May 30, 2020 - Pre-Recorded World Satsanga for The Kevin Moore Show

Transcript of Pre-Recorded World Satsanga by Guy Steven Needler:

"Welcome to this World Satsanga held on the 30th of May 2020. And thank all of you for your questions and for kind thoughts, and for those of you helping others in these interesting times, I thank you as well. It's very important that we keep our frequencies high, and in keeping our frequencies high, we still maintain our spiritual awareness as well.

We've got a very simple agenda this particular Satsanga. We're going to go through a quick talk about why aliens are not visible to us. And there's another part to this that I'm going to present as a whole lecture, rather than just having a lecture and questions and then meditation, we're going to have a long lecture in June, which will go into some of the more localized aspects of the physical universe, such as questions like how many major civilizations there are, what civilizations make up the Council of Twelve, who creates the human body, etc. So we can look at that in a bigger lecture context in June.

So although there might be a couple of aspects where I drop into it in this particular talk, it's only going to be a short talk. And it's just going to give us the opportunity to understand why although aliens are on the planet right now, or what we call aliens or other incarnate entities, we can't particularly see or detect them. Then we're going to go through a whole lot of questions. There's lots of questions. I'm going to go through them all. Some of them may be quite simple answers, but some of them might be long, of course. But I'm trying to go through all of these questions, so I can go through this longer talk in June.

And then the end of meet meditation was supposed to be to give unconditional forgiveness, but I've been asked to do one on how to create a condition in countries [continents], such as Africa where the environment is arid and where we can start to reduce the effect of things like famine, plague, such as locusts destroying crops and making the area more fertile, so it will become more productive and be a better environment for those individuals who live there. Okay, so let's have a look at this talk.

Part 1. Lecture on "Why aliens are not visible to us?"

Well, firstly, there's lots of conjecture that they are visible to us, but only visible to certain individuals, certain leadership powers, so to speak, around the world. And this isn't specifically true. There has been contact, specifically as a result of, shall we say, the typical vehicle going out of control and crashing on the Earth. That's quite clear that there have been a number of different periods throughout the Earth's history where the individuals onboard very mechanical craft have had problems and not been able to recover those issues, and the vehicle that they've been in has crashed, so to speak.

And the survivors have been, shall we say, corralled and if not experimented on, have been questioned about certain technologies that are onboard with the craft. So there are physical aspects of aliens of the lower frequencies, of the first three frequencies, where the human body is now that have been in contact in very limited sense. I mean bear in mind that all of these conditions where so-called governmental leaders have been in contact with other incarnate civilizations, or should we say, their emissaries or their scouts, so to speak, have been the only people who have communicated with them.

There are, of course, lots of situations where people have been, let's use the word "abducted," because that's what people like to hear. They haven't been abducted, they've been taken and

shown what's been going on. And sometimes the abductions or the taking out of their natural environment has been used to monitor the body, because sometimes the body is used as a secondary incarnation by some of those souls, who have a primary incarnation in another vehicle, another incarnate vehicle, which is part of the group of individuals, who come to the Earth that we classify as aliens. So that can also happen.

But by and large, most of the incarnate entities that we call aliens exist in higher frequencies, just a little bit higher frequency than where we are right now, or at our frequency level, but shield themselves in an energy, which creates an inability for the human eye and any other technology that we have, which is also based on the human eye, of course. Even x-rays are based upon the human eye, because although they operate at a higher level — same as infrared being higher than the visual range of the human eye, and the ultraviolet being below the visual range of the human eye, and the ultraviolet being below the visual range of the human eye more that very narrow range, this 400-700 nm of visible wavelength that we see.

(5 min) So anything that's above that is outside of the visual range. So a physical, even in the third frequency level, physicality — whatever it is, it can be a house, a car, a plane, a craft of some sort that allows another incarnate entity to transport itself from one place to another within a galaxy or those entities themselves — would be outside of our detectability. So most of them are higher frequency. They're here and doing their everyday work, so to speak, but they're simply not visible, because they are higher frequency.

Or they're shielding themselves in some way by presenting a frequential barrier that puts them in a position, where although they're there and they're the same frequency level that we are as incarnate human beings on this particular third frequency level (FB 3), they're still not visible, because the barrier or the shield that they've put around themselves is a higher frequency. And then anything that's within that shield isn't visible, because we have to go past that higher frequency to see it. So in effect what happens is we get in the position where what we see isn't what's there.

So although there are lots of different incarnate entities, different form factors, you know, think of the number of body types we've got on the Earth — the animals, the insects, birds, fish, the variations of the human body. And then you can start to think about well, use that number of the different types and you can extrapolate that into types of incarnate human vehicles that there are in the rest of the physical universe. So we don't see them for physical reasons sometimes. You know, frequential reasons sometimes.

Also there's another reason why we don't see them insomuch as we are being allowed to do our own thing. We are on the Earth, which is in a particularly, shall we say, hidden area within the physical universe. It's right at the edge of the physical universe, and on top of that it's right at the edge of a galaxy — obviously, a galaxy we call the Milky Way. And so it's out of the way, because what we are being allowed to do, as everybody knows, who has a metaphysical or spiritual belief system or knowledge based system, that we are in an experiment, where we are being allowed individualized free will. So that's another reason why we're not seeing other entities. It's because they're allowing us to do what we need to do without any interference.

And that means that it's a bit like — think of it in terms of time travel. If we go back into time and we affect time and we affect the future, so to speak. It's not always the case, by the way, but that's the typical thought process that people go through. And so if there's a lot of interference from other entities, then it affects the natural way in which individualized free will happens. So if all of a sudden there was lots and lots and lots of space craft suddenly appear in the sky, and we had a Confederation, for instance, of lots of different civilizations. And their representatives come here and say: Look, you're just one planet in thousands who have got levels of technology

and the ability to transplant themselves or transport themselves from one particular place to another, and we're here to help you, then it stops us from developing in our own right.

