that would allow us to move from one particular event space to another. This is something that has to be done with our sentience. Okay, so the object of moving from one event space to another is not something that can be done with a machine, it's done with sentience. It's done with extremely advanced meditation processes.

So whether those individuals would be asked by governments to do this sort of thing would be a detrimental request, so they wouldn't be able to do what they said they were going to do, because it's not an advantage to the general population. It's more of a negative use of a positive function of what we can do when we're a higher frequency. So it would actually reduce the frequency level of those individuals, who are participating. Okay, so this sort of really negates the next question really.

- **Are the governments using time machines to predict the future and plan accordingly? Who are the 5 or 10 people running the secret space program?**

There are people, who are working with, shall we say, other entities who are incarnate, and they are outside of the knowledge of most world leaders, let's put it that way. And probably outside of the knowledge or should I say, the communicative ability of those we call the Illuminati. There are individuals, who are working here in human form, but for the betterment of humankind by working with other incarnate entities to monitor and work with how things are going with the potential to, that if need be, change things dramatically, if required, if things go horribly wrong.

Well, the next couple of questions (from FN&US) I want to leave till next Satsanga, because we are running out of time. So there's lots of questions there still for next Satsanga. They sort of follow off these two questions anyway, which is quite good. Okay, let's just put those into back over there. So I'm copying these just to let you know, so you can see what...right, okey dokey.

So these next set of questions, there's ten of them, I'm going to answer just five, because we need to spend some time in meditation. So basically, we've got almost half of the questions, so we've got fourteen questions I've got to pass over to April, because there's some good questions here. So the first question from MD is...

5. In the Law of One they talk about the path of service to self as an option for progression towards the creator. They say this is possible until one reaches mid 6D where higher self resides, because at that point separation becomes unity and that's when they change polarity. So my question is you said karma is addiction to low frequency, so how can a service to self entity go up in frequencies? (MD)

- Because I think using your explanation of karma, the service to self philosophy in itself is low frequency, and therefore, creates the need for coming back to the same frequency band that it was created in and this should block the being in the attempt to move towards the creator?

Service to creator, service to Source in a selfless way, not expecting any praise or reward or respect doesn't create karma. It is basically a pure function, and so in doing so, we wouldn't create any karma. In fact, we would be effectively able to negate karma by navigating through this particular existence in a karma free way by being able to interact with others in a karma free way, by being able to interact with the environment in a karma free way.

(50 min) So in essence, we wouldn't attract karma by being of service. Or it's not even self-philosophy, because what we are doing is we're working in a way, which is allowing us to work in a higher frequency way. Being of service, even service to self philosophy isn't low frequency as long as it's not egotistical. If the thought process of how to work becomes philosophical and it acts as an aid for us to work in a way, which is high frequency, then it's not karmic. If it becomes egotistical, like I am better than you, because I'm working in a karma free way, then it does create karma.

So it just depends upon how you work with it, as to whether the way you're working can be classified as and is resulting in egotistical thought processes or states of beingness vs. selflessness. Okay, that's the way to work with it. Selflessness and detachment and renunciation. That's the way to think about it.

- In The History of God, Source said one problem with eating meat is that the animal has not given its consent. Does this mean eating meat creates karma and a need to reincarnate again?

Eating meat per se, it doesn't create karma. What it does is it creates a state within, which is low frequency, because more often than not the animals are being slaughtered in a particularly stressful way. You know, abattoirs aren't nice places to be, and if you go anywhere near them, you can sense the stress of the animals. Even so-called perfect ways of dispatching with an animal [unclear] is also stressful. Anybody who says that their throat being cut isn't stressful is not the animal having their throat cut. There's confusion involved with it.

So if we pray, if for instance, we farm our own animals and we look after the animals and we feed them, we look after them and we take produce from them, such as cheese or milk, and they die naturally, and then we consume the body, that's fine, because we've looked after the animal. We have loved the animal. And before we do consume the animal, we then should pray and offer thanks for the animal being with us, being our friends, being our partners in some way, shape or form, when we're here.

But also if we do have an animal, or we go to the butchers, for instance, then the choice of meat we should have, we should also pray and offer thanks for that animal as well for giving up its life

and its potential to experience more and evolve more, so it can become food. So you can log into that animal, you know where it is and you can log into that animal and offer thanks for it, and that will help a lot. That will help to negate the lower frequencies associated with eating another life, another incarnate forms of vehicle.

- **Am I correct in assuming that some things, which we consider karmic are not necessarily always karmic and the intention behind them matters, too? For instance, I think gambling has the potential for creating karma, if the intention comes from a place of attachment.**

Gambling is karmic full stop. It's the desire to be rich. It's the desire to enter into something, which is physical. Okay, people gamble, because they want to get money. They want have a shortcut to becoming rich. But the actual function of gambling is addictive as well. The feeling, the adrenaline associated is karmic, so every aspect of gambling is karmic, because it creates a low frequency thought, behavior and action. So there are things that we consider karmic that might not be specifically karmic, but in this instance gambling is one.

