

May 25, 2019 - Pre-Recorded World Satsanga for The Kevin Moore Show

Transcript of Pre-Recorded World Satsanga by Guy Steven Needler:

"Welcome everybody to this World Satsanga held on the 25th of May 2019 and actually it's being broadcast in Tokyo, Japan — and I'm going to meet some wonderful people, who are associated with QHHT and who are also involved with the translation of first of all, "The History of God," whilst I'm over here as well. So I'm delighted to be able to meet these people both again and for the first time. And thank you Kevin Moore again for transmitting the World Satsanga on his YouTube channel, The MooreTalk and The Moore Show. It's always a delight to be able to work with Kevin and his ability to reach further and farther with this particular modality of broadcasting. Thank you.

Okay, so we've got quite a lot to do today in terms of questions. But the first thing I want to do is talk about "What dementia is and how it's caused?" There's a lot of people around me at the moment that are experiencing, shall I say, dementia in terms of their parents or loved ones, for instance, and I've had a lot of them ask the question about what it's all about. This includes things like Alzheimers and the various different forms that dementia does come in.

Part 1. Lecture on "What is Dementia and how is it caused?"

Well, basically, dementia in its worst case is very obvious insomuch as from a spiritual perspective the individual, who we consider to be our loved one or our parent or our friend whilst we're incarnate in this particular incarnation, is no longer there. We don't feel their personality. We don't feel their spirit, so to speak. We just see somebody who is...or a body that communicates with us and sometimes they focus on us and sometimes they don't.

The worse, or should I say, the longer they've been experiencing dementia, the more difficulty they're having in terms of understanding who we are and where we come from even if we're their children, for instance, it's most difficult. And including things like understanding or remembering things that happened 30 seconds or three or four minutes ago. Those individuals who have experienced dementia in a limited sense, that being in terms of the longevity that the dementia has been with them, may operate quite normally apparently, specifically to those who they don't see very often.

So for instance, if you are living away from your parents' home and your parents are still living at home or one of your parents is still living at home, and you have two parents still and one parent is perfectly fine and the other parent has dementia, the parent who is perfectly fine will be saying that their partner is forgetful all the time, asks the same questions all the time and has difficulty in remembering things and is quite aggressive as a result of that. And then you as a child will come along once in a while, either you'll telephone them or you'll go and visit them, and they'll be perfectly compassmented — they'll be totally focused.

And that's because you do provide a focus. As an individual you're not in part of the background with the partner, who is there all the time, because the partner is part of the background. And so the things that or the levels of interaction with that partner start to become part of the background and so nonconsequential. So it doesn't create the focus for that individual, whereas when you as the child comes along, you create a focus, and so therefore, the person or the parent with dementia starts to remember things straightaway, starts to understand who you are, what you are, what you are there for and even down to conversations that you've had sort of 30-40 seconds ago. But the longer that you are there, you start to become more of the

background again, and that's when things kind of start to get repeated and they start to forget things.

So what is this focus and what is dementia and what is it all about? Well, it's all about really a way in which a particular aspect or soul has decided to leave the body. If you remember, there's a number of different termination junctures, which we can have — up to five and the fifth one includes the final demise of the human form and the departure of the projected aspect from the True Energetic Self (which we sometimes call the Godhead or Oversoul or Higher Self, and the aspect being called a soul or an individualized unit of sentience and energy from the True Energetic Self) removes itself from that particular body, that vehicle that is experiencing this particular environment for a particular moment in space or time. And so that particular entity then sort of moves out, moves out and the body dies.

(5 min) With dementia, it's a case of there is no longer a need to be incarnate. The expectations and the goals of the life plan have been for all intents and purposes completed, and therefore, some souls either decide to go. They choose one of the departure or termination junctures or they finish their life plan close to the fifth termination juncture, and therefore, their life plan is terminated at the same time, so they go all at the same time. But with dementia, the soul is sort of gradually moving out of the way. The aspect is sort of gradually departing, because it may have satisfied the goals or the requirements of its life plan and they have decided to stay a bit longer or may just be staying longer for those individuals, who it has become associated with in this particular incarnation, such as its partner, such as its family members.