There's lots of conjecture that you know, we follow the same thing as *Star Trek* does, but Gene Roddenberry when working with Phyllis Schlemmer picked up a number of different things that is happening outside. And then Gene Roddenberry, it's Roddenberry, sorry. He then took some information that Phyllis Schlemmer and Mary Bennett, who helped to compile the book that became "The Only Planet of Choice," that was based upon Phyllis Schlemmer's channeled works in the group that Gene Roddenberry was part of, started to create a number of different things that Gene Roddenberry took away and created *Star Trek* from.

One of those was the Law of Noninterference. Because free will only works, if free will is allowed to dominate the situation. If any interference is given, then free will isn't happening, is it? It's a bit like if you have a child who constantly wants to put their hand in the fire, if you tell them not to, then you stop their free will.

(10 min) Their own free will allows them to investigate and gain knowledge based upon their own experimentation. Now we know that if that child puts their hand in the fire, then they're going to burn themselves and hurt themselves, so we stop them. But what we've done is we've stopped their free will. We've stopped them from finding out for themselves that putting their hand in the fire is detrimental to them. And so we've steered them away from being able to think for themselves and act for themselves and behave correctly for themselves. We're telling them what to do, and that sometimes, as we know around the world, has a detrimental effect.

So a lot of the entities, the incarnate entities that are of the visible levels of frequency or most of them are in the higher frequency levels, so they can't be visible. And some of those are, of course, not incarnate, and therefore, purely energetic. And that's all part of this other presentation that I've done a long time ago, "What are Aliens?" for instance. You go onto the website: www.BeyondtheSource.org, look for Events and Lectures, and then you'll find that all the pdf files of those lectures there. One of them talked about the aliens as well.

And you might find the videos somewhere as well. Most of the videos I've done in the past have always been under license of either the publisher, which is this case is Ozark Mountain Publishers, or the event organizer to allow them to broadcast them and obviously make a bit of money out of them. So most of them are not available freely for some time, but some of them you might find are available freely, if you go onto some of the websites that are out there. You might find that some of them have been copied by now. So look around and see what you might find. But from the pdf perspective, the lecture on Who and What Aliens Are? is there, so you can just download that for free. So you can get the written text, so to speak.

But this free will thing is a major thing. Anything to do with changing our direction, and obviously we've had directions changed through us reverse engineering certain technologies that have come into our hands, you know, like crashed craft and those sorts of things, or personal pieces of technology that some of these entities have had, when if their bodies have died either by the crash or they've been taken off, for instance, are giving us clues about certain things. And if we can reverse engineer them, that's not sort of removing our free will from us, because we're looking at it and we can do something about it, because we have the technology to work with it and reproduce it. But if we were told how to do something, that again is taking the free will away from us, so we're not actually doing it ourselves.

So getting back again to this reason why we don't see any aliens, one is to do with their frequential level, whether incarnate or not. Two, it's to do with whether or not they're shielding themselves or providing some form of disharmonious frequency around them that means that we can't see them with our physical eyes or our detecting machines. And three, that we are

annexed in a remote part of the galaxy in a remote part of the universe to allow ourselves to experience free will in every way. These other entities by and large are not having the ability to enjoy individualized free will. They will have certain levels of free will, but that free will will be relevant to being in a collective condition. So they're either totally collective, such as like an insect hive, where each of the insects creates a function of the overall hive mind, so to speak, so they could be that extreme.

Or they could be the other extreme, where they've got individualized free will, but they've also got a program within them [that] they need to consider what they're doing, and to gain almost authority, whether it's personal authority or higher authority, to enable them to do what they're doing, because to understand first whether that individualized free will or that act that they're going to do is going to be of benefit to the collective or the general population of the civilization that they're part of.

Okay, so by and large, we appear to be on our own in this universe, because we are being left alone, because until we start to behave in a mature way, where we understand our powers and our technologies and the way we should be responsible for each other in a loving and caring and fair way across the patch — everybody has the same level of compensation, so to speak, everybody is considered to be the same and equal, and we can work for the benefit of ourselves and the benefit of the environment — we won't see any of the entities there, because we need to show that we're mature enough to be able to join properly a group of entities, who are already thinking and behaving and acting that way.

(15 min) We need to show that we're in control of ourselves before we can be considered to be part of a group of entities, who are already in control of themselves in terms of their thinking, behaving and acting with the powers or the tools or the toys, if you want to call it that, that they've got. Okay, well, that's the lecture there. I just one of the reasons why we're not seeing these entities around us. And I've got a lot of questions here to go through, so we might have to be a bit patient and go through this. It's an awful lot.

And I know I do make a few apologies for certain pieces of furniture that may or may not be squeaky. I do know that there are these things happening around us. This is the benefit of doing things in your own office, where it's not an antiquated [unclear?] system, it is very much a working environment. And unfortunately, when I have to move around to get comfortable again, it does squeak once in a while. It's actually quite a new chair, so I have to apologize for the manufacturer there. Okay, first couple of questions or three or four questions is from FN.

Part 2. Questions and Answers

1. How could we collectively change our event space such as possible famine, if it's on the horizon or climate catastrophe? Native Indians (aboriginals) had a ceremonial dance to ask for rain and then rain came; is this fictional? If not, can one individual or a small group change events like an Indian (aboriginal) did? (FN)

What I'm picking up here is that there were certain shamans, who understood how to change the etheric profile local to them. And that means, when you change the etheric profile, you can move the energies associated with the etheric, which also makes the local atmosphere denser. And in doing that, you create a condition where you form clouds, and therefore, rain can appear. So it's not a case of, you know, praying for it, it's a case of creating the condition, provided you understand how to use the tools.

