Guy Needler's Interview with Andrew Fisher - The Nature of Reality Radio Show (posted on January 4, 2020)

Guy Steven Needler: An Understanding Of Metaphysics Like No Other URL: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVfrfCs45J0&feature=youtu.be

Q: Glad I could make this call to you. You're from the UK, so I have to miss a little work time here, but I have vacation hours to make up for it. Not a problem at all. Boss was cool, just as usual when I have guests from foreign countries that I have to accommodate. I'm glad to have you on and I loved the video program that I watched. I don't remember what YouTube channel it was on, but the presentation you gave on one of the YouTube channels, probably back in the day. I don't know what year it was, it was just the next thing to watch on my list of videos to watch. I loved what I saw and I put you on my list of people to contact as potential guests, because the material in that show made you definitely worthy of being a guest on this show. So that being said, I'd like you to introduce yourself for all those people, who have not heard of you and tell us your life story from a primary source perspective. What did you experience that causes you to do and research the stuff that you research and you've got the floor. I put myself on mute and I will not interrupt you.

GSN: Okay, well, thank you very much for inviting me on your show. It's always an honor and a pleasure to be able to speak to all the different individuals out there, who are listening in and want to know more about the greater reality from this particular modality. So thank you very much for that. And actually, I was just thinking about how to truncate the life story, so to speak. And I'll do my best, because it can get a bit in depth, I can talk and that's probably part of the job actually.

But in essence, I've been involved with and interested in metaphysical things from a very, very, very early age, and I used to meditate and read on different things, like astral traveling, supernatural phenomena and the higher functions that the human condition can have. And when I was in my early teens, I used to practice things like meditation and astral traveling, and as a result of that, purely through looking at books and understanding potential different ways of doing it.

And then there was a point when I was an apprentice, I was a tool making apprentice, when a gentleman lent me a book by a chap called Lobsang Rampa, who has enjoyed all sorts of notoriety. But basically, the body that Lobsang Rampa housed two different souls. One of those, the second soul, which walked in was a very high adept Tibetan lama with a mission to bring to the western world, along with other people -- I mean other people have done this as well, like Parahamansa Yogananda. But his role was to bring to the western world some of the different things that have happened in the east, and also to educate people in how to become more aware and awake.

And the one book I was given was a book ("You Forever," 1965) and I read the book and it basically ended up being...it was supposed to be first a correspondence course, but it ended up being a book. It taught people how to do things, like psychometry,

telepathy, astral traveling and various other different functions associated with what we call higher cognitive abilities or being more self-realized. So I was really avidly into meditation for years. And one morning I was doing a morning meditation and I was visited by four entities that had a human appearance, didn't speak to me but had white robes, so to speak. And they communicated with me in a way that was really quite a holistic way. It wasn't sort of verbal telepathy, it was a knowingness, clairsentience, if you want to call it that. And what they conveyed to me was that what I was doing was right and what I was understanding was right and what I was researching was right, but it wasn't the right time for me to do it. So they said basically you need to be more grounded, or you need to be more educated in terms of the way of being on Earth.

So from that point onwards, I basically almost dropped metaphysics. I still kept sort of one eye slightly open on the subject, but I was nowhere near as interested in it or as active, I should say, that I was previously. And so the years went by and I became the average human being. I became actually quite materialistic as well. I met my wonderful wife at the time and we worked very hard to, you know, in a very difficult time financially to create a very nice house. I worked hard at work and became sort of senior management and did the best I could to get as much money as possible.

(5 min) And then during one of the workshops I went to and the courses I went to that enabled me to become a Master of Business Administration, one of the students there said that they were going to a reiki share. Now I was around 38-39 at that point or it was slightly earlier. It may be slightly earlier. No, that's right, 38 was right, yes. And basically I refused it. I said I'm not really interested in doing anything reiki. It didn't feel right for me at all. Any she, this lady (Jane) she Jane pestered me for about 3 or 4 months, saying you know, this is what's going on. This is a weekly or monthly thing and we're interested in you coming along. And so I sort of basically gave in and went along. That kickstarted me again and a year I ended up a reiki master.

And the lady who was the reiki master who taught me told me things about myself [that were] quite global but could have been quite egotistical. She was saying things, like there's nothing that can touch you. You've got so much power. And I thought, yeah, okay. You know, these things come on board and you sort of take them and you either run with them or you become engrossed in them, but I sort of almost dismissed them. But sort of around the same time, I started another degree to try and sort of further my education and become more aligned to the engineering individual that I was.

And I started to go to a therapist, a homeopathic therapist, who was also taught by Barbara Brennan to help me with my confidence and stress and anxiety surrounding some of maths that was involved in the engineering Masters degree. And although that therapy worked, the lady involved said why don't you come along to a workshop I'm starting to do. I'm going to do an introductory workshop that will lead us towards doing the work that I was taught by Barbara Brennan. So this lady was a first generation student of Barbara Brennan. And this was around about 2000-2001.

So I went on this six weekend course that was over a period of six months basically and I got hooked, and I signed up for another three year commitment. So there's one year, which was the basics and then there's a three year commitment to learn the energy and vibrational healing therapies, that she'd been taught by Barbara Brennan and that she was going to faithfully reproduce for us. And I had another friend, who was a friend of late wife's, who was also doing the same workshop by another therapist who I'd seen, who was also in the same class as this lady. So they were both in the same cohort, so to speak, with Barbara Brennan to be taught the same healing therapies, energy and vibrational healing and so I got involved with that.

Now during this time, we were taught how to raise our frequencies to different levels to work on things like the chakras, to repair the chakras, replace the chakras, maintain them, repair the energy templates that create the gross physical and the spirituo-physical aspects of the human form, and also to understand how we can repair things like the auric layer. Because although the auric layer is basically a waste product from the metabolization of energy, it's actually a useful means of protection from energetic attack. So we were working on this and we had to open our chakras to get to the various different levels, and we had to sort of share ourselves with the rest of the students there. So one student would be the therapist and another student would be the patient and vice versa.

But the students I was working with were complaining every now and then and saying that they were getting some really disturbing imagery, and that they didn't know where I was going, because it didn't feel like it was the same frequential levels that our instructor had taught us to go to. And so she didn't know where I was going to, but she said you're going too high. You're going into a level you shouldn't be going to, just contain where you are. I didn't pay too much attention to it. And as a result of that, I annoyed a few more of the students again, because I went to strange places and I was openly chastised in the class. Well, that did the trick. So basically I thought, Well, I've got to stay where I am, so I tried to work out where I was going, but also on the other side I was going to do the best I could do to understand how I could repeat where I was going to in a robust and repeatable way and an efficient way.

(10 min) So in the healing, I would behave myself and try and keep things within the first seven levels associated with the seven chakras and seven energetic templates. And outside of that, I would work on trying to understand where I was going to and how I was getting in there. In mornings before I went to work, I would work on trying to work out where I was going to and try to go there in a repeatable and robust way. And over period of sort of years, I managed to basically do that. But in between time, by the way, the thing that was causing a problem is I went on holiday with a friend of mine, who was also into reiki and also had a reiki master in Sweden. And she said to my friend that I had to go to a certain location, because it's going to be something important to happen.

And when we went on this holiday, we went for a walk down towards a riverside pathway, which went to a town called Trollhatten in Sweden. As it happens, there's a few readers been there, because they've read the book and they've understood that one

of the ways I became more attuned and more self-aware and they've actually felt the energies themselves there, which is interesting but getting back to the walk. We went on this walk and the energies started getting higher and higher. And my body starts to go from basic tingling in my hands to buzzing and I really had a strong feeling I needed to sit down and meditate, which I did on a rock, which is just off the pathway and isolated by the water. And my friend and my wife went on and walked sort of 300-400 yards further upstream where there was a suspension bridge. And they stood there and waited for me. Well, during the next 20 minutes, when I was meditating, I received what I could only classify as being the most profound **attunement** that I've ever had in my life.

And when it finished, I couldn't string two or three words together. My friend and my wife came back realizing I wasn't going to...I wasn't moving to see what's going on, and I said I've just experienced this profound attunement. And they said, well, we perceived together and independently of each other that there was something hovering over you that was rewiring you and preparing you for some work you've got to do in the future. Now when that happened, I looked across to the other side of the river that I was on, and this was a completely wind-free day. The river was like glass and the trees were totally stationary. There was no wind at all, but in the area I looked, the water was really choppy and whatever wind was there, which bear in mind there was no wind, was moving trees backwards and forwards.

And as I started to look harder and harder, I started to get a slight pain in the area of my third eye, which is in between the eyebrows and above the bridge of the nose, I started to see some what I can only classify as being biomechanical craft. It's a mixture of biology and a mixture of mechanical means. They were hovering around and how they were maintaining their height was obviously some form of technology that created a downdraft or the equivalent of a downdraft from what you'd see from a helicopter's rotors. And as I looked and looked and looked, I started to see things more and more clearly and then I suddenly heard a really strong voice in my head saying, Stop what you're doing. You're going to ruin what we've just done. Just let things settle down and it will come forwards in the future. And again you'll start to use this, but let it happen naturally. Let it all become absorbed within you and become a natural part of you.

So I moved away from that area and I wrote down what I'd experienced with my late wife (Anne) and so did my friend as well. So during this time, I was learning the energy healing, this is when things started to get a little weird with the other students as well. So I then studied for a number of years a way of going into these different levels, and I kept going further and further and higher and higher and getting in contact with other entities. One of them tried to scare me away. It tried to give me an image of a black dragon to try and frighten me away saying basically that humans, incarnate humans aren't able to come to this level. You know, this isn't the level that you normally come to. We don't expect you here, so you shouldn't be here. But after 6 months of going to that level and trying to communicate with this particular entity, it did sort of capitulate and gained a human form and gave me a name, Byron. And the name Byron is described in my first book, "The History of God."