And so there is the desire to go and go back to the energetic, but there's also a desire to stay. And so what happens is that the sentience that is associated with the particular incarnate human vehicle sort of gradually sort of migrates slowly through like an underlying desire to go Home, whereas on the other side of the fence, we've still got this issue about wanting to be here, wanting to stay incarnate. So you get this dichotomy, where there's still a link with the human form, the incarnate human vehicle, but there's a desire from the incarnate aspect or soul to basically go back to the energetic and go back to its level of communion with its True Energetic Self. And so that's what happens.

The soul doesn't need to be here, doesn't really want to be here, but feels an honor of duty, so to speak, to be here for those who they feel are dependent upon them being there for confidence reasons, for emotional reasons and basically for maintaining that family unit reasons as well. So dementia is really in a nutshell the soul or the incarnate aspect gradually moving away from the incarnation and rather than going in one go either through disease, illness, accident or just the longevity of the human vehicle just ending basically, its function finishing, breaking down and no longer being functional.

So it's really about understanding that the soul is departing slowly and that the focus is...when we go and see a friend or a colleague or a parent or a partner that's got dementia, and we haven't seen them for some time, for instance, if they're in a care facility and we go, they suddenly wake up. So a part of the sentience comes back to meet us, comes back down the Hara line — that little energetic tube, which maintains the connectivity between the incarnate aspect and the True Energetic Self — it comes down there and basically greets us, so to speak.

From a human perspective, it doesn't feel that way, but from the soul or the aspect, it would say: "Oh, I've got to be here for them." So we come back down again, and it's only when there's a period of existence experienced by that particular incarnate aspect that has been achieved, for instance, time, that that individual starts to become part of the background and that they think: "Oh, well, now I'm here now, so I can start to drift back." And that's when we start to lose the focus of the individual and this sort of lack of personality, lack of understanding, the vacant look in the eyes of the individual with dementia starts to become prevalent as well.

So dementia is really again in summary a condition where the soul has decided it wants to go, but feels some sort of honor of duty to hang around a bit longer for those individuals, who expect them to be there basically. And the result is that the sentience that is required to animate the human form and give it a personality is not always there and sometimes isn't there. So you can see a link between dementia and being in a coma actually, because in the extreme cases of dementia, the body is just alive.

(10 min) From our human perspective, it's alive but it's not really animated or interactive in a correct way, in a way that is applicable to and associated with a full level of connectivity from an aspect. And so you see people with dementia just sitting there with a vacant look on their faces and this is almost the same as being in a coma. Because actually although in a coma, in general comas can be contained or maintained by a very small percentage of sentience, in general a lot of comas are maintained by medical and mechanical means. And so again, you get this lack of connectivity there. And rather than having the eyes open with a vacant stare, because there's nobody there, there's very little sentience there, the eyes are generally closed.

So coma and dementia are almost identical in terms of what's going on — the soul or incarnate aspect isn't in the body or isn't in the body fully, so to speak. Okay, when you see somebody with dementia, just recognize that they really aren't all there, because they're not. The sentience and the energy that is associated with that body is mostly gone. And you can give them the opportunity to go, if you want to. You can give them the permission to go, so rather than perpetuating this condition, where they're sort of sometimes here and sometimes not here, moving backwards and forwards to the body, which actually is something that we do anyway, when we first associate ourselves with the human form in the fetus or in the embryonic state, for instance. And when the body is first born, we do the same thing — whether we're first born or whether we're in a dementia state about to leave the body. So we're backwards and forwards all the time.

So really and truly, just accept or give these people permission to go. So when you see them at a certain age, it can be any age really — it can be from middle forties, even late thirties up to eighties, nineties and in the hundreds. If you see somebody that's got dementia and you know them, you can just say to them: "You know, you don't need to hang around, you can go back to your True Energetic Self or you can go back Home," if you want to use those words instead. And that will give them more incentive to go and detach the animating energy from the human form, removing it from the Tan tien and the rest of the sentience from the Soul seat and bringing it back towards the Core star, then move it back up the Hara line back into communion with its True Energetic Self and the body will demise accordingly.

Okay, so if you see anybody with dementia, just recognize it's because basically their soul isn't fully integrated with the body, and it's probably finished its life plan and is feeling no reason to be here other than to provide consolation or confidence or the need to be there for others, who are part of the family unit. And that's it. Okay, so that's what dementia is all about. It's about the soul leaving the body, but not quite leaving the body.