And there's also materials, certain specific materials that work together in certain geometric forms, that can do the same thing — that can move the etheric around, compress the air, create

clouds, and therefore, create a weather system. So in the past that information was available to us, but also I'm being told that some of shamans were actually very good at understanding the seasons and very good at understanding cloud formations and knowing when there's going to be, you know, rain or severe weather, whether it would be drought or deluge, so to speak.

So we can change that which is around us, but we all have to — there's another question later, which I'll go into about the number of individuals that are required to create a change, which is the next question actually — but we can change things, but we have to collectively desire to change things. We can change things locally, and the immediate locality of our change is obviously with ourselves and those who interact with us. But in essence, the bigger change can happen provided we are all receptive to the message that's being given that allows us to make that change.

Okay, so we can change event space. We've moved from one particularly good event space to a particularly random event space right now, where lots of things are happening around us that really shouldn't be happening. So we can also be collectively, shall we say, complacent, which allows somebody else to change our event space. So these things can happen, but we have to be in big enough number to create the condition. Now in the second question, it's...

Wendy Kennedy who channels Pleiadians among others says that only 144,000 people meditating together can change the event space such as heal the earth, etc. It seems like a small number, considering we have 7.8 billion people on earth. Is her assertion or statement correct?

(20 min) It's an example. In effect, 144 is 12 x 12, so forget the zeros on the end. It's a function of 12, so it's like a magic number. It works with the structure of the physical universe and the structure of the Source and the structure of the Origin, so it's got energetic weight behind it as well. But when you look at things in terms of meditating, if we're meditating together concurrently, all thinking the same at the same time and nobody with their mind wandering, then we create a synergetic condition, where it's not 144,000 individuals meditating together, it's the product of that 144,000, which is a 144 times 144. So 144,000 x 144,000, I'll do a quick calculation on my calculator on my computer, and that's equivalent to 20,736,000,000 individuals because of the synergetic effect. So in effect, that's something like 20 billion.

So the answer to the question is yes, provided it's done properly. So that means that we'd all have to work together. That 144,000 we'd all have to be in total synchronization. No mind wandering. No thinking they can drop out and make a cup of coffee, you know, in ten minutes or an hour. And all these individuals are all linked in together and all dedicated to doing the work, then you can change event space and heal the Earth. So the answer is yes, but the conditions are strict, okay. Next question...

 We have currently 7.8 billion people on earth. What percentage are backfill people? What percentage are shards? What percentage are aspects? Are there any other mixtures in the mix that we are not aware of? If so, please clarify the name or kind and %. What % of this 7.8 billion people can collective change an event space through meditation?

Well, we know the percentage of aspects, because 144,000 as a percentage of 7.8 billion people is that number. So without understanding or finding out what percentage the 144,000 is, it's quite a small percentage in real terms. So that figure of 144,000 as a percentage of 7.8 billion is the number of aspects that need to be here to create a change. Okay, so let's have a look at question again to make sure I'm understanding what I'm saying here.

So we know that the percentage of individuals of aspects that are required to make a change through meditation is the 144,000 as a percentage of 7.8 billion. Of the 7.8 billion, how many are real aspects? What I'm being shown now is that today — and the aspects also include things like hybrid Om, by the way — so this is aspects that are created by the Source. Okay, nothing else, just created by the Source and hybrid Om coming into that as well, so I'm just looking at it. I'm looking at 56% of the world's population are full aspects, so to speak.

What percentage of them are shards? Hmm, I was just checking there, because I'm surprised to see it's about 3%. So most of the individuals, now this is interesting, because there's a lot of shards on the planet and 3% of 7.8 billion is a lot. But on top of that we have a rather large number of backfill people. I was just checking again, because I'm really quite surprised actually. So if we have 56% of people are real aspects, and then 3% of that 56%, or 3% of the 100%, sorry, are shards. I'm being told the shards are in decline.

Okay, so a shard is an incarnate entity that's created through the division of sentience and energy from an aspect. So it's a smaller aspect of an aspect. An aspect is a smaller aspect of sentience and energy from a True Energetic Self or its TES, okay. A frighteningly large number or 41%, I'm being told here, so that 41% is backfill people. And the backfill people are those individuals, who are not human souls or human aspects or the same quality of TES as those, that we classify as being human, because they're in between the human and the animal. And they are those who are backfilling, they're allowing the rest of incarnate mankind to go to the next frequency level, to ascend to the next frequency level.

And there's going to be a question I have no doubt at the back of people's minds, well, I'm a spiritual person, why am I still here? Well, if you're a spiritual person, you're working in the light still, then you're here to help others. You've elected to move on. You will find that it's individuals, who are naturally high frequency or work on themselves to be high frequency, that don't have a desire to stay here, that will move on and move up the frequencies and ascend. Whereas those who are actively doing something, will have subconsciously, or should we say, agreed to but we don't consciously understand — that's conscious from the human perspective — that we've agreed to stay here to help out.

Okay, so that's a large number — it's 43% is backfill people and only 3% are shards. That's surprised me, that has. I would have expected more shards, but I'm told not. I am being told, however, that some of the aspects that have been incarnate don't always have this 2.5% of sentience that you'd expect to have. So they could be classified in the shard level, but they're not. Okay, so very interesting thought process there. I might have to look at this again in a future Satsanga. Right, next question...

You said Yogananda was Om. Was Yogananda an aspect of your TES of OM? What percentage was his sentience (2.5 or 3.5%)? How many lives and who was he in our history or past, as we understand it?

My understanding was he's not from my particular Om TES. He was from a different Om TES. And I'm being told he had about 2.7% sentience, which isn't a lot, when you consider that, because others had much more than that. I'm just looking to see if that's what he is now, because sometimes I pick up things that are now rather than then. This is interesting, because what I'm being shown here is that the sentience came and went with Yogananda. It wasn't there all the time. There were times, when it was what you would get from being in a human body, and there were times, when it was increased to allow him to do certain things.