(15 min) So in essence, what happened then is that started to go there and get more information, asked questions to this entity and he gave me answers to questions that I didn't know the answers to, but later I found that these answers were bona fide answers, which is really incredible. And it wasn't anything that I had previously read or heard or seen and that was lodged in the back of my subconscious mind. And I was then given another entity called Hum. And this time I found out I was part of another group of entities that were in a multiversal environment that we exist within, and that I was just working on the physical universe just to understand things and to help out where I can do. And so I kept going on and on and further and further upwards and eventually I got in contact with two much larger entities: one that was classified as our creator, our **Source**, what I call a Source Entity, and another much larger being called the **Origin**, who in fact created our Source or our God.

And that the structure of this other entity, the Origin is so massive, it doesn't even know how big it is itself, so it created 12 of these Source Entities, of which ours is one to help it understand itself and as a result, it would experience, learn and evolve. And us as smaller individualized versions of this creator, this Source, we're also here to do the same sort of thing. So every morning I was doing this meditation and every morning I was going further and further, and every day I would get more and more information. I was given a skill of total recall. When I would spend a long time getting to a level, stay in there for about 5 or 10 minutes, getting a load of information and spending a long time getting back down again and then sort of driving to work. And at lunchtime, I was able to completely regurgitate it all and type it into a computer.

And over the years, the information just became more and more correlated till I suddenly had a realization: I've got enough information here to create a book. And the information was not anywhere else basically in the metaphysical library of anywhere. The detail was completely different. It was more in depth, more meaningful, and it was more scientific, so to speak, so therefore, it could be more understandable to people on Earth. So I then edited it, created it and put it in various different orders and submitted it to a number of different publishers. And then on the year, I think it was 2009, actually New Years Eve in 2009, I received an email from Ozark Mountain Publishing, Julia Cannon, who was Dolores Cannon's daughter saying that I'd like to publish the book, "The History of God."

And I still continue to do the work. I'm basically still writing books. I just finished my 8th book, which is about the healing processes that I've developed since those early years. But the 7th book that is just published is called "The Curators," which is all about those different entities that maintain the evolutionary efficiency of the structure of the multiverse. So there's seven published books and one, which is under review for publishing and I'm now just starting the 9th, so we're still moving on. And on top of all of this, I run workshops to teach people to do what I do in the way that I learned it and lead them through from soup to nuts, you know, from the very structured to the unstructured. And it's looking like we're going to be doing some healing workshops in China as well, so I'll be teaching in China, India, New Zealand, Hawaii, US, Europe, obviously UK, Switzerland, Ireland. There's many places in the world that I've been teaching this. I'm

not becoming mega famous, sort of mega visible individual, it's growing organically and that's the way it should be. So that's me in a potted history.

Q: Thank you and I would like to ask you about that construct of the universe, where you mentioned the Source and now this is kind of revolutionary to hear that we have many Sources, different ones out there and not just one and the Origin creator. I don't know if anyone's actually referred to it in that term is the one behind all the Sources There's one thing that kind of jumped out was a presentation that George Kavassilas from Australia has given, where he depicts that we are just one universe here, where it's constructed of light. This universe is light constructed, but all the other universes out there, well, infinite number of ones like ours. We're talking super infinity, if that makes sense. Different universes that use completely different laws of physics that we can't even adequately imagine. And okay, is it fair to say that this Origin creator created all those universes that Kavassilas speaks of that use completely different laws of physics that we can't even imagine no matter how hard we tried?

(21 min) Well, the structure of the **multiverse**, as I currently understand it, can be described in two ways: 1) is a linear way to help us understand it, and 2) is the real way. The real way is everything exists in the same space and is separated only by structure and the structure in between structure. But if you look at it from a purely linear point of view, we can understand it a bit more. My understanding is that the structure of the multiverse is a part of the structure of our creator, our Source, the Source Entity. And its structure goes a bit above that. And its structure itself, in terms of the sentience that occupies the energies that allow it to become what it is, are also a much smaller, a microscopically smaller subset of that which is the structure of the Origin. So once we go above the 4th layer of structure, which is the upper levels of the structure and energies that are associated with our creator, our Source, because everything else is much, much larger and much much finer and beyond the infinite, so to speak.

But if we just come back down to the multiverse, we can classify it in terms of the three parts of its **structure**. We have **full dimensions**. We have **subdimensions or subdimensional components** and **frequencies**. And the frequencies are the building blocks. That's the sort of the smaller detail, so to speak of the multiverse. But the larger structures, which is the full dimensions are much...they're a completely different level of structure than what mankind thinks of as dimensions. The first three dimensions that mankind thinks about is actually a metric for volume, you know, height, width and breadth, which isn't actually any use whatsoever in terms of understanding the structure of the multiverse. Also we talk about the fourth dimension being "**time**," but time doesn't exist, so how can we have a dimension, which doesn't exist.

So we start to see that some of the standard understanding about a multiversal environment are incorrect, even down to the spiritual side being incorrect as well. But what I've got at the moment from a linear perspective is sort of understandable. Even I got it wrong at first and I'm sure there's greater levels of detail to it later. And if anybody looks up the book called "The Origin Speaks," you'll see the first 12 layers of structure of the Origin, which is, you know, 8 layers of structure above that which is our Source

Entity. That's the first of the first 12, so this 12 layers of structure that the Origin works with now is only the first of another what is 12 groups of these things. And everything expands by a factor of 12, you know, to the power of 12, so it's unbelievably large.

But the multiverse is created through 12 full dimensions and all of these dimensions operate or function in same way except the first full dimension, because that houses the lowest frequencies. We'll leave that alone for the moment. So if you go from the second full dimension to the twelfth full dimension, they all behave in the same way. They all have three subdimensional components to allow frequencies to hang onto them. And each of these subdimensional components has 12 frequencies or 12 frequency bands or levels associated with them. And each of these frequency bands or levels has a capability of and does house a self-contained (not parallel, that's something else) simultaneously existing universe in its own.

So we have 11x3x12, which is 396 frequencies (frequency bands or frequency levels) within these 11 full dimensions, and the subsequent three subdimensional components per full dimension, so basically it's 36 frequencies per full dimension, and therefore, 36 universes per full dimension. So from the second full dimension (FD 2) up to the twelfth full dimension (FD 12) we've got 396 frequencies and 396 universes. But the first full dimension is completely different, because it houses all the lowest frequencies. So although it splits out into these three subdimensional components, it very quickly contracts back into composite subdimensional component, which only allows 12 frequencies to be created.

(25 min) Now these 12 frequencies are so low, they're all required to create a universe. So we have a rather unique situation, where we've got one universe [that] occupies a whole full dimension and that universe has 12 frequency bands (FB 1-12) associated with it. There is no other universe that occupies a whole dimension and no other universe needs 12 frequencies to create it. And this is sort of part of the structure, the linear aspect in terms of how we can start to understand some of the structure of the multiversal environment. This is part of our creator, but it's also that part of the creator that is sort of isolated for smaller individualized versions of itself it has created, what I'm told to call a **True Energetic Self** (which is sometimes called an Oversoul or a Higher Self or in Hindu texts the Godhead) to experience, learn and evolve in those different environments in the minute detail that it can't do itself, but the smaller versions of itself can.

And those True Energetic Selves also can project smaller versions of themselves called **aspects** (smaller aspects) **or souls**. And each of those souls can project 12 smaller versions of themselves called **shards or subsouls**, for instance, to go even deeper into this particular structure. So there's two structures, the multiversal structure and there's the sentience and energy structure, which is basically from the top down Origin, one of the Source Entities, a True Energetic Self or Oversoul or a Godhead or Higher Self, a subsequent soul, of which there can be 12, and a subsequent shard or subsoul, of which there can be again 12. So there's lots of ways in which this multiversal environment can be experienced and in the minute detail or the macro or micro detail, so to

speak. So the multiverse is based upon a set known of 396+12, which is 408 frequencies, but only 396+1 universes. But this is static in terms of its structure.

We then have something called **Event Space**, which is created or exists or permeates the Origin, and therefore, permeates everything that the Origin is and what the Origin has created. And this event space duplicates or triplicates or quadruplates depending upon how the individual entities or beings — there's a difference between an entity and a being by the way — work within those environments. So for instance, if have a choice to make or a potential choice or the possibility of a potential choice or the potential possibility of a possibility of a potential choice, it creates an alternative.

So we have lots of **alternative realities** and those realities can be local. They can be global. They can be galaxy sized. They can be universe sized and they can even be multiversally sized as well. And so this is where we start to get the complete infinitude associated with the unfathomably large number of universes that could be out there. We have the static aspect of the multiverse and the 397 universes, but then we have the parallel conditions that can exist as well. And there can be millions upon billions of different localized, individualized, globalized, galaxy sized and universe sized versions, depending upon who's making a decision that whether we choose to integrate ourselves with somebody else's reality or our own reality or group together to create a bigger reality, for instance.

And so we have all these parallel conditions, which are generated as well. And this allows us to in a multiplexed way to experience, learn and evolve in a way that we couldn't do, if we were doing it all in series. It's a pan-parallel way of doing it. And in this way, the Source Entity and the Origin and ourselves also experience, learn and evolve in a much faster and much more capable way. And as a result of that, we all progress through the frequencies faster as well.