Let's have a look at the questions we've got. We've got a lot of questions actually, so we've got lots to go through and lots to do. There's a lot from FM and a lot from JM today. Thank you very much for your questions. I'll try and get through them as swiftly as possible, because there's quite a lot here.

Part 2. Questions and Answers

1. I understand that when we are born let's say in Asia and later in life we move to another continent let's say America, it interferes with our life plan or it interferes with our

ability to excel or grow because different programming is required i.e. culturally, language skills etc. Is this true? If not, would that hinder our growth or abilities in our incarnated life or is that a part of our life planning? (FM)

To move around the planet is sometimes part of the life plan, sometimes it's not. Sometimes it's circumstantial and sometimes it's an additional requirement that we've got, if we've met the challenges and the goals associated with our life plan. So sometimes it can interfere with the life plan, sometimes it's additional to the life plan, and sometimes it's part of the life plan. So it's not really much of an issue. It's in terms of we need to deal with things, as we deal with them.

I mean certainly my current partner has moved around the planet quite a lot. I've moved around the planet quite a lot, but not from a home perspective. The location I'm in now is my second home. My first home is basically one particular city for a long time, most of my life in effect, and where I am now is in a different city on the same island, but in a different country within that island, if you can understand that. So it's sort of dealing with things, as it becomes appropriate and recognizing that some things, even though they might be part of our life plan, may be added to the plan, because we may have got to the point, where we've dealt with most of the major aspects of that life plan or those goals.

- **In Anne Dialogue you wrote: from birth to one year “Also, the chakras and auric field are not entirely developed at this point in the incarnation, which results in the Aspect having limited energetic functionality and personal protection from energetic attack of any kind.” When others ask you about energetic attack, you disregard their existence by commenting that once we are energetically of higher vibration, it should not matter. That still does not respond to the fact that it exists and you confirmed that in your writing. What are they? Where are these energetic attacks comes from?**
- **Who plans these attacks and for what purpose? Clarify their roots and purpose? How often do we need to clean or what could we do for protection when we are still working to reach a higher vibration and thus prone or susceptible to such attacks?**

(15 min) Well, energetic attacks come from everywhere. They can be from astral entities, which are either self-created through what you would call Darwinian evolution of energies, where similar or sympathetic energies group together. And they group together in clumps or islands of energies and they sort of gradually gravitate towards other clumps or islands of similar or same energies, and then they start to get limited intelligence and actively seek those energies of similar or same energy or frequency out as well creating a much bigger energy and increasing the intelligence.

Up to a certain point, they can achieve this. But sometimes they get to a point, where they can't maintain their own energies, and therefore, the intelligence sort of dissipates. And so to do that, they need to find an energy source, and we're a very good energy source, specifically if we're open. And so they find that they can get energy from us to perpetuate their own existence, and when this happens, there's sometimes a symbiotic relationship that happens. They give us feelings of power, ability to coerce people or be successful in certain ways, in a way that allows us to sort of justify giving them energy, so to speak. And we do this in a very sort of background way — we don't understand we're doing it from the human perspective.

And so we sort of sometimes feed the astral entities. And so they want to come into us as soon as they can, because the younger we are, the less protected we are. And so the infant and the younger child will want to be in the energies of the mother, because the mother's energy system and auric layers — and the auric layers are a byproduct of the energies that we use to create and animate the human form, but they're still quite protective from that perspective, a bit like the atmosphere is on the Earth — allows that baby to be protected by being inside the energies of the mother, so these entities can't attack them.

Also some of these entities are created by us — by us not liking somebody or wishing somebody ill. And so we can inadvertently create these things simply by our desire or our intention or want to create or give somebody harm or ill thoughts. And this is enough to sort of create this opportunity for these energies to sort of group together based upon the intention behind the individual, who wants to create an ill thought process or wish somebody ill, so to speak, because they don't like them or because they've done something wrong to them.

So the astral entities can be created by natural evolution, so to speak, and through us. But also a lot of individuals throw energy at each other. If you go into an environment, for instance, where you feel the energy is not right and you walk out, that's because there's people who are throwing energy at each other. If you meet up an individual, who you find adversarial, you'll find that you don't like being with them. They feel very nasty to you, their energy feels sharp. That's because they're throwing energy at you. The energy can be coercive energy, it can be sort of direct attacks like bolt lightning, or it can be hooking energy, or it can be like sucking energy from you as well.