So although there was a higher level of sentience there, as an average, his level of sentience was increased by his TES to allow him to do what he needed to do at certain times. So that's an interesting thought consideration. I've never seen this before, where the sentience of an

incarnate aspect is increased at moments of need, where that sentience needs to increase to allow that individual incarnate soul to be able to do more than it would do, if it was just having its normal level of sentience. So it moves around. It increased and decreased up to a minimum of around 2.7%, but it was able to go up and down, depending upon what he was doing.

And there are some observations from people that suggest that there was some quite miraculous stuff that Yogananda did as well. Lots of miraculous stuff apparently, so that's interesting to note that maybe those miraculous things included a temporary hike or increase in his sentience. Interesting. I'll need to understand whether that was happening with people like Jesus or the Buddha as well. Okay. Right. Next question. We have a group of questions here, so I'm going to go through quickly to be able to answer all these questions and go to meditation.

2. If the ultimate goal is to destroy the self, why is suicide penalized so heavily? Why such a severe punishment for such similar ends? (AB)

(30 min) I think this is probably not quite understood. Well, we don't destroy the self. What we do is we remove the need to incarnate as a result of increasing our frequency, increasing our understanding, becoming self-aware over a period of incarnations, and then giving ourselves an evolutionary level that we don't need to incarnate.

And if you think about it in terms of another way of consideration of how to destroy the self is going into communion with your TES and the TES going into communion with Source. So that would, if you like, also be one way of considering that we destroy the self. We don't destroy the self, we change the individuality back into collectivity. So that is the goal is to experience individuality, to gain evolutionary level progression as an individual. That benefits the TES, that benefits the Source, that benefits the Origin, because of the depth and the detail, the fine detail that can be achieved when a certain volume of sentience and energy is allowed to incarnate. That allows it to go into real depth and detail of areas of the larger volume of sentience and energy couldn't get into.

But in terms of the suicide part, it's because it's almost like defiling this honor to be part of a piece of individualized sentience, that allows us to deal with or go into the depths of experience. So it's not the same ends. One is reconnection with who and what we are in Source in a collective way and in a totally absorbed way, because that's where we came from in the first place. Whereas the other one is removing the opportunity to create in a particular lifetime the opportunity to improve the accrual of evolutionary content to go towards that end of being in communion with our TES and Source again.

Okay, I hope that helps answer the question, because it's different. It's a different thing. Suicide is not the same as going back into communion. You don't destroy the self, you integrate the self back into what you really are. Okay.

• The Upanishads say that there is no actor, and that people who think they are making decisions and acting are deluded. If this is so, and there really is no "self" making decisions, how can anyone be charged with karma? Does that mean we really do have a say in the outcome of things?

Along with many of the old legends, myths, Upanishads sayings of Jesus, etc. there was a level of understanding that couldn't be broadcast to the common people at the time, because they didn't have the education and they didn't have the depth of knowledge to be able to understand certain concepts. So that the level of sort of shades of grey from black and white weren't there. So as a result of that, the descriptions were very sometimes matter of fact, sometimes almost inaccurate, because the individuals who would have been broadcast to wouldn't have been able to understand the detail or what was being given to them. It's a bit like trying to show and

explain a computer to a caveman. It just wouldn't have worked. So what we do have is we have help from our guides and helpers in going in certain directions, because we have a number of goals to experience within our incarnation. But how we achieve those goals is up to us and that's the free will side.

So the life plan is the goals, the individualized free will is our journey to experience each of those goals. In the meantime, because we're here in a low frequency, we can become addicted to it or embroiled in it or immersed in it too much. And this addiction to low frequency existence and the thoughts and behaviors and actions associated with it can and does create the condition, where we accrue karma, because karma is basically us being stuck in low frequency existence. Or wanting to be stuck in low frequency existence. Or the thoughts, behaviors and actions associated with them.

(35 min) So the Upanishads aren't strictly accurate in today's understanding, although at the time they were probably as accurate as they could be. So that's what we have to think about is that all the old lessons were only as good as the education of the individuals who were broadcasting the lessons and the students who were going to receive those lessons. And so therefore, what we see now, if they haven't been changed, is the errors, so to speak, in their detail that's backing up the information they were trying to portray and the ability of the individuals to understand that detail.

Because even now, some of the most uneducated individuals in the world are probably significantly more educated than those individuals, who were classified as being highly educated, when the Upanishads were being broadcast. And that is not to say that the individuals who broadcast to individuals were uneducated, they may well have been very highly educated, but they understood limitations of what they were trying to give to those who couldn't understand. So they had to portray or describe it in ways, which could be understood.

Okay, so I hope that answered that particular question. Now we've got a bunch of questions from JM and lots of them are usually around the books. Okay, I think we've got about 15-16 questions, so let's go through them. I think some of them are a one-answer question, so we can go through those quite quickly. Right.

3. From Beyond the Source — Book 1, From SE5: "In fact, we have, in essence, already had our dialogue; it's just that the part of you that is on the plane of existence that you are aware of has not yet been able to recognize it as happening. I think you know this aspect of reality, am I correct?" Do you indeed "know this aspect of reality" as you write? Is it almost like taking dictation, rather than working at it (this question doesn't feel right)?

Yeah, it's basically this part of me, which is focused on this particular reality. If you think about everything happening concurrently and as us having the capacity to experience everything concurrently, then everything that has happened, will happen, should happen, could happen, may have happened, definitely didn't happen, definitely did happen, or is happening now. It's just that we in our lower frequency existence here don't have the capacity to experience all that concurrently. So in this instance, it's only when we focus on something in an individualized way, that we then recognize it as being relevant or being part of our reality.