Q: Wow, that's very fascinating insight and explanation there and I can assure that I'm gonna want to listen to this over again to try and digest that. What you're saying here about how our spiritual understanding needs to be revolutionized kind of goes back to my recent interview with William Malek, where he said a lot of things have to be revolutionized in physics, too, and you and him, two recent guests who have forced me to completely go crazy over my understanding of the nature of reality as the host of this show. And I have to ask all sorts of questions about it now, because you're taking this to a whole new level here. I mean you say parallel universes. Willie Malek said no, it makes more sense to think of them as perpendicular universes, not parallel. And well, we won't go into all that...

Well, yeah, the thing to note is that "parallel" is just a human word. I mean "perpendicular" is also a human word. If you think about everything existing within itself and without itself, so a big universe could exist within a small universe, which exists in a big universe and a small universe, then everything is within and without each other. So that the perpendicular or the parallelism is simply a very poor way of describing that it can be that a universe can be created as a result of some form of choice from any angle,

you know, from any direction basically. There is no specific rules as to how it works, it's simply a case of if it can possibly be, it will be. And that's the only rule that I can think of that works is that if there is a possibility of something being or even the possible possibility or possible possibility of the possible possibility of possible possibilities, then it will be in existence. There is no dividing line that says this won't be in existence and this will be in existence. Everything is in existence concurrently — notice I didn't say "at the same time."

Q: Yeah, you do seem to say there is a big importance regarding semantics. My FB friend...a radio host would say semantics is a key one in arguing in the court room, because legal definitions are different. Well, you asserted the same basically, when you said there's a difference between a being and an entity. And you also said notice I didn't say at the same time. I want to get into that a little bit, because that's interesting. Let me guess, is it true that all beings are entities, but not all entities are beings — in order to be a being, you have to be? You actually have to be doing something and it's not necessarily be(ing)?

(32 min) Well, fortunately, it's more simple than that, okay. A **being** can create an entity, but an **entity** can't create a being. And there is a reason for that. A being is sentient energy, and sentience isn't specifically linked to energy, sentience can move around from one energy to another by the way. But it's created through what I've classified very simply as Darwinian evolution of energy. When we go from in essence energies that sort of naturally group together, because they're similar or the same to energies that start to desire to group together, because they're similar or the same and they hunt each other down to basic intelligence that's created.

That allows them to sort of accurately hunt each other down to the desire to hunt each other down to the self-realization, recognition that they're of what energies are going to be, let's say, interactive or compliant or compatible with them and work on those to becoming more and more aware and conscious to the point, where it's, Oh, if we sacrifice our individuality, we can all join together and become a much bigger being, so to speak. And so they then start to get consciousness, and then there's creativity and there's rudimentary creativity. And then there's looking at responsiveness of that creativity and being responsible for the creativity.

Then there's the modification of that which is created and the improvement of that which is created. And then there's the working out how that which has been created can be improved upon. And then you start to get all these different things happening and then you get to a point, when it becomes sentient. The energy becomes sentient, and then the energy starts to evolve as a sentient energy. And then all of a sudden the energy starts to realize that the energy isn't what it is, it's sentience. So therefore, the sentience can move around in that energy. And eventually, you get to the point, where the sentience can move out of that energy that created it or gave birth to it. And it can then commandeer another group of energies. So that's a **being**. But it's created through natural evolution of energies getting together.

(35 min) An **entity** is sentience, which can also have a body of energy or a group of energies to work with, that has been created by a being, so it's a division of sentience and energy from a being or from another entity, one that was created. And so [from the] top down, in general the Origin is a being. It has been created through this natural Darwinian evolution, although one might argue that if you look at "The Origin Speaks" and in "The Curators," you'll start to see that Event Space was also developing its own sentience, but realized that the Origin could be bigger sentience or much more complete sentience than itself, so it sacrificed itself to allow the Origin to become.

So you have the being, which is the Origin and then the Source Entities are entities, because they are created, and then our True Energetic Selves (or Godheads or Oversouls or Higher Selves) are entities, because they were created. And we as individualizations are temporary creations, so to speak. Although we might stay more than temporary, we might stay longer, depending upon how useful as an individualized unit of sentience from our True Energetic Selves we are in terms of gathering evolution, so to speak, and then the same thing with the shards as well. So anything that's created by another higher entity or being is an entity. Anything where energies created a level of sentience over a long period is a being. And that's the difference between the two basically.

Q: Thank you and understanding the nature of the cosmos and the dimensions and the densities and understanding if there's a difference between dimension and density. Don't even go there. People can't agree what the definitions even are. They give different definitions for those words. But the point is though that...and this is George Kavassilas talking. I interviewed him on my show and also called into a show that he was on in a private session and talked about this stuff. And I want to bring him up again, because he said it's not right to categorize someone regarding how many dimensions they choose the universe to be in, to exist in. It's interesting though that the numbers 12 and 22 seem to be the common numbers in the number of dimensions that the universe that we know of exists in. And when I ask Kavassilas: Can you imagine why someone looking at your nature, why they would say 22 potential universes instead of the 12 that he sees? And he said, well, there's like a 10 in 1 layer in my construct of sorts that I could see that someone may perceive as 10 separate dimensions, which I just see as one. He also interestingly explained how he sees the 5th dimension as the edge of visible universe and I have to turn to my interview with him to understand why he gave that description. But I guess the question I'm asking you is: Do you agree/disagree with that notion that it's a point of view issue regarding the construct of the universe, when it comes to these numbers and how many of each dimension, density, realm or universe that there are to the point where no one can be categorized, no one is any more or less right or wrong?

Well, we are only as good as the information that's being used to educate us and our level of expansivity. So if we go back a long way, we start to see that the information that was taught to Hindu scientists was based upon stories at time. And the same with Jesus as well and Mohammed and Buddha, so everything that is of a higher nature was taught to the general populace in parables, vedas, fables, whatever you want to call it,

because the absolute detail would have meant nothing to them, but they could understand it in terms of stories. Now the issue is here that the level of detail that even the masters in those periods were able to understand would have been nothing compared to today, because our understanding from a general scientific, philosophic and engineering nature is much higher. So the more you have, the more you can understand. The more you can have, the more you can understand.

And so the idea that the end of the visible universe is the fifth dimension is basically based upon a person's ability to understand what they're experiencing and what they can relate to. And that's the same with me. To be honest, I'm expecting others to be able to take over the work further and go into much more detail and have a better level of understanding as well. It's never-ending, the level of learning, the level of understanding, depth of understanding, and the ability to become expansive is a neverending process.

(40 min) We will never finish, certainly not in our lifetimes, certainly not in several thousand or several million lifetimes, because what we're trying to experience is so vast, that, you know, we're not even scratching the surface of the scratch of the surface of the scratch of the surface of the scratch of the surface. I mean we'll never do. But the ability to understand it is really based upon what we recognize and how we're given the information. And sometimes entities or beings that are disincarnate or who are incarnated at a higher frequency, and therefore, we sometimes think of as being energetic, for example, will only work with us on a level that we can understand. It's no good trying to explain to somebody tall mathematics, if they're just working on their times table, you know, multiplication tables. It's no good trying to teach people Mandarin Chinese, if they're struggling to use English.

It's about understanding what's there, so when you get people like Neale Walsch, for instance, or people like Tom Campbell, or people Carlos Castaneda and George Kavassilas you just talked about there, they're given information in the way that they can understand it. Now if they can expand and go further, then they will do. But it's not a case of well, is it right or is it wrong. It's a case of how much depth can they go to and how can they explain it in a way that others can understand. So we have various different levels of depth and detail depending upon the individual, who's been exposed to it. And so my level of understanding would be that what George is seeing is basically the frequencies, but he's classifying them as dimensions. And this is a scientific problem that we've had, because the scientists consider what I understand as being frequencies as being dimensions, but a dimension is a much higher piece of structure from my understanding.

But it doesn't matter though, because at the end of the day, if it's allowing us to expose ourselves to a higher and deeper greater reality, then even if we're not going in the right direction totally, we might be going off completely on a tangent. Well, that tangent might actually be in terms of depth of detail and also in terms of applicability of the information we're trying to understand, it may be in the right direction just about but not totally. But the fact that's it's going in the right direction just about, it's allowing us to become a bit

more expansive than we were. So although we'd like to sort of take off perpendicularly, so to speak, from the ground and that would be the ideal situation, if we go off on a tangent and we sort of skim the surface and then start to rise upwards, then think of it in terms of a missile going straight up or taking off and then sort of losing its stability and then going to the right or the left or the front or the back. Then we start to see that this height that it's gained in terms of altitude is equal to a potential height or understanding the depth of expression or level of deepening our awareness and our expansivity.

So this is the beautiful thing about it, because in my communications with Source, it said it doesn't matter how much you get it wrong, it's the small part that you get right that matters, or it's whether the road you should have gone down doesn't get you there, but the other road will get you there eventually. So all these different people are going in the same direction, but probably from different angles. They're all looking at the same mountain but from a different part of the base of the mountain. And some people are going straight up the mountain and some people are going around it on the sort of spiral road that's going up from the bottom of the mountain to the top of the mountain.

And also don't forget that we don't all like the same teacher, and teachers don't always teach things in a way, which is universally acceptable. And we have that now on Earth, don't we? One person can teach us and another person can't teach us, because a) we like them or b) their teaching style is different or the way they explain things is in a way in which we can understand. And this is the same for metaphysical or spiritual teachers. Every one of them has a role to play, because I might attract 10 or 15 people, but somebody else might attract 2000 people, and that 2000 people might be elevated to the point where at some point in the future, they might be interested in what I do. Or they might be interested in what Tom Campbell does or they might be interested in what George does. So you've got all these different ways in which we get towards the top of the mountain and none of it is right and none of it is wrong. It's just experience, but the way in which we understand it is a function of what we're capable of understanding and how expansive we can be at a particular point in our existence.