So basically energetic attacks come from human beings directly, human beings indirectly by using astral entities or other individuals to do it, or it can come through the astral entities themselves. Okay, so that's how energetic attacks come from and where they come from. In actual fact, the psychic shield, which was created and is available as a Correspondence Course on my website, and I'm doing it now as a day-long workshop as well, is designed to protect the unprotected, because some people are naturally protected, because of their energy and their personality and their sentience and their evolution as well, and their background lack of desire to connect with some of these things. So basically, they're naturally protected or naturally powerful, whereas others aren't.

(20 min) So in my perspective, I disregard these entities, because they just can't touch me and I know they can't touch me. I don't let them touch me, whereas others who are less forthright in their thought processes might have an issue with it and might get connected or attacked in that way. Okay.

- In “The Anne Dialogues” P 4408 you wrote: **“The life plan is therefore riddled with “interactive” contracts between Aspects that serve the function of ensuring that the chosen experiences are presented to the incarnate Aspect by those other incarnate Aspects that are working around it in the same environment as it is, that have agreed to present them. This of course is reciprocated.” This means we contract with other aspects while incarnated.**
- **Could we revoke part of our contracts? Let's say we married and got the divorce and the difficulties we faced brought a lot of lessons and experiences. Now we would like to move on. Could we place our intent and announce by saying that “I am a sovereign being and I exercise my intent and will revoke my contract with x hence forthwith”? Does that work? If not, why not? Why our Will and Intent is not enough?**

The best way to revoke a contract...generally, if something's happened to us, the contract has been fulfilled. If it's continuing, maybe that contract hasn't been fulfilled in totality. But we can move away from, if we feel we've learned enough, we can use our intention to move away from the interaction with another individual. And we can actively terminate it by forgiving that individual and forgetting and sending them love and then desiring no longer to interact with that individual. And we can do this through meditation or through focused interaction with our selves and creating a mantra, if you want to do it in that way.

And so we can in fact move away from a particular interaction with a particular individual, if we feel we no longer need to. The other thing is it could be part of our life plan to recognize that we no longer need to interact with this individual. And this point at which we have decided we no

longer need to interact with that individual, and we decide to forgive and forget them, could be the point at which we are severing karma or a previous link with them from a previous incarnation. So we have to think of it in these sort of terms, recognizing and understanding that in essence, we can with our intention change what appears to be to us a cyclic interaction with an individual in a certain environment and terminate it, as we want to, provided that it feels right. And if it feels right, then it should do.

- In “The Anne Dialogues” page 4426 you wrote that guides and helpers: “use the Akashic to validate the optimal junctures of insertion and the intensity of intervention required.” How could Akashic records validate that for our helpers’ assistance with our life plan? Many people say they can read Akashic records? What do they access?
- Does Akashic records has history of the aspect incarnation or more? If more, what are they? Does Akashic records hold a complete blue print of our life plan while incarnated? Does Akashic records keep each records belonging to an aspect of TES separately? Or the Akashic records hold TES records of all its aspects in one bundle? How are they categorized? Where are they held? Who oversees it?
- Dolores Cannon discussed this and she said Akashic records are held in a building, was that metaphoric or simplified for us, incarnate? Please elaborate and clarify.

I can elaborate to as much as I can give you the information I know. The Akashic records are simply a memory set within the Source that are associated with those individuals, individual souls or aspects that incarnate in the human form. So it’s a location within the Source, and how we interact with that is based upon how we feel we can interact with it. If Dolores wants to use it as repository of knowledge, like a library, then that’s fine. But basically, it’s a location where everything that has happened, will happen, could happen, should happen, has happened, may happen, will happen is happening all concurrently.

(25 min) And so the guide and helpers, because we exist in a condition, where everything is happening concurrently, can access this, because it’s associated specifically with being incarnated in the human state on the Earth. There are lots of other records or memory sets or locations within the overall sentience and energy that the Source has got, that is specifically associated with experiential rememberings, so to speak, and the evolutionary content associated with that experiential remembering. And everything is happening concurrently within that, because it’s all within an event space, which is...if you want to call it “holistic,” you can — if you want to call it “holographic,” you can. But it means everything that has happened, is happening, will happen, could happen, should happen is all in one place at the same time.