And you'll see the questions in the [transcript] pdf files, when they get sent out. So you can read them and then relate them to the answers. But in real terms, everything that has happened, will happen and could happen. In fact, this Satsanga has already happened, and it's happening, and will happen. It's just that we're focusing on this particular aspect of its existence right now.

I think you know this aspect of reality, am I correct?

So the answer is I'm saying yes.

• Do you indeed "know this aspect of reality" as you write? Is it almost like taking dictation, rather than working at it (this question doesn't feel right)?

Yeah, sometimes t feels like a conversation, sometimes it feels like I've already been there. So sometimes it's like reading notes that are already made. So maybe that answered the question. Sometimes I go into a question knowing that I've already asked the question, knowing that I've already got the answer. And all I'm doing is, like he's saying, is either dictating or typing up what I've already written down. So sometimes that's how it comes out, yeah. That's a good question. Excellent.

 From Beyond the Source — Book 1: From SE5. "In the wider environment we call the Origin, every thought has the ability to lead to creation." Is this really the case? Does this mean that even my random thoughts, fantasies or daydreams can lead to creation? Creation of what?

Everything can lead to creation provided there's the desire and the intention behind the thought. If it's a random thought, there needs to be for that random thought to go into action or creation as a result of the thought, then there needs to be the intention behind it. So the comment here is "every thought has the ability to lead to creation" — that's certainly true for the Origin and it's certainly true for us, provided we've got the desire behind the intention that creates the thought. Once you've got those, because you have the action behind it, then there is creativity. Okay.

• In a discussion with SE5, you state: "I am sure that physicists who read this text may like to disagree." And you also state: "I welcome anyone to offer a mathematical space model on how this can or cannot be. Undoubtedly, the process of proving such an explanation will expand mankind's understanding of this concept of reality. The glove of challenge is well and truly thrown on the ground." Have any physicists contacted you to discuss or to challenge?

(40 min) The answer is no. I keep getting the...I can't remember the guy's name now [Michio Kaku], but he's a Japanese American guy. He's a well known theoretical physicist. He's been on television quite a few times. And I keep getting the feeling that I'm going to be in contact with that gentleman some time, because he's got the capacity to understand from an expanded perspective. And I'm fairly sure that when I start to do some work on the spiritual or metaphysical understanding of theoretical physics, which is going to come out in...some part of it is going to come out in the book on "The Om: The Uncreated Creations" and also some of it will come out in the "Beyond the Origin" book, which is after the Om book by the way.

So I think in those times, when I sort of start being told to delve into it in some levels of detail, and shall we say, more abstract thought that's going to create these individuals' potential to sit up and think about it and say, Well, this is interesting thought processes, why is it coming from a spiritual perspective or metaphysical perspective rather than a hard science perspective? Then I might start to be contacted by these individuals as well. So right now there's no contact, there's no challenge, there's no discussion. But I see that there will be in the future.

• How far in the future?

Maybe ten years or so.

• From Beyond the Source — Book 1, From SE5: "Your Source Entity has given you all — that is, the countless billions of you that it has created, the same level of opportunity

that it had." How can this be? I have the same opportunity that our Source Entity has? My TES has it?

The same level of opportunity had is to be individually creative and to evolve individually. And that is the same opportunity that every individualized TES and every individualized aspect and every individualized shard has. It's all to do with having the opportunity to have individualized free will and do what you want. And that's what it means. Okay.

 From Beyond the Source — Book 1, From SE5: "Whatever its ability, everything in creation is assisting the Origin in its task of furthering its awareness of self. In itself, this ensures evolutionary opportunity for the Origin, for even the Origin needs to evolve." Why does the Origin need to evolve? Since our need to evolve has to do with rejoining our creator SE1, what is the end goal of the Origin's evolution?

This is from Beyond the Source, Book 1 by the way. It's just I didn't say that, did I? So it's BTS Book 1. The end goal of the Origin's evolution is to allow itself to completely understand its current area of polyomniscient polyomnipresent volume of sentient self-awareness within the first twelve levels of structure that we know about and that was broadcast in "The Origin Speaks" to allow it to get into the next level.

Evolution allows its sentience to grow. And as its sentience grows, it fills the gaps in between the structures. So the structure that it's part of but it doesn't know about, that it doesn't know in an intimate way, but understands that it is there, isn't part of this polyomniscient polyomnipresent volume of sentient self-awareness. So it needs to understand, it needs to link these chunks of itself together. It's a bit like linking all the neurons in the brain together, so that they're all individualized neurons, whilst also being one neuron together.

So everything we do helps to fill in the gaps for our TES. Everything we do with our TES fills in the gaps for our Source. Everything that Source does fills in the gaps for the Origin. So everything is done for the Origin. It all fills in the gaps, it creates a larger area of sentience, which is created through evolution. So as we evolve, sentience increases. As sentience increases, we evolve. And that's what happens to the Origin, so it's as we do it, it evolves as well. So the end goal of the Origin is to know all of itself, not just a small part of itself, an infinitesimally small part of itself in comparison to what it really is.

• From SE5: "So in the event that I create a condition that results in me totally losing control, which has happened by the way, I return to full functionality when the life of the creation is over. Even in full loss of control, I am able to record the experience and memorize it. Total loss of control is an interesting thing to experience for a Source Entity."What happened when SE5 totally lost control? Who or what was in control until it regained control?

(45 min) By that it meant that its sentience fragmented into lots of little individualized.units of sentience but without direction or reason to be. And without direction and reason to be sentience can just disappear. It can become inert. And so from the perspective of SE5, that's what really sort of happened. It went to a place of complete randomness and irrationality to the point where it wasn't actually creating anything or doing anything or becoming coherently self-aware or collectively together or create a condition in which it was evolving.