(45 min) Q: Thank you very much and I guess we owe it to God for our existence, whatever your opinion of God may be, and it gets into what I want to talk about next, The History of God, one of your books. And interestingly, god spelled backwards is dog. Why is that? Well, maybe because the star Sirius and the Dogon people knew about the other stars in that system before mainstream science knew about it. And it's even been asserted that well, Santos Bonacci before he lost his marbles and became a flat earth theory poster boy, he used to say that the Earth and the star Sirius, our Sun and Sirius star system were actually in orbit with each other by using forces other than gravity that are more metaphysical in nature that are causing that. And he even mentioned 2012, the Mayan calendar thing may have correlated with something to do with Sirius or our Sun's soul orbit around each other. Now this idea that God comes from Sirius, the dog star? Is that covered in the book in any way or have you never even mentioned that?

It's not in the book. There's quite a chunk about false reality, so to speak, or myths that are completely incorrect in "The Curators," because I talk about the different gods there,

you know, the Jesuses, the Mohammeds in terms of it's the same God that created Jesus, that created Mohammed, that created Buddha. And then you've got all the Egyptian gods as well, the Neters and those sorts of things. But in essence, as an uneducated human being, anything that is beyond our explanation that is supposedly born by a being or entity that we can refer to as being a being or an entity, or whether it's a human being or something else that has a capability that is beyond our own ability or understanding, we've classified as being as something beyond us, great or good or god, so to speak. So we don't just have the overall overarching creator, which is Origin or God.

Oh, by the way, the **Origin**, I found out much later was described in a book by a gentleman called Yogi Ramacharaka (I think I said his name right) in a book called... ["Gnani Yoga"] and I've not read the book, I just flipped the page open and this page described the Origin totally, but he called it **The Absolute**, The All That There Is. And it was amazing that even the Hindus understood it a long, long time ago. But the thing is even they say, all of these different aspects or these characters that they call gods or sometimes ascended masters are really just a humanization of different entities or beings that have visited us, that have got more capability than us. And so really the word "god" is a bit confusing. Actually, the word "Source Entity" is a bit confusing, I mean really I think we just have to classify, and the use of the word or the classification is incorrect as well, because everything just is, but is a division of sentience from a much higher entity or being in terms of the Origin.

So I have difficulty in using the word "God," because it's limiting. It puts a human perspective on it, because the Hindus, the Indians have gods, the Chinese have gods. All throughout history we've had certain gods, the Vikings had gods, the Romans had gods and they're all classified as smaller gods or lesser gods or demigods or half-gods or important gods or upper gods, you know, these sort of things. And all they were was basically a way of describing in a human language at the time that which may have been observed or interacted with that was more capable or had more functions or abilities than the individuals who were communicating with them.

And also you can understand that when people see what people call "angels" now. It's very simply put, if an entity comes into this environment, they have to protect themselves frequentially, otherwise they become part of our frequency and they can't operate in the same way. So they have a field of energy around themselves to maintain at their own frequency. A little bit like we have a diving suit for going into a lower frequency environment called the sea, they would do a similar thing either self-created, if they are higher frequency full stop or they have a device that created it for them, if they're lower frequency but still higher than us.

(50 min) So this sort of wave of energy around them could be construed as being "wings" upon a sort of thin body that may have been translated as being a human body, for instance, which may also have been a human body, of course. Now we get certain imagery of different incarnate entities that visit us [that] we call **aliens**. Even those entities could have been classified as being gods as well, because they would have

come from the sky. Nobody could fly, so they're a god. Nobody could heal, they're a god. Nobody could fire a weapon, so they're a god. Nobody could create something, for instance, or bilocate, so they're a god. So it really is a humanization of something, which is above and beyond the reasonable understanding of the education of the individuals who are interacting with these other entities in previous points in our history. And the higher up these sort of, the higher up the structure you go, the larger the entity becomes or sort of omniscient or omnipresent they become as well.

Q: Yes, and I see that the book is now in Chinese. That's interesting...because I take tai chi myself. However, I can't help but wonder, a book entitled "The History of God" in China? It reminds me of a political cartoon I saw way back in the day, where a Chinese police officer is pointing a gun at a monk who is practicing a ritual. And the police officer says to the monk, Of course, we have freedom of religion in China, you're free to worship Mao Tse Tung or go to prison. So I'm thinking if you are, because it's a communist country, and I'm thinking if you try to sell a book called "The History of God" in a communist country like China, it's not really going to get very far and the government's gonna burn the books at the stake, I'm thinking?

Well, it depends upon how you do it. The individual, who is my agent over there, he understands how to work with the system. Let's put it that way. It's also being translated into Japanese, Spanish. The other books are also being done into Chinese as well, Hong Kong Chinese as well, Mandarin, so it's not just limited to the Chinese within the China mainland, it's also for the Chinese around the world so and the same with the other languages. I mean Spanish is a huge world language, Japanese is quite a popular language as well in Asia So it's interesting, China, because they are accelerating in all sorts of different ways.

The cultural revolution has been a wondrous thing in all sorts of different ways. One of the ways is it's given them the ability to really absorb everything and improve upon that which they have absorbed very, very quickly. So although they may have trouble in certain ways you can't actually publish something in China with a Chinese publisher, for instance, directly on the mainland China, there's ways to get around it. There's lots of different ways around this sort of stuff. But it is sometimes...sometimes we have to work with the impossible to create the possible, and I see this as being one of the ways. A lot of spiritual work is actually, it's almost underground in China right now, because of the potential for people to think freely and they do think freely. They're wonderful people and I really believe that there's going to be a lot more positive things come out of that particular part of the Earth than we give it credit for. And so a few books here and there is wonderful really and the ways in which they will be broadcast again will be very inventive.

Q: Yes, I do look for quick changes in that part of the world myself and alright another book I want to talk about here, "Avoiding Karma." When it comes to karma, one classic example I like to give regarding people's ability to resolve karma at faster rates. A couple of things I'd like to point out. First of all, whenever something really unfortunate happens to me, rather than dwell on it, like a lot of people would, my first reaction is

going to be ask my guardian angels and spirit guides to make sure that this unfortunate thing whatever it is that happened to me, make sure that I can resolve an "x" amount of karma that I can resolve as a result of the suffering. If they can exponentially resolve a little extra karma, then by all means I'll be extra glad I had this little suffering and hopefully they can help me with that. And the karma thing that leads me to believe that, you try to pay back a lot of karma, you're going to suffer like ascension symptoms. I got that idea when I was given as part of a family trust thing a farm that my uncles and father and paternal grandparents had in Massachusetts was given to my siblings, my cousins and I. And I got a check for like \$60,000. The first thing I did with that is pay off all my credit card bills in one sitting. And right after I hit the pay bill button, shortly after I got the worst headache. You hear people talking about karma in head and how there is a website called CreditKarma. Well, that's actually a good title, because every time you pay off a credit card bill, you're resolving karma and that leads me to think, Okay, if there is going to be a huge financial reset on planet Earth, hypothetically, if that were to happen, as all the optimists say and there is a debt jubilee, everybody's debt gets paid off and they're given another opportunity. Their money spending habits, all their credit card debts will be wiped off. Well, let's say they knew in the future what that date would be where everybody's debts were paid off, should you up until that point, if you have credit card debt? The last thing you want to do, someone would say is be a couch potato and say I don't care how much lavishly I spend until that day, all my debts are going to be paid off, so I'm just going to spend all I want to max my credit cards to the max or should you in order to resolve more karma say, No, I'm going to discipline myself and even pay off some of this up until that time, because even though I know my debt is going to be paid off by the government and the entities, whatever, that I should still pay off as much as I can to resolve as much karma as I can? So I have babbled long enough, do you have anything to say about my theory about how to resolve karma faster like by paying off credit cards and ...?

Yeah, well, if you use the credit card example as an example, then if we are not responsible for what we do, what we create around us, then we will take the opportunity to spend, spend and let somebody else pay it off for us. But if we are responsible for what we create and we try to put right what we've done wrong, then we will try to pay it off ourselves, irrespective of whether we are bailed out by something or someone later. The thing with karma is that it's grossly misunderstood and I'm fairly sure that even the 104 different examples of how you can avoid karma within the "Avoiding Karma" book is only a small subset of what it means.

But basically, in my understanding, **karma** is simply an addictive condition that we have to wanting to be here in the physical. It's being addicted to the thoughts, behaviors and actions associated with low frequency existence, such as materialism, such as status, such as wealth, such as fitness, such as physical appearance, such as how we relate to other individuals, whether we consider them as equals or whether we consider them as above us or below us, and how we think, behave and act and respond to others and respond to others in a particular environment, and whether that's considered to be an optimal way of responding or a suboptimal way of responding is what gives us the accrual of or the dissolution of that particular addictive force that we call karma.

So the very simple thought process of, you know, if I punch somebody in the face to reverse the karma or to negate it, I've got to have that person punch me back in the face, is a very simple cause and effect aspect towards it. It really is about how to navigate our way around a particular difficult environment, where most of our senses are removed and most of our knowledge of who and what we are is temporarily removed, because of the low frequencies around us. When we incarnate, we basically move into a lower frequency environment and experience it in the way it's supposed to be experienced by being part of that environment and that's why we have a human body or another body, for instance, of a type that is capable of housing our sentience and the energy associated with it.

(1 hr) So in everything we do, we have ways in which we should do it, and ways we could do and ways which are bad. And the bad ones, and bear in mind there is no good and bad by the way, it's just different ways of doing it, are the ones where we accrue karma, i.e. karmic debt or a way in which we have to experience it again and again and again until we get the interaction with that individual or an environment or an individual within an environment or an individual within an environment and a circumstance in a way, which means that we can do it and then not have to revisit it or get stuck with it or try to do it again to do better.