So the guide and helpers can look at that and they even look at the potential parallel conditions as well, by the way, so they can then look at these things associated with a particular individual in the interactions it’s having now, and what these interactions were as a function of the Akashic, and say: “Okay, this is the right time to put this in now. We can change it though, maybe we can do this instead or that instead. Or maybe in this particular parallel condition, this particular experience needs to be inserted here rather than there.”

And so it’s all about creating the opportunity for us, who in our individualized state in a lower frequency environment and a lower frequency body aren’t able to see. So it’s a bit like understanding the maze and getting to the center of the maze, but having to remember it. Or only being able to work with that maze, although we potentially understand it and know it anyway back to front, upside down, left and right, but we forget about it. And we still have to interact with it — and the only way we can interact with that maze and get to the center of the maze and back out again is by experiencing certain things, like bumping into people within the maze, asking them directions, getting lost, getting found, understanding certain junctures or junctions within the maze as well. So it’s a case of us trying to understand it and work with it without knowledge of it. So it’s a bit like us having to learn to drive a car again, having already

previously driven that car and experienced various different experiences in the car. So it's about understanding things.

And as categories go, this is just one category as far as I'm aware, it's just experience. And they are sort of categorized in terms of what have I, the individualized aspect of my True Energetic Self, experienced in all the different parallel conditions, in all the different pasts, the different presents, the different futures? There's some possibilities of those different pasts, presents and futures. So they'll be categorized in terms of us and Earth, and what we've experienced from those particular experiences, and what we've learned from it and how we've evolved as a result of that, and how that evolution has helped our True Energetic Self. Okay, so I hope that helps that particular question. Another question based upon this...

- **So many people say they can read Akashic records and there is no way to know if they can read or not. With regression, we could access some info. Abraham-Hicks calls regression not accurate. She says when you do regression, you do not necessarily access your own Akashic records and you could access another entity in your group or other aspects. Is that correct?**

Absolutely. Basically, there are lots of occasions, where we experience something from the Akashic records and it's like we've experienced it ourselves. It's like we've been there ourselves, but actually we haven't. So in this instance, we can get experience to help us work or navigate through an incarnation based upon another aspect's experience in another existence in a similar condition in a similar environment. So we can actually access that which we haven't experienced, but it's associated with us, because we've downloaded it, so to speak. And we can access other things associated with other aspects as well. So unless we exactly know what we're asking or want, we can put ourselves in a position where we're just accessing things randomly, and Abraham Hicks is absolutely right there.

- **Source Entity One said, one way to get rid of karma is by "everyone forgive each other at the point of reception of an action." What is point of reception of action? Kindly elaborate. It appears that Source says that there is a reaction for our bad acts toward another entity.**

There's positive actions as well, of course.

- **You always say Karma means attraction to low-frequency thoughts, behaviors, actions, and stimulus or sensations. See also "The History of God" P 6613? Please explain and clarify.**

(30 min) Karma is extremely complicated. Karma is essentially our addiction to low frequency thoughts, behaviors and actions in the low frequency environment and the desire and the need to come back to experience it. Karma is also to do with how we interact with others within this environment. And so if we can, for instance, experience a particular experience or know we're about to experience a particular experience that we know or feel is going to be detrimental to us, and we forgive the individuals, who are administering that experience on us straightaway, then we can negate the need for the reciprocation of that experience with that individual, to negate the link between us, the link being karma.

So really it's about constantly forgiving people, as they interact with you. Sometimes they interact with you in a nice way — you don't need to be forgiven for a nice way. But if you feel that you've been wrongly done, for instance, or somebody's done something wrong to you or cheated on you or hurt you in some way, if you can at the point of being hurt forgive them, like Jesus did by saying, you know, if they punch you in the face, or if they hit you on the cheek, then offer them the other cheek. That's the point of reception of the action. Forgive them to the

point, where you say: "Okay, if you want to punch me, punch me." There is no fear associated with that interaction in that way, and there is no continued connectivity by being angry at that person and now wanting to get them back, for example. Okay, so that's the way in which you can negate karma in that particular respect at the point of reception.