So from what I can remember SE5, there was like a reset function that it created, so that if it went out of control, it would reset and all its sentience would gather together in a fully controlled and operational way to recreate the SE5's full sentient condition before it was put in a condition, where it could go out of control. So it's a bit like if you've got a computer that's failed, you're having to sort of completely reload Windows or something and then pull the operating software

on the other apps on their as well. It's a bit like starting again or reverting the computer back to the factory settings. Think of it that way. But the factory settings are known. There's a certain point at which you can reset those factory settings, too.

So in the case of SE5, it was a complete random function of and complete diffusion of its sentience that without direction or reason to be that created the condition of losing control.

Has our SE1 ever totally lost control?

No, I know that. It's always had a plan. It's always had a way of doing things. It's always had a way of experiencing, learning and evolving in both a free way, free will way, and in a way that is governed to some extent. Okay.

• From SE5: "There is the possibility of meeting an alternative YOU in an alternative reality if you choose to work on two or more realities at the same time, which incidentally most of you already do." Do we actually? Is there an alternate Guy Needler or Joe Meboe in any alternate reality? Is there any way for us to know whether we're part of the "most" that are doing this? Seems dubious.

We exist in multiple realities, because we create them in a fractalized way through our choices. And there are realities where the Guy Needler didn't meet the Joe Meboe. There are realities where Guy Needler didn't Dolores Cannon. There are realities where he met Dolores Cannon at a different time or a future time. And all these different things.

There's lots of different fractalizations of realities that we're part of. By and large we don't have the capacity to be able to understand which particular reality that we're in. All we know is that we're in this particular reality and that's fine. We should be working in this reality, because that is the way that we, our TES parallel processes, so to speak. As we create alternative realities, as we create alternative choices and we go into and we choose those choices, we have different versions of ourselves fractalize out. Every entity, incarnate or disincarnate, does this. And that's how we parallel process. That's how evolution accelerates.

So it is possible to meet an alternative version of us, but maybe we've got to be connected to that alternative. Maybe we've got to be a higher frequency, so we can observe the connections between our True Energetic Self, True Energetic Selves in different realities, and those projected aspects of its sentience in the varying different realities that are created through their individualized choices. So when we're a higher frequency and we can operate in a higher level of connectivity, we start to be in the Now, the multiple Now, rather than the individualized singular Now, then we can start to see the alternative versions of ourselves as they exist.

(50 min) Okay, so but there's not many of us who can see the multiple versions of ourselves. And although I have bumped into a couple of people who randomly and uncontrollably move from one...in one particular instance, a young individual in India was doing it and is still doing it, but he's going through a different set of realities now, rather than the first set of realities he was going into, do it sometimes to escape that particular reality that they're experiencing. This particular Now version sometimes isn't liked, so we go into different realities, because we prefer to be in them. But in those instance, they don't see themselves in those realities, they just substitute themselves in those realities.

• From SE5: "The Origin is immensely pleased with the performance of all its creations and all that they have created/experienced to further their evolution and, therefore, has no thoughts of changing what it has created in any way, shape, or form." Since the Origin is "immensely pleased with the performance of all of its creations," am I correct in concluding that the Origin is immensely pleased with me? This seems preposterous. Well, you're doing what you're doing in your way and that's the most important thing is that irrespective of how you judge yourself, you shouldn't judge yourself. Because everything that you do, even if you think of it as being minor, is actually a very important piece of experience and creates a level of evolution that the Origin itself maybe wouldn't have the desire to have done. So you've made a choice that maybe the Origin wouldn't have done.

So if you think of it in those sort of terms, everything that we do, even if you make a slight mistake, has an evolutionary consequence that being that we've experienced something. Whether we think that experience is optimal is a different kettle of fish, but at the end of the day we've experienced something that we didn't expect to experience. And that creates the evolutionary opportunity and that's why both Source and Origin are pleased with us, because we are experiencing different things. Okay, there's another part here, which I'm...

• From The History of God: "I had just gone through the frequency barrier that I see leading up to the 100th level and into the mass of stars that represent all of the returning souls..." How do physical stars "represent all of the returning souls?" I assume this means souls returning to an earthly life - correct? Did a physical star represent me (or you) choosing to reincarnate on Planet Earth? Just how are stars tied to returning souls?

It's a way of saying things, it's not literal. If you look at the text, it says see all the souls, "see leading up to the 100th level and into the mass of stars that represent all of the returning souls," the mass of stars is basically an image that I could understand that shows the sentience and the energy, the spheres of sentience and energy represented by stars of sentience and energy or light in the darkness going back to return to Source or their True Energetic Selves in this instance. So it's a figurative...it's a way of describing something. It's just a way of saying it rather than actuality. Okay.

In The History of God, SE1 tells you: "Don't forget that all bio life has been created by Me and some of My helpers, the first souls that I created." Were all of us created by the helpers rather than by SE1 directly?

Source Entity One created our True Energetic Selves, okay. So think of it in terms of that. And then the life that's on the Earth that's been created by those entities that are disincarnate, who are part of the opportunity to biodiversify the Earth, put all those things on the Earth that would allow it to create certain conditions of environmental opulence or optimality, so to speak, to allow certain body types to proliferate and allow other souls to incarnate into them.

So our True Energetic Selves were created by our Source Entity, okay. The human form was created by certain projected aspects from our True Energetic Selves to create a vehicle that could be used to incarnate into a lower frequency environment — in this instance, the Earth. And some of these vehicle types have been abandoned or have evolved or been implanted from different similar environmental areas within the galaxy to enable us to have the biodiversity, or should we say, the frequential biodiversity to allow those bodies to continue to operate in various different frequential levels.

(55 min) So basically we're all created by the higher levels of ourselves. And the helpers were those entities who were allowed to be or who showed significant enough evolutionary content to be able to allow the responsibility for the creation of a vehicle that could be used on this planet. Okay. Right.