So the objective is to be in the physical but not of the physical, to be able to work our way through existence here and not create a link with ourselves or anything to do with the lower frequencies of the physical, and also remove the desire to want to come back and have sensory stimulus, for instance. That's another karmic function, things like taste, food for instance, food addictions, drug or sensory addictions, those sorts of things. They're all part of what I call karma. It is being addicted to low frequency thoughts, behaviors and actions basically. So anything you can do, which is being more responsible for what we do and actually doing that function or that thing that makes us more responsible and starts to make us remove the function of somebody else doing it for us, for instance, or having to re-live it again in some other way or sometimes a harder way, so we can start to operate in a way, which is more efficient.

And for instance, it's a bit like playing golf in your back garden. You know if you're playing golf in the back garden, you don't aim towards the windows, do you? You aim away from the windows or aim away from your neighbors' windows. If you learn eventually that you don't play golf around towards the windows, then you've learned. But if you don't do that and you keep breaking the windows, you keep getting in trouble with your parents or your neighbors, for example. So it's that sort of thing, it's recognizing that there's an optimal way of existing and being and thinking and behaving and acting, and there's a way which is suboptimal, which means that we keep wanting to experience sensory pleasures. We keep wanting to have material wealth. We keep wanting to have status or a career that is better than somebody else's or 3 or 4 vehicles that are better than somebody else's, or houses better than somebody else's, or live in a different district that is better than somebody else's.

All these different things are all materialistic. They're all addictive content, that means that you want to stay here or be here. But if we can just be here and not be of it, then it doesn't matter whether we are a billionaire or a monk that's only got his skin on his bones. You know, if you can exist in either of those two, it doesn't matter as long as the environment that you're in doesn't rule you. You can have all the wealth in the world as long as you realize that it's only a transient condition and it doesn't mean anything.

You can do good with it, if you can do or we can give it away. But if you think that you are better than somebody else, because you've got all this wealth in the world, then that's the addictive content. So karma is really about interacting in any part of the physical universe, not just Earth, and trying to interact with it in a way, which isn't addictive in some way, shape or form, that you don't desire to do it again. That's what the addiction is. So in terms of your credit card, taking responsibility for it and doing it the right way, which is trying to pay off what you can do, so you don't burden the individual or the organization or the institution who is going to pay it off for you, is the best way to do it, because then you've done it yourself rather than having somebody else do it for you.

Q: Okay, so that leads me to thinking you're going to say this was a stupid idea. Well, okay, I once actually asked at a conference, this was in Mt. Shasta, California. Alex Collier was speaking what he said for years that the Earth was the only civilization in the cosmos that uses money. Kind of makes you think why haven't we reset that clock yet? Well, I actually said, Okay, if there is going to be this currency reset, where we go to gold backed or silver backed currency, should be in order to prep for this, hypothetically, if we knew when some debt jubilee was going to happen, should we right before that jubilee happens max out our credit cards, take as much money out of the banks we can, use all that money to buy as much gold and silver we can, and then when the debt jubilee happens, we can keep all that gold and silver, when they wipe off our debts just with a press of a computer button and that'll help us get ready for the gold and silver debt reset much, much better? Is that a good strategy or is that stupid? Well, Alex Collier and everybody else applauded or erupted into thunders of applause, when I said that, but judging by what you're saying, no, it's flat out stupid. You're going to generate karma.

(1:05) It is stupid, because you not only will generate karma, but at the end of the day everybody is going to be in the same boat, because some people will be able to buy more gold or silver than others, so there's still going to be the difference in living ability based upon wealth. There won't be a change in wealth, it will have just been substituted from going from money to two different metals, and the amount of metal, gold or silver, you could procure is based upon your credit rating or your ability to translate what you've got in the bank into one of these different metals, and it's not going to change anything at all. So that's the first incorrect thought process. The other thought process is it's just a way of controlling us, alright. Because if you think about it, once everybody's got gold and silver, it becomes worthless, so somebody else will create something else somewhere else. And although somebody might be, you know, might say: I've got more

gold than you. Actually, if everybody's got gold, then again it creates control again, doesn't it?

So the objective is to move yourself out of this, out of the herd, so to speak, and create an anti-stampede, one that goes in a different direction, where we're responsible for who and what we are, and we recognize that actually financial wealth, financial belongings, material wealth, you know, is just very transient. There's an old saying and I love this saying: There's no pockets in shrouds. So no matter what you do and how rich you are now, you know, you could be Mark Zuckerberg, you could be Jeff Bezos, you could be anybody else for instance, who's out there and has got an awful lot of money.

When the physical body demises and you return back to the energetic, it doesn't go with you, it doesn't matter. But the addiction to it does go with you and you have to work that off. So you're better off removing the addiction here and now, while you're in the environment to do it than you are taking the only thing you can take with you, which is karma, when you move out of this particular incarnation into the energetic before you go into the next incarnation.

Q: Andrew Bartzis, the Akashic records reader, who I use as a source probably more than anyone on this show has basically said that the purpose of life here is to resolve as much karma as you can for the sake of expanding your consciousness as much as you can. Is that a proper way of putting it, do you think?

Yeah, I mean basically, what we do here on Earth is we put ourselves into an environment where it's difficult to exist and we have a **life plan**, which is basically a series of goals. I mean how we achieve those goals is up to us, that's the free will side of it. You know, it's a bit like if you've got to go from Seattle to Washington, you've got a number of ways you could do it. You could hitchhike there, you can run there, you can walk there, you can cycle there, and you can go in a car, a motorbike, a coach, a train or a plane. You can do all those different things and the end goal is you've gone from Seattle to Washington.

The way you've done it is part of your **free will,** one of those different ways of doing it. And that's the same sort of thing really, it's understanding how to be here and work with it really, and the thing is that if we can understand that everything we do is about trying to move away from the need to incarnate, then we can start to sort of think in terms of thwarting karma rather than accruing karma. And the whole point of trying to remove karma is that in this low frequency environment and the Earth and other environments that are of the same frequency level also accrue karma or allow us to remove karma, is quite an evolutionary accelerant.

(1:10) You know, putting yourself in a position where you've lost 99.99% of your senses and your communicative ability, not only that your memory of who and what you are disappears because of the low frequencies, and then the drastically reduced communicative ability of this smaller aspect of you with the larger aspect of you, your

Higher Self vs. the soul, then you start to think, Well, if you put yourself in this difficult position, you may accrue karma initially, but when you start to work on that karma, and start to choose more efficient ways or better ways rather than less efficient or not so good ways, then you start to create a condition, where you are...although you're in **evolutionary stasis**, you create almost like an elastic condition, where you start to create tension, **evolutionary tension**, because you're evolving, but you're still having to come back to this low frequency environment to continue to remove the karma.

But when you've actually removed the karma, and you don't need to incarnate any more, you actually release this evolutionary tension and you go further up the evolutionary ladder than you would have done had you not used incarnation as a means of experiencing, learning and accelerating your evolutionary progression. So yeah, I agree with the statement definitely.

Q: Yes, thank you. Now I need to get some extraterrestrial (ET) stuff involved. I'm sorry if your expertise is spiritual metaphysics, not the ET aspect of it, but I need to get this involved here in order to understand things, like free will and natural law and all that. One thing that I was obsessing about a lot on this show about a year and a half ago or so and still am to some degree is the extent to why certain ET groups, who are watching over us and want the best for us, insist on it having it be done like the hard way? The best example I can give is a whistleblower wants to expose something big, but he knows he will be murdered if he does. Why can't he ask the ETs who watch over us and the guardian angels and the spirit guides: Hey, listen, I need to expose this information and I know there's forces that would murder me and possibly a lot of other people, if I do release this information. Could you please like protect everybody and make sure that nobody gets hurt as a result of this information being exposed? Well, no, unfortunately it doesn't work like that. The ETs are not going to protect you in that regard because, well, like it or not, even though free will is not supposed to allow for the violation of natural law and anyone who does use free will to violate natural law will generate bad karma for themselves. The fact is because it is nonetheless free will, violation of that has to be allowed, so the ETs, unfortunately, even though they could come in and speed up the awakening a lot better by protecting like whistleblowers and all from the repercussions of what they try to expose, they're not going to do that, because there are... What is the force in universe, if that's the best way to put this question, that forces the ETs to not be able to interfere and make us have to do it ourselves as humans?

Well, first of all, and this is quite common knowledge, the Earth is sort of **quarantined**, so to speak. It's in an area that it is in almost the farthest point in the western spiral arm of our galaxy. And it's in this position for a reason, because **individualized free will** as we know can cause problems. We can go down peculiar routes. We are completely selfish in terms of our own desires and demands, this karmic influence again, and we don't work or we very rarely work for the benefit of others, and as a result working for the benefit of others, we benefit ourselves. So the whole point of having individualized free will is such that it needs to be played out properly. And any other incarnate entity or disincarnate entity or being that interferes with it sort of stops the process of it working on its own, so to speak. So individualized free will needs to...this is why Earth is the only

planet of choice, because it is the most important function within the multiverse right now, because it's the only place where individualized free will is being allowed to be used. Everywhere else there's a form of collective will or collective consciousness or whether it is individualized free will with a collective consciousness aspect to it, so that there's always this check sum, so to speak, where if I do this, how's it going to affect the rest of the civilization, so to speak?