I can't think of anything that we...we have to be very careful in how we interact with the physical. Really careful, because anything we do or can do can create an association with it that is karmic. Anything that creates a link with, a desire or a favoritism that is specifically based upon the physical and the physical environment. And that includes cyberspace is a link to the physical, the physical universe and is therefore karmic. So the next part of the question...

- **What about copyrights, let's say I downloaded something for free instead of buying it? Does this create karma?**

(55 min) If something is copyrighted, we ought to ask for permission for the individual who copyrighted that material to download it. The individual will say, That's fine, no problem at all. Enjoy. And that's why I put things on my website for free. And those that are paid for, such as readings, healings or correspondence courses are paid for, because that means that there's an amount of work gone on, which I feel...and the output of the particular correspondence courses create a condition that need to have a level of respect associated with them.

So the energetic exchange of paying for them creates the respect for it. Sometimes if we get things for free we don't respect it. So to respect something, then it therefore becomes valuable to us. In this instance, copyrights, some of the things, like the Lectures I've done, for instance, or the interviews I've done, I just put on the website, because there is information there that should be shared and there's no copyright against it, so you can download it. If somebody copyrights something, they feel it needs to have respect. And so therefore, we should ask for permission for it.

So that does create karma, yes. It's stealing basically, stealing somebody's insights or property rights. And so even though it's there for free, it will be the right thing to ask permission to download it. More often than not, we don't though, but we should pray for it anyway, pray for the possibility and you'll know by praying, by praying that means pray or meditate on the permission to download it. And you'll know if you've been given permission, because you will feel good. If you feel bad, if you feel like you're doing something wrong, then you know you haven't got the permission to download it. It's as simple as that.

- **You said forgiveness can dissolve karma. What happens when the receiver of the wrongdoing forgives, but after a while the hatred towards the wrongdoer comes back? Does this mean forgiveness was never completed in the first place?**

Yeah, absolutely, resentment this is called. It takes a lot of gumption, a lot of, shall we say, determination and dedication to not wanting to accrue karma, to forgive somebody who does something wrong against you. Sometimes that wrongdoing can be so profound, it's like a massive blow to us and it can knock us off center. So we have to really sit down and coach ourselves to say that this person, 1) didn't know what they were doing, but 2) was giving us the opportunity to advance ourselves.

So when we think that somebody's done us wrong, or done something that they shouldn't have done to us, or abused us in some way, we shouldn't think in terms of well, they've abused us. We should think they have given us an opportunity to do something good, which is to remove the karmic link with them. Most individuals who are doing this don't know what they're doing, because it's part of their addiction to the lower frequencies in the physical universe. And so they're doing things subconsciously, maybe because they're not evolved, or maybe because they've chosen the life of basically being a protagonist to help others move on.

And some of these people are those that love us the most, you know. So might have to think about this person has done this to us to give us the opportunity to move forwards in a more advanced way. So when we don't forgive them properly and move on, forgive and forget is a very valuable saying. Forgive and forget. If we don't do that, then we do have the possibility of things coming back to bite us in the backside, so to speak, again karmically. So we need to totally be robust in our forgiveness and in our forgetfulness of that which has happened. But also recognize that if this happens again with somebody else, we do the same thing. So we can use it as an example of how to forgive and forget in a particular way associated with a particular experience by particular individuals or particular personality types.

Okay, well, thank you everybody for those questions. There's some fantastic questions here and I'm going to use some of them for the next time most definitely. So I shall hopefully refer to them next time, because there's some really good questions here, and some of them are going to be, I can see here, some of them need deep answers. Hence, me splitting them into two: this particular Satsanga in March and the next Satsanga in April.

Part 3. Meditation

Okay, so let's go into the meditation that we said we're going to do, a meditation on being in contact with or feeling the presence of the OM. Okay.

(1:00 hr) Please download the high quality MP3 File to do the guided meditation with Guy Steven Needler via his website or blog (meditation starts at around 1 hr).

(1:22) Closing comments: Okay, so thank you very much for participating in this Satsanga. It's always an honor and a pleasure to share the energy with you and just relax a moment. Take a bit of time to come around, to reintegrate to this level. But also don't forget that you all will not be so visible, not be so perceivable to those individuals around you, because you're higher frequency. Okay, so the next Satsanga is going to be on the 24th of April, 2021, so I look forward to meeting you then and I'll also broadcast the agenda for that Satsanga. And God's love go with you, Source be with you, and I send you all my love as well. And namaste to you all." END

NOTE: I have prepared a Searchable pdf document with ALL World Satsanga Lectures, Questions and Answers collated from 2016-2021 to make it easier for us to see what questions have already been asked in previous Satsangas and what answers were given at the

time. This will allow us to ask NEW questions or ask similar questions from a different angle after reviewing what we already know. This document is over 600 pages, so don't try to print it out.

You can go to Needler's website (www.beyondthesource.org) to find the document at this URL:

www.beyondthesource.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/All-World-Stasanga-Transcripts-2016-2020.pdf. OR simply click on "**All Transcriptions**" here to go there.