- **You wrote a child gets protection from energetic attacks by being close to parent's energetic fields. What about kids who end up with no parents shortly after birth? Or are sent to foster care or orphanages, who protects them? How do these children deal with energetically? How could they be helped?**

Yeah, I've just explained that in one of the previous questions. Basically, I think you've asked some of these questions in a previous Satsanga. Maybe I'm going through some older questions as well. There's a different list of things — maybe there's a bit of repetition. It doesn't matter. Some of these questions sometimes need to be asked twice actually, so that's probably why I've got these questions again.

Basically, the people who care for them protect them. So if they're abandoned or they're orphaned or they're in a hospital, then the nurses or the midwives who look after them will protect them in that way as well. If they go to an orphanage, for instance, the people who love them, who look after them, and the people who foster them, or the people who adopt them will also provide that energetic protection for them as well. So they do get protected, or they create their own level of protection very quickly.

So even though, you know, from around the year zero to year seven, their energetic fields and their chakras are still sort of developing, they can get protection from other individuals, who show them love in some way, shape or form by being within their energy fields. Children are very good at clinging onto the legs of their parents and the legs of other people, who they feel can protect them, if you notice this function with children. And also people, who are fostering children, or who are adopting children are also protecting them as well. So they do get it from different places, or they develop an energy system, which is protective fairly quickly.

- **Name some of the successful inventors of sacred geometry devices and their devices and what they do?**

We've gone through the question about naming some inventors with sacred geometry.

- **In your book, you identified three religions and the people who brought it, Jesus, Mohammed and Buddha. You did not mention Moses and Judaism. Why was that?**

And we've gone through...I don't know if we've gone through this question. I don't mention Moses and Judaism simply because they were a development towards the work that Jesus and Mohammed and the Buddha did. From my understanding, there wasn't really the structure associated with the teachings, although Moses did bring down the Ten Commandments, so to speak, and that was very important, but they didn't really give the continued interactive way in which one can become self-realized and connected back with the True Energetic Self and Source. So that's the reason for that.

(35 min) There was no other reason. They were important at that time in the way of keeping people together, and making them think, behave and act in the right way. So whereas Jesus and Mohammed and Buddha provided a direct way of interacting with one's Self by perfecting the connectivity through internalization, I feel that...I might be wrong here, but I feel that Moses and Judaism didn't actually do that. They just provided a way in which to experience and keep yourself clean karmically. So although it's a different angle, it's not as in-depth.

As I said probably before, there's going to be a book on this that explains the links between my understandings and the different start points of religions and other things as well, not just religions, but ways of thinking, ways of being as well, which would include the works of Confucius, etc., because I think some of these are very important to understand where they've come from and how they integrate with a more deeper level of understanding that's available to us now — not just in my work but with other people's works around us as well, because we're all stepping stones. And those that will come after us, who will deepen the understanding as well.

- **So much is attached to Judaism religious books and hidden meanings to our creation. There are so many books or movies as to “I am that I am” when Moses received a response from God. And so many interpretations of what is the proper format for using that phrase. What is your take on this?**

There's another question here, which I think also we've answered before. But I think I'm going to answer it again. Yeah, we have gone through this before, but basically, it's a way of saying that if Source said I am that I am, it's basically saying I am Source. I am existent, I am in existence. I am sentience. I think therefore I am is a very good way of saying it as well. And so it's basically, some of these books, although they don't give us directions, and this is why Jesus and Judaism is quite important in terms of how we as individuals can reconnect with Source or reconnect with our True Energetic Selves, it does give us an understanding of in a very, very basic way that we are sentience and energy.

And that that which we communicate with as a higher function of sentience and energy is our True Energetic Self is again sentience and energy, and then therefore, Source is sentience and energy. It's just that the volume, if you want to call it that, or the density of sentience and energy is much greater, as we move up. So from the perspective of the sentience that's generally associated with an incarnate aspect, which is about 2.5% of the sentience of a True Energetic Self, then we can see there's a great jump in sentience associated with the True Energetic Self in comparison to the aspect. And then if we look at — and I've got no idea what the difference in the percentage of sentience a True Energetic Self has got in comparison to Source, and therefore, of Source between that and Origin, you can see a massive jump.