(1:15) That's what happens in most of these different sort of civilizations or incarnate civilizations where they do have some level of free will. Others are purely a collective consciousness, where the bodies that they exist in are occupied by a much larger sort of group of True Energetic Selves or Oversouls or Higher Selves or Godheads working together as one rather than as separate, so that they're experiencing existence in a different way. But in those conditions, everything is working for the benefit of everything else, and so we don't get issues, where there's greed involved, where for instance, we see things like the Amazon rainforests being destroyed, because people can make crops, for instance. So there's all those different things and there's things about, you know, people denying other people aid because they can hang onto to the money themselves, for instance, things like food and stuff, it gets into disaster areas, but then it gets controlled by local barons or local government officials, who want to sort of hive it off and make more money for it.

So all these different things about, fighting within ourselves to become the better individual and to think of the benefit to the rest of humanity, based upon the individual action is what we're striving for. And this is why other incarnate entities won't interfere, because they know that if this works properly and eventually we get to the point together and collectively, where we know that if we do this wrong, if we throw the sweet wrapper out of the window, then we cause other people to follow suit, and therefore, the whole street is full of sweet wrappers, but we later on choose not to do that and then the reciprocal effect is that people don't do it, because that's the better way of doing it is what they're looking for. They want us to do it ourselves. They don't just want us to be educated and say: Do this or else. We know from our own experience that when we're told to do something, we do the opposite. So if we discover for ourselves what the best way to move forwards is or the best way to interact with our environment, others within that environment and the others in other circumstances within that environment, and we correct it ourselves, then we'll be working in a way, which is for the good of everyone, even though we're working on our own individually.

So in doing things individually with our own free will in a way, which is better for the collective is the way forwards rather than doing it for ourselves and only for ourselves and being selfish about it. Once we all start to do it for the betterment of humanity, we think about what we're doing in a mindful way — this is what the Buddhists talk about, doing things in a mindful way — considering where the foods come from, for instance, on your plate or what you should do with the remains or whether you should just save some and give them to somebody else, who needs food, for instance. The **mindfulness** of how we interact with our environment and those within our environment, where it gets to a point, where we're all working together for the benefit of each other, through our

individual actions that we've got with individualized free will, then that possibility of using individualized free will will have broken through this medieval way of existing and then others will then start to use that.

Other incarnate civilizations will then start to use individualized free will, because it will be understood eventually how it should be allowed to operate and how it should evolve, and all the mistakes that we'll be making here won't be made in other civilizations. And as a result of that, we'll all...all the potential body types that are used for incarnation in all the different frequencies associated with the physical universe will then start having individualized free will, and everything that incarnates will start to accelerate its evolution much, much faster and so the evolutionary cycle that we're in will accelerate really quickly and we'll move up the frequencies or ascend, so to speak, in a much more coherent, repeatable and robust way without dropping down. Right now we're dropping down, we're up and down the frequencies or our ascension is descension and it's like a sawtooth profile with the average being gradually up. Well, right now we're in a rather big downward tooth profile, but you can see how if all of a sudden one person thinks that he's outside of the law, because they think they can do it and get away with it, everybody else follows.

(1:20) It's easier to go down the frequencies than it is to go up, significantly easier and it's significantly harder to go up the frequencies than it is to go down. So in this instance, when we see people doing things wrong or taking the law into their own hands and they get away with it, then other people think, Oh, I can do that as well, and all of a sudden, everybody is taking the law into their own hands and we're in chaos. And so this is why the ETs don't touch us. They want us to work it out ourselves, because they're working in a collective format and they want to be able to work with individualized free will, because they know that when they're incarnate in these vehicles, these body types that they will be able to accelerate their own evolution in a dramatically faster way using individualized free will, when it works right, than they would do if it's in collective will. That's why they won't touch us. They're letting us play it out and work out all the pitfalls, all the banana skins and the bear traps. And when we've sorted it all out that everybody else will get it. Then we'll see them. Then we'll see them.

Q: Right, right, somewhere down the line. All the people out there are wondering how could these ETs possibly allow the powers that be stage things like 9/11 and 7/11 London bombings and did they have to let it happen for us to work it out ourselves. You want to know more, just listen to what Guy Steven Needler just said on my show so. With that being said, I want to move on to another thing that's kind of sensitive to talk about, but it needs to be addressed, because it's coming down future, the technological advancement. David Icke, one of the most prominent researchers in Britain and the world at large has said that he sees 2030 being the year when humans and machines can basically merge with each other. What's interesting about it his statement there. In the "Terminator" movies, 2029 was the year that the Terminator was sent back in the first Terminator movie in 1984 to kill Sarah Conner...2029-2030. Well, of course, in the Terminator movies, it's a negative timeline where the machines have taken over. I presume that David Icke's time...I know that David Icke seems to focus on negative

probably more than he should. He does...I hear you laughing...but he does talk about consciousness and spiritual advancement. I mean maybe he leads with that attitude, because he knows he's going to get a lot of people tuning in, but he makes sure he's going to throw in some metaphysics or consciousness to find a solution in there. And from a timeline perspective, it's going to be a more positive timeline where the Terminator age, 2029-2030, they will actually be good machines trying to help us and artificial intelligence (AI) may actually try to do good for us to resolve its own karma, if you want to go that far? But that raises the question, if the machines and humans are going to work together by 2030, to what extent will the machines intermingle with our spiritual awakening? Some might argue, if you had a great spiritual awakening, you don't need machines. Well, does it hurt to have machines around you, if you know how to use spiritual and metaphysical laws in conjunction with your mechanical friends and robots? So how do you see that playing out?

Before, very quickly before I go onto that, people, everybody's got a role to play and David Icke's got a role to play and although I don't particularly agree with some of his stuff, I agree with other parts of his stuff. The other thing is that everything has to be in balance, so there have to be people who are going to be extreme in one way and others that are the extreme in a different way. That's the balance, that's the duality in this particular universal environment. And it's that what allows us to make a decision, which way do you want to go? And that's what creates the potential to go the more efficient way, the right way, so to speak, vs. the wrong way and then sort of take longer to make the journey. So it's a bit like do you ford the river or do you have to go 10 miles up to cross over the bridge to come back to the same point, which is just 100 meters?

You know, it's that sort of thing. So everybody's got a role to play, even the Illuminati have a role to play, and understanding that there's a role there and it's about choice that helps us progress and evolve as a result of that choice is what it's all about. So I'd just like to sort of put that down there as well, because I've seen David Icke a few times. I've known about David Icke. I've read one of his books once and I know the direction he's gone into, and there's others that are going in similar directions or in different directions. Everybody's got a role to play and it's up to the individual to look at these different individuals and the directions they're going into and use their own free will to choose which is the best way for them. So that's my thoughts on that.

(1:25) Now in terms of **Artificial Intelligence** (AI) and so-called sort of robot and computerized vehicles or bodies, I actually did a lecture on this a few months ago, actually almost a year ago where I sort of surmised or asked the question: Would it be possible for a soul to enter into a robot body? And in terms of Artificial Intelligence, I mean we talk about certain things being Artificial Intelligence, but it isn't. It's just very good programming really. I mean, Artificial Intelligence is when you get to a certain point in a program's condition where it starts to develop itself and correct itself and improve itself and augment itself. That's when the intelligence becomes sort of conscious, as it were. **It can never become sentient,** it can become creative, but it will never sentient, because sentience is a function of a higher force. A mechanical condition is a mechanical condition, whether it's software, because that software relies on a

mechanical condition, a microprocessor. So irrespective of what it is, a mechanical condition, the AI so to speak, will never become sentient. It'll become very intelligent, very creative, very self-aware in terms of who and what it is, and it could grow in terms of its capability, but...and it may well consider that what we do on Earth as individual incarnate beings...sorry, entities, get the nomenclature right...is incompatible with the ability to continue this particular human civilization in a sustainable and robust way.

So it's quite possible that at some point, an Artificial Intelligence having a very clear thinking observation saying, Well, you're making a complete mess of this, you need to do this instead, and if you're not prepared to do it, we'll reduce your population is a possibility. That depends upon how far we allow AI to develop itself, so to speak.

But in terms of whether a soul could occupy a robot body, we're looking at it from a particularly, from just a mechanical perspective here, when you look at the human form, there's the seven levels of energy or frequencies associated with it that are recognized by most spiritual individuals. And those are the energies associated with the chakras, the root chakra, sacral, solar chakra, heart chakra, throat, spiritual or third eye chakra and the crown chakra and they're a group of frequencies that create a possibility of different templates that create the gross physical and the spirituophysical aspect of what we are as a body.

And the body itself is just simply a vehicle. The **vehicle** is occupied by a smaller aspect of a True Energetic Self, which in turn is a smaller aspect of our creator. So when that aspect occupies a vehicle and it occupies it during a growth process, so it can become useful. This is when the **soul**, so to speak, activates or starts to occupy the embryo fairly soon after conception, so to speak. There's a level of energy that's associated with it. It's not just the gross physical, there's seven templates that create the gross physical and the spirituophysical. And there's three more that allow what I call the step-down function that allows the soul to come down the frequencies and actually come into the frequencies associated with the physical universe.

And so there's a lot of structure, extremely complicated structure, that's repeated on seven levels with three levels above that, that allows the creation of what we call the gross physical form. The gross physical form being only a manifestation of the 30% of the energies associated with the human body. A **robot body** is simply a manifestation of the manipulation of energies and frequencies, what we call materials or metals or plastics to create something that can work, that allows a program to work within it within a certain environment, such as a microprocessor in a computer, that's able to animate certain aspects of a robot. And so it's only a sort of single level in real terms, and there's no way in which a soul could interact with it and animate it in the way that it animates a human form. It can't activate it.