• From The Curators, SE1 talking to you: "You are not in the evolutionary cycle." Aren't you? I thought that's a fundamental part of being human.

Apparently not. That's a fundamental part of being an aspect that we classify as being human. The human kind, shall we say, genre of TES, so the human kind genre of TES and all of those TES that are incarnate in other vehicles around the physical universe are in the evolutionary cycle, but the Om aren't. Even when they meddle, so to speak, or incarnate, they are not part of the evolutionary cycle.

• In The Curators, you talking to SE1, "I seem to be struggling with this book." As am I, I can't connect with it like I do with the others. I'm working my way through it in the hopes that some will settle in each time I read it. I suspect many readers can agree.

Yes, the books have their with individuals. Some people will fly through books, and some people will struggle through books. And some people will struggle through a book, put it down for a couple of years, come back to it and then fly through it. The information is there for us for when the right time is there. So if it's difficult to get through, that's because the information there isn't there for you right now. And that sort of element of not being there for you right now is basically because the understanding of the concept isn't there to give you the platform for understanding the concepts that are being portrayed or being broadcast from within "The Curators."

I have the same thing. Information comes to me when the time is right. If the time is not right, it's like hitting a brick wall. So the thing is not to understand that it's too hard or not achievable, it's that right now that information isn't there for you. It may be that you leapfrog a book and then "The Anne Dialogues" is okay for you, and even "The Curators" is okay for you. But certainly in this instance with "The Curators," I think in question 12 and 13, they're both from "The Curators." They're not from "The Origin Speaks" which I may have said, if I did say TOS, forgive me.

"The Curators" is a particularly difficult book right now, so don't worry about it. It's a lot of information there. Some people will have enjoyed it and some people have swum through it. And some people have had to read it about ten times. Don't worry. Just go through it. Treat it as a story, that things absorb themselves into you, and then maybe you'll get drawn back to it later. Don't worry. It was...I understood it to be quite a difficult book, as I was channeling the information as well. It's there for us to be expansive. If things weren't difficult for us, we wouldn't be expanding. If they weren't hard for us, we wouldn't be interested in them. If they are too easy, we'd be bored straightaway.

When do you anticipate your book on healing to be available?

Right, well, it's been accepted by Ozark Mountain Publications, so I would guess that somewhere towards the end of 2020, around September-October 2020 is when it'll be available.

In The Curators chapter "The Enders," SE1 when discussing nuclear warfare says: "Incarnate mankind will evolve mentally soon to the point where this technology can be put to a much better and more benign use." What does "soon" mean?

Soon means outside of actually my lifetime. I'm being told over a hundred years. We're starting to understand more about how actually out of control we are with nuclear power. We think we're in control, but we're not. And the various different problems we've had with Fukushima and with Chernobyl are giving us an understanding that we don't really know how to contain this level of power. We've got lots of big machines that show that we've got the technology to create these different conditions. But actually in making it very useful to us in a way, which is more useful to us with individual, small nuclear generators, rather than having big ones to create massive levels of electricity for cities, etc. even for countries.

(1 hr) When we start to understand it from a different level, we can contain it in smaller and smaller amounts rather than big amounts, then we'll be much more mature. But it's going to be over a hundred years before we can get there. I'm being shown there's going to be another certainly two, but maybe one minor catastrophe before we start to understand how we need to respect this level of technology. Because right now we don't really respect it. We think we do, but we don't. Okay.

- In The Deliverers chapter of The Curators, SE1 says to you: "It also gives theoretical physicists, who are on the border of spiritualism in their thinking, a way marker, should they decide to delve into your work and the work of others like you."
- I am unaware that there are "others like you," whose work can be delved into. I do a lot of spiritual reading but have encountered none like you. Are you aware of any and can you point to them, to their works? I'm reading Tom Campbell's "My Big TOE" trilogy and his writing is interesting, but not at all like yours and not something for the spiritual seeker. So who are the "others like you" who are producing similar works? I'd like to know. Thanks.

Basically, they're not here yet. And that doesn't mean not on the planet yet, it means that the information that they're doing is not here yet. I'm aware that there are individuals, who will take the work further. But they'll be working in a stepping stone manner. So when my work is finished, which I'm hoping will be within the next six years, in terms of writing books that I'm told to write. There might be others, done in a more relaxed way, rather than a I must do this level of twelve books work. When that happens, then others will come along and take the work further. But they're not producing the work yet. They're on the planet, but they're not producing the work yet. So that's why you haven't seen them yet.

Okay, but thank you for your observation. It's nice to know and I do know the work of Tom Campbell and I think he's got some really interesting ways of understanding things. And I think that people, who understand the sort of spiritual physics side of things, like this gentleman, who is the Japanese theoretical physicist [Michio Kaku], and I'm going to disappear for a moment and try and find his name, so I can tell you who he is, because I'm starting to feel a real affinity for this gentleman. Even the Chinese know about him, so that's interesting, isn't it?

Michio Kaku. You can just see that he's got expansivity within him. He's a fantastic individual. I know he's bordering onto spiritual physics in that respect, and some of this books are really good. I've not read his books, but I've just seen some of his books on Google. Right now I see them and the books are pretty good. So if you can look at those books from Michio Kaku, then these books are Physics of the Future, Physics of the Impossible, The Future of the Mind, The Future of Humanity, and one called Hyperspace. That's one of his earliest books actually, Hypespace. It's worthwhile looking at.

I only hope he lasts long enough or lives long enough that he's going to be able to get in contact with me at some point. I have a feeling that we'll get in contact with him at some point. And it's going to be as a result of these next two books I'm writing now. It would be very interesting to get ahold of this guy. He's good and he's going to provide an important stepping stone on the bridge between physics, hard physics, theoretical physics and metaphysics and science and spiritual physics.