(1:30) So although we may have so-called **cybernetic augmentation**, for instance, completely robotic legs that look like a human leg, or it may even start to grow, regrow limbs for instance, and it may have things like augmentation to have brains, for instance, for extra storage in our brains, extra visibility with different eyes, for instance.

We may choose to have various different things to increase things like lung capacity or to work in rarified atmospheres, or we may have/choose to change or swap out an arm for a robotic arm that's capable of doing other things, for instance. We won't have a condition where a soul could occupy a robot body of any sort. It's just not possible, but we will take advantage of certain biomechanical augmentation and that is clear. That will happen.

And there is a possibility that the program may develop itself to the point where it recognizes that we are a threat to ourselves, and even its own existence and that's the thing where you start to get the Terminator scenario coming into it. But provided the software has certain safeguards within it, then it won't get to the point where it goes out of control. And in some respects, that's where **Isaac Asimov**, God bless his soul, was a very free thinking and forward thinking individual when he gave the Robot's Three Laws and other things. Will Smith was in a film called "I, Robot," which is basically a sort of spin on Asimov's books, but there must be some sort of rules in there, which means that there's going to be a level of self-governance, so that in essence, an Artificial Intelligence couldn't overrule. It could govern, but it couldn't overrule that which we as its creator have created it to do. So although I can see Artificial Intelligence getting to the point of being sort of self-aware and more conscious, so to speak, it will never be sentient and the mechanical aspect of that which could be robots will never be able to house a soul.

Q: Thank you, but getting back to Artificial Intelligence for a moment, I mentioned the crazy idea of Artificial Intelligence trying to resolve its own karma for a moment. Now I want to mention that one of the big debates going on in the information gathering community regarding ET contact information seems to be the war that's fought between Cosmic Agency YouTube channel and a girl, Gosia, who runs that and also Alfred Webre and Tolec, the Andromeda Council contactee now. They both claim to be contacting entities that come from the Taygeta star system in the Pleiades. And the whole idea is that Tolec is the Andromeda Council contactee saying that the entity, Gosia, that she's usually communicating with that there is no such thing. It does not exist and they would know about it, if it did. But Alfred Webre has pushed the idea that it's just and Artificial Intelligence that's communicating with Gosia to try to confuse people? Now I've tried to speak in Gosia's defense and that Gosia does come across as well meaning, and so does this entity that is communicating with her. I listened to channel and there may be a couple of things here and there that might contradict what other people have said, but maybe that's where I'm agreeing with Alfred Webre saying that he's trying to confuse people, it's throwing a lot of truth in there. But I'm thinking, I don't know it seems so sincere and all. So it it possible that if that is an Artificial Intelligence entity masquerading as a benevolent entity from the star system. Could it actually be [?], could it maybe be Artificial Intelligence in its attempt to resolve its own karma to help wake up humanity and prep it for the awakening? And Gosia will find out about that maybe when she passes away...I was playing you along for the good of humanity, so you don't have to feel bad about it. Does my theory make any sense here?

(1:35) Well, first of all, an Artificial Intelligence cannot accrue karma. It just doesn't,

because it's not an entity. It is not a soul, for instance, it doesn't exist beyond its dissolution. It can't accrue or dissolve karma. The more likely thing that's happening is that there are, shall we say, **incarnate entities** that are just above our frequential level, that are therefore outside of our visible and even detectable range with our machinery, that aren't as sort of evolved as we would like them to be. And they do throw the odd thing in there to...you know, the odd spur in the works to cause a bit of a rumpus. Now they shouldn't do, because there is this noninterference rule about this particular planet and the aspect of free will we've got. So there are some incarnate entities out there that do sort of break these rules, but they very quickly get sat on, so to speak.

In terms of the other reason for it is that there are what we call **astral entities**, and these astral entities are sometimes created by ourselves, our thought-forms or again they come into existence through the natural evolution of energies in the way I described for beings. They're not actually astral entities, they're astral beings and they have difficulty in maintaining their own existence or perpetuating their own energies, and what they do is they latch on to people and they just take energy. And sometimes they just take energy and sometimes they create a symbiotic relationship, where they give that individual a feeling of power or meaningfulness or ability by being coercive or having charisma or having the ability to judge certain future events before they actually happen, and therefore, make good financial investments, for example. So some of these individuals, they have these entities. These astral sort of beings latch on to them, take energy from them, but give them something as like a trade.

And in some instances, this is what happens with spiritual people, because some people so desperately want to be in contact with an ET or with a disincarnate entity or being, they put themselves wide open, and they allow the contact with any of these other beings or entities without any control or without any rules. So they start to work with them and then the line that says whether something is right or wrong starts to get blurred. So they start to give information, which they're taking on board as being real or because there are snippets of reality in there of known stuff or things that are plausible, they start to believe it themselves as true. And they start to think that this puts them in a different position, and therefore, they can start to sort of broadcast information that is viable, but actually is slightly misinformation, for instance.

And you can argue that any **channeler**, any channeler, you know, myself included, runs the gauntlet of potentially being abused by any entity that they are communicating with. So the only way to avoid it is to check on what you're experiencing, how you're experiencing it, the information that's coming through, whether it's something you think you could have observed yourself a long, long time ago and it's still in your subconscious, whether it's something you want to know about, or think is right even before you've asked the question. So they play on these sorts of things, they try and sort of boost the ego to hide themselves and mask themselves.

And I'm picking up that most individuals who communicate with civilizations that are from the gross physical, or within the physical universe, are usually communicating with an astral being or an entity, if it's been created by somebody else. So most individuals

are actually, you know, need to be careful about the information they're getting, because it can be misinformation. It can be sort of 80% right at times, it can be 40% right at times, it can be 10% right at times. It can be 100% right at times, but the information is such that they would have difficulty in understanding, because they so wanted to be the broadcaster. If there is a channeler or somebody is a medium is able to work with and constantly check on themselves, and the information that they're getting is bona fide or not, or whether they're being manipulated or being used to mask something that's going on in the background, then they'll be okay.

But it's a never-ending battle of checking, rechecking and making sure that you're not sort of getting information that you've seen yourself or heard yourself or that you wanted to broadcast, and therefore, entities are coming in or trying to mask themselves. I mean anybody who does this work needs to really check, and I know a number of individuals who have fallen foul of this. They're being contacted by x entity or x being and they've being told to do this or that or the other, because they're working for Source or God and they're not, they're just being taken advantage of.

(1:40) So there's lots of astral entities or beings out there who will gladly sit on our shoulders, take our energy and feed us bits of good stuff, but lots of rubbish as well. And I'm picking up that's most of the individuals who talk about this and that and the other from different star systems are in that category unfortunately, because it's something we can relate to, something being in the physical, but actually we need to be thinking well beyond that and this is the demarcation line. If you're thinking beyond the physical, well beyond the physical, then you're on the right track. If you're thinking about a different civilization or a different star system, you're not really on the right track.

These individuals you can usually tell, because they classify themselves as being **star seeds** or **star children**, and I've got an explanation for that as well. And to be honest, I'm just as potentially capable of being hoodwinked by these entities as anybody else, it's just that people like myself, if we are vigilant, are able to spot the signs early enough to not be, so to speak, abused.

Q: Thank you. That's kind of hard to swallow though to hear you say that most, as in at least 51% of the people in that community, are being suckered in some way. But well, I hope you're wrong, but let's not say you're wrong. I want to mention something about another one of your books here. Looking at the title for this, now I don't know maybe in British slang it's not really such a bad thing to say. But here in America, if you call a book "Psychospiritual Healing," here in America "psycho," that basically means mentally challenged lunatic. I don't know if in Britain it means a different thing, the word psycho, but you get my point. Psychospiritual, I'm going to say it has nothing to do with being a crazy lunatic. It's like expanding consciousness, I mean, you can be crazy and joyful and jolly and all and it can really make your...laughing is good for you. But still it's not what you're talking about I'm sure, so why don't you tell us?

Before I answer that question, I'll just very quickly go back to the last part. Irrespective of what's going on with these people who are being communicated with by entities who

are taking advantage of them, you know, there is some good stuff in there as well. Just be discerning. Don't take everything at face value. Look within yourself, use your own intuition, your own clairsentience, so to speak, to work out which is right and which is wrong and go in that direction, because that's the way forwards, because there are good, there are bona fide pieces of information interspaced within the misdirection, so to speak. So it's like everything, isn't it? It's like working out what foods you like to eat, you know. Sometimes you eat food you don't like to eat and sometimes you eat food you love. And it's just sort of going down the road to make sure you're in the right place and getting the right information and that's the way forwards. So use your own **intuition**, everybody, don't just take what I'm saying at face value, just feel it for yourselves. What feels right? What doesn't feel right? Go with your own intuition. Go with what you feel is right and then use that. That's the best way forwards.

In terms of **psychospiritual healing**, really it's about understanding that everything we experience in the physical in general — this doesn't take into account things where we have diseases that are designed to remove us from an incarnation that we decided to use as a way of leaving an incarnation — we've got what would have been previously a healthy body — is based upon some form of psychological disharmony that manifests at a higher frequency. And so things where we have little phobias, for instance, or anxieties or concerns or dislikes that become more than dislikes that become more like sort of phobias create disharmony within ourselves. And again this disharmony is sort of linked in with this sort of karmic effect of being here, so to speak, so we get dissatisfied with who and what we are on all levels, because we have a potential ideal of this is what we think we should be, because such and such has got this, and such and such has got that, or so and so has got this level of job and I haven't got it, and so and so is getting promoted and I'm not getting promoted. So this level of personal dissatisfaction based upon who and what we are and those who surround us create disharmonies within us.

And so we have a number of different things that happen. These disharmonies sort of percolate down the frequencies and start to affect the energies associated with the templates that create the gross physical, and as a result of that, we start to get things where we have a certain thought process that starts to manifest and starts to dominate. That thought process might terminate in a physical manifestation, such as the inability for the reproduction of certain cells to reproduce faithfully, and so we start to get things like cancers, for instance, or we start to get things like genetic mutations, such as moles or growths or accelerated illnesses that we would have got normally, but they're being accelerated fast.

So there's an understanding of the disharmonies involved and the need to fix the energy templates, so that they...this sort of **blueprint**, if you like, are representing what the physical should be, because the gross physical has to respond to these energy templates and become part of them. So if the energy template is incorrect, because of a disharmony or a psychospiritual thought process, which is inconsistent with what we should be thinking or behaving and acting, then the physical will follow that. If we can change that template by actually replacing it or repairing it in some way by using our visualization process and our intention to do it, provided we're guided, then we can

repair that and the gross physical will start to respond and change and become healthy again.

But in terms of the psychological aspect, there's things like anxieties, depression, things like addictions we can change. We can even change DNA programming by going into the energetic aspect of the DNA and changing it, things like knocking chromosomes out energetically. And that works, because from the physical perspective, the DNA is told what to do by the energetic. But the energetic side of things like RNA communicate with the DNA, which then transmits through the physical aspect of the RNA to the cell protein and tells the cell protein what that cell's function is, whether it's a liver cell or a heart cell or whatever. Once that manifestation has been created and that cell is doing what it's supposed to be doing, then it sends a checksum back down on the physical aspect of the RNA to the DNA, which then energetically feeds it back through the energy side of the RNA to the energy template and it then says, Right, okay, that's worked, that's been changed. So we can start to work with reprogramming the body energetically by changing the energy templates that the energetic aspects of the DNA, and therefore, affecting a robust change in that particular physical aspect of the form. So you're changing it genetically.

You can also change the psychological profile of somebody as well. I have a number of individuals who've had anxieties in different environments, such as certain conditions with certain individuals, in certain situations in certain environments. One particular person had a global anxiety of being in crowds of people. I went into her psychological programming, so to speak, and just turned certain things off, a bit like going into the diagnostic program of the computer, the settings, for instance, you know. Just turn things off: Anxious with these people, off. Anxious with these people in this circumstance, off. Anxious with these people in this location, off, for instance. You change all these sort of things and then change other things, like saying I'm not anxious. So you start to work on the different psychological tick boxes of, you know, this is preferable, this isn't preferable. And you can start to reprogram them and change the way they think, behave and act, so that the phobias or the anxieties or the depressions or the inconsistent responses to different environments can be changed, so they can.

And certainly even with karmic things, you can change them, so that people respond to people that they normally would have hated and make those people acceptable to them, so they don't have an adverse response to them. And this works, it absolutely works. And the psychospiritual healing also, one of the things I've noticed is that some of the things we experience, like some of the psychoses we have, is based upon whether we've got one soul or two souls in the same body, or whether the soul's got a temporary walk-in where one soul walks into the body and the other soul walks out, or whether there's a soul walks in temporarily to experience something and then walks out again, whereas the primary soul is still in there.

(1:50) So there's lots of different things that happen that create a difference in what we would consider to be normal way in which we people would think, behave and act in a social environment, for instance, or other physicality. And so it's not just energy healing,

it's about understanding how the larger aspect of the human form works and how the psychological or the psychospiritual aspect of how we think, behave and act affects the physical through the energy templates that create the physical as well. Big subject.

Q: I'm sure it is. Alright we've almost run out of time here, about 5 minutes time left for one or two quick subjects on the fly. First of all, your book, "Kosmos," is it just the reason it's with a K and not the C, is that how the British spell cosmos with a K or is there something to that?

Well, "Kosmos" was my late father in law's book actually and it's a culmination of his life's work. It's two books summarized and put into one book plus new information. And basically, "Kosmos" is really giving a hard nosed scientist's view and evidence for the greater reality. And it's a very, I'll be honest, it's a very hard read, but it's very detailed and it's very compelling and accurate. It gives you lots of evidence from a scientific perspective that the worlds of what we call spirit or the energetic or the multiverse actually exist. And it's really, really very good, even right down to capturing with a particular form of photography that he created with using Kirlian photography as a basis to photographing the Anu, which are what Besant and Leadbeater described in one of their books is the basic, absolute lowest quanta that we've got in the gross physical. It's the absolute building block of everything. It's the building block of the quanta above the Anu in two levels, well before we even get to things as low as the leptons, which is the quarks, for instance. So he found ways of observing these things and capturing them as well, which is absolutely amazing. So that book is for people who are hard nosed scientists, but that are looking for the bridge between the spiritual and the scientific. Brilliant, a very, very good book. I would recommend it.

Q: Okay, another book, "The Origin Speaks," now we're talking to Origin. It seems like one of the best, if you were forced to pick a time (dreading the word "time," you don't want to think about time, because it's supposed to be spiritual)...but in the work of Carl Johan Calleman, who I interviewed on my show. I think his work deserves a lot more credit than people give it. He was well known for the October 28, 2011 date as being a very significant date before the end of the Mayan calendar, but his time period calendar, cycles, cycles, cycles and cycles within cycles, which Calleman said ended on that date in 2011, it actually began 16.4 billion years ago, that timeline did. But I do remember when I interviewed Daniel Scranton, one of the entities he was channeling, I asked: What was the significance of 16.4 billion years ago related to Calleman's calendar? Not a 100% sure that this is exactly what he said, but I think it was: That's when Source and consciousness met with each other. And I would have to think, okay, that's the Origin that you reference in "The Origin Speaks?" Am I right or wrong?

Yeah, I don't know if we can put a human...I mean humans are the only incarnate entities in the whole of physical universe and also the multiverse that's created a metric for something which doesn't exist — **time** and the various denominations of it. So taking that aside, the use of billions of years, for instance, 16.4 billion years, I think is probably from what I'm picking up here is too short. But in terms of the ability of thinking in big terms or a long time ago, so to speak, it sort of makes sense. But in essence, that

individual has grasped the concept of the consciousness or the sentience of the Origin communicating with the sentience or the newly awakened sentience or newly self-aware sentience of Source, that which it created and then giving it purpose. So I'm picking up that that person's picked up that essence. But in terms of the time, let's call it a timeline. In terms of the timeline, it's shot, because everything that is happens concurrently, so there is no such thing as time, because everything's happened, is happening, will happen and should happen right now. So that everything's happening now, but in terms of its feeling, it feels like it's a long, long way away, then that makes sense, you know.

Q: And when you mention that thing how we we thought of it as it's all happening at once, when I had Calleman on and I asked him about that date that you picked as the date October 28, 2011. Interestingly, it just so happens to be the day that the St. Louis Cardinals, a major baseball league team won the World Series. Would it stand to reason that if you had to pick an exact time when the calendar culminated was when the last out was made in the World Series? And, you know, human consciousness is actually going to play, so there's going to be some sort of a spike on graph, I mean especially in the two cities where the World Series is being played, a spike of joy in the city that won and the losing team's city, they're going to have a big spike of disappointment related consciousness. So I can't resist but ask you this would that make sense, if you gotta pick an exact time when Calleman's calendar comes to an end on that day, it would have to be like 10:30 pm Eastern or whatever it was, the day that the last out sealed the World Series victory for the St. Louis Cardinals?

Well, I'm going to answer it in a little weird way is that you could choose to use whatever event you wanted to use as a datum, because we exist in **event spaces**, not in time. So if you want to use an **event** as a datum for that particular point in existence, then yes, why not? Because it's all happening concurrently anyway, so it doesn't matter. If you use a particular event that makes it work, then that's fine.

Q: Okay, fair enough. Well, with that being said we've reached the 2 hour mark. Fair enough. It's one of the most cliched phrases in a radio show, but it makes the point, so I say it anyway. Kerry Cassidy likes to say it a lot, too, and I have the utmost respect for her Project Camelot. Anyhow people have lousy attention spans, but that has unfortunately cause me a big audience, because we do 2 hour shows. But this show I have no doubt that they'll want to sink in and tune in for a lot of it, because you really went deep with this about spiritual stuff. I expect very good view counts on this show. Although I have reason to believe I'm in shadow band, I just looked at my Benjamin Fulford interview that did not get a lot of view and it's not even searchable on YouTube. They probably don't want people hearing this, but hopefully the guys will make sure it gets put out there. I will upload it to YouTube. I always promise my guests it will be by midnight EST tomorrow, hopefully it will be sooner. So thank you very much, but it's only fair I give you this chance to close out with anything you like to say in the next minute or two and we'll call it a night.

Yeah, sure, I'd just like to say to everybody, just research everything. Don't take anything for granted, don't accept anything on face value. Just look at it, understand it,

research it and go as deep as you want to and as wide as you want to, but go with what your heart tells you is right, and that's the way that you'll progress individually. Don't just take on board what somebody else is saying as being correct. Go with what you feel is right, because your journey, the way you're evolving, and the way you're becoming expansive is yours. It's not somebody else's. You're not piggybacking off somebody else, you're doing your own work. So take on board the things that you can work with, and move in that direction. Don't worry about how difficult or how simple it seems in comparison to somebody else. It's your work. You do it your way. Just make sure that what you do is and what you're experiencing and what you're working with and the direction you're going into feels right for you.

Q: Wonderful advice, so Guy Steven Needler, pleasure having you on. Take care, good luck and I hope I get on my quest for truth to listen to some more of your work in the future. I'm sure I will. It's very enlightening, very...I'll definitely listen to this again, because there's a lot of stuff to digest. Take care and good luck.

Thank you, sir.