Okay, good. Well, thank you, JM for sending those questions out. Always excellent questions. And I'm really pleased he asked the questions, because all of the answers to these questions by the way, they're channeled on the spot. So it's not as if I've read them first and then go into them and give a polished answer. The information is channeled on the spot or I already know the answers, because I'm familiar with the material here. I have to say that because the work is channeled, it doesn't always stick in my head. So when somebody asks me a question about a book, that I've written or channeled five or six years ago, that's when the dust is gone. Even the last book, the information in "The Curators" is gone, because I've worked on the healing book and I'm now working on another book.

(1:05) So I have the fortunate problem that when people ask me questions about the books, I have to channel information for the answers. And sometimes I actually go into the books and read that chapter that I'm talking about myself and I think, Wow, that was an interesting piece of information. Where did that come from? It certainly wasn't me. Okay, the next couple of questions, and then we can go to the meditation, is from WP.

4. How were the universes formed and did our TESs come before and have a role in it or did they come after? Was it happenstance? Was it solely the work of the Origin? The SEs? The TESs? The creation of the planet earth, for example, is completely improbable without assuming some "supernatural" intervention. Who created our present day physical bodies? Biblical interpretation? Darwin? Most probably other? Or is it not time for us to know the answers to these questions?(WP)

Right. The multiverse and the universes within in it were created by the Source [misspoke Origin] in its first desire to create an environment, or should we say structure, where its self could be analyzed by the creation of smaller units of itself, and therefore, go into the minute detail. So everything was created by Source. Some of the modifications have been done by the True Energetic Selves or the smaller individualizations of Source at a later date. So there's some modifications created by some of the True Energetic Selves that were created by Source and some of the other modifications, minute detailed modifications, were done by some of the projected aspects of some of those TES as well.

And some of those aspects of those TES created the physical bodies, so that there wasn't created by... Although there has been some level of Darwinian evolution, because some of the bodies we have today were created in times of higher frequency, and although other human form bodies have been reintroduced to cope with lower frequencies, because it was anticipated that those higher frequency human forms wouldn't be able to survive the drop in frequencies, some of them did. So we've got a mixture on the Earth of physical bodies that were originally created by some of those aspects projected from the TESs that were part of or helping towards creating a condition, where we could accelerate evolution through individualized free will and other parts of the physical universe as well and also the TESs themselves.

So there are minor changes done by the aspects, bigger changes done by TES, but initially everything in the multiverse was created by the Source Entity. The minute detail, of course, done by TES and done by the aspects as well. And of course, there's natural evolution there, so we have reintroduction of the human body at different frequential levels, then creating lower frequencies. But some of those previously introduced physical forms or human bodies, some of them did in fact adapt as a result of Darwinian evolution to the lower frequencies. And that's why we've got the diversity of the human form now, not specifically as a result of their location geographically on the planet Earth.

Okay, right, so what we're going to do now is thank those people for the questions. So I thank WP, JM, AB and FN for all those questions. Lots of questions there. Thank you very much. All deep, searching questions and all relevant to ask, and of course, all very important for those people, who may have thought of the questions, but didn't feel the desire to ask them as well.

Part 3. Meditation

(1:10) So let's look at the meditation now, which is basically a way of creating a change in an environment that allows places like Africa to become more verdant to reduce the number of catastrophes in terms of the advent of plagues, locusts taking over and basically eating up all of the crops that are there, and creating the opportunity for a better environment, like a changing a desert into a verdant society, a verdant area or environment.

Please download the high quality MP3 File to do the guided meditation with Guy Steven Needler via his website or blog (meditation starts around 1:10:39).

(1:24) Closing comments: So thank you very much for your time and your patience and your meditation today and your lightwork. Before we go, the next Satsanga will be in June, of course, towards the end of June. Just checking the diary it's going to be on the 27th of June. I can accept questions, but I'm going to do questions in July instead, because I'm going to use the whole of the, other than the meditation side, the whole of the June Satsanga to answer the question about other galactic civilizations and the Council of Twelve and how it's all created and everything else, because I've been asked that question a lot by a number of individuals. So we need to have a look at it and see what or how it correlates with other people's channeling or channeled works I guess.

You can also look at www.BigPictureQuestions.com, which is one of the ladies, who also transcribes this information, broadcasts the transcriptions and the audios as well. And you can contact Ulla or Faith through this. I know Faith is doing a lot of meditation based on the Satsanga meditations, if you want to join her group, I think you can do through the Contact me part of the BigPictureQuestions.com website as well. I think that Ulla and Faith are working together. So thank you very much. Thank you to Ulla and Faith for doing their work.

And I look forward to working with you again in June and going through this focus on the physical universe and probably even our own in this particular galaxy. And do look after yourselves. Do keep practicing the Chakra Opening Exercises. You can get them free off my website, go into the About tab and then the Press Pack and then go into Free Downloads there. There's the two documents: there's a pdf file and there's an audio on Opening the Chakras.

And also don't forget in the Correspondence Course tab, the Psychic Shield is being sold there for a pound. I'll probably extend it into July actually, because you can use the Psychic Shield to help raise your frequencies and protect you as well from the effects of this virus, although you should still follow the physical directives of your local governments as well. Okay, that's it for this month May Satsanga. Namaste. God bless you all and please look after yourself and look after others and be of service to others as well. Namaste." END.

NOTE: I have prepared a Searchable pdf document with ALL World Satsanga Lectures, Questions and Answers collated from 2016-2020 to make it easier for us to see what questions have already been asked in previous Satsangas and what answers were given at the time. This will allow us to ask NEW questions or ask similar questions from a different angle after reviewing what we already know. This document is over 600 pages, so don't try to print it out.

You can go to Needler's website (www.beyondthesource.org) to find the document at this URL:

www.beyondthesource.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/All-World-Stasanga-Transcripts-2016-2020.pdf. OR simply click on **"All Transcriptions**" here to go there.