So it's basically just showing, that these things show, that we are smaller individualized units of Source, or the Sources are smaller individualized units of the Origin, and the OM are smaller individualized units of Origin, and sometimes the hybrids are smaller individualized units of the mixture of Origin energy with the intention for it to be Origin vs. Source Entity energy with its intention to be Source Entity. And so there's a lot of these things, which are based upon recognizing that we are not the human form. And that which we are part of and that which created us is also not quantifiable as being physical or human.

And so the I am that I am or I think therefore I am is an indication that it is the sentience that is what we are and not the form. Okay, well, I hope that answered that question. I probably answered it in a slightly different way the last time. So I'm actually at a point with these questions that have been answered now, so there's a few other questions that I'm going to go through next month from FM, which will hopefully clear up that backlog of questions, and will allow us to start to be more diverse in terms of the contributors. But fantastic questions all the same. And sometimes these questions do have to come from one person for a period of time or a number of different Satsangas, because of the theme there.

(40 min) There's a theme not only in terms of the questions that they're asking in terms of what they are trying to gain in their own understanding, but there's also a theme that is associated with people who listen to the Satsanga. So there's like two parts to the story there: one is satisfying the individual who's asking the questions, the other one is satisfying in a subconscious way the questions that other individuals may also request answers for as well.

2. I used to think there are 408 universes in SE 1's multiverse. But since the first twelve "floors" form the basement of the framework, are there 408 minus 12 universes, with twelve floors being the first universe, so the total number of universes is 397, right? This came from "The Construction of the Source Entities" in "The Origin Speaks." (JM)

Okay, so the multiverse is created through twelve full dimensions. Each of the full dimensions operate in the same way apart from the first full dimension. Okay, so the first full dimension operates in the lowest frequencies, so we'll deal with that in a moment. So full dimension 2 up to full dimension 12 operate in the same way. Each of those full dimensions splits out into three subdimensional components, and each of those subdimensional components splits out into twelve frequency levels or frequency bands.

Each of those frequency levels or frequency bands is capable of supporting and does support a self-contained simultaneous universal environment in its own right. Now a simultaneous universal environment means that it is a static piece of structure, it's not parallelism that's created by event space, so it's a static structure which is there. So by simultaneous, it means they're in existence all the time, they aren't changed apart from the parallel conditions.

So we have $11 \times 3 \times 12$, which gives us 396 frequencies or frequency levels or frequency bands and 396 universes. Then we have to deal with the first full dimension, which again houses the lowest frequencies. So although it splits out into three subdimensional components, it compresses back into one composite subdimension, which means it only allows twelve frequencies to be created. So there's 12 frequencies there plus the 396 gives us 408 frequencies, so JM is correct there. However, these twelve frequencies are all required to create a universe, because they're so low frequency. So we only have one universe plus 396 universes, which gives us 397 universes, which is correct again.

So basically we have 408 frequencies, but 397 universes. And the physical universe is unique, because it's the one that requires a whole dimension to create it and requires twelve frequencies to create it, whereas the other universes are the function of one particular frequency within a full dimension. And there are 36 universes within a full dimension from the second full dimension upwards. Okay, so I hope that explains that, which is a good question. Thank you very much.

- **In "The Origin Speaks," the Origin states: "...I now know how to stop my own heart beating, and can stay in this condition for as long as I like, ad infinitum." What does this mean?**

It basically means it can put itself into stasis. If you are a yogi and you're very well adept at being internalized, you can actually start to turn off the senses, the physical senses, you know, seeing, smelling, tasting, touching and hearing [GSN said smelling twice]. But on top of that, you can start to do things like turn the heart off, or slow the heart right down to the point, where it's not necessary. You slow the whole body function down, the metabolism down, so the heart can stop. And so the Origin is using this as an example of going into stasis.

Yogis can go into stasis, put themselves into suspended animation by slowing the body down. They turn off the different senses. They slow the body's metabolism down, which means the heart stops beating, because there's no longer a need for the heart to beat to maintain the energies of the body. The body is being maintained by the energies, not by the physical metabolism. So basically, the Origin does this. It can put itself in stasis — it can stop growing, it can stop thinking, it can stop being, it can stop creating. And that's what that means basically, it puts itself in stasis.

- In “The Origin Speaks,” the Origin refers to “the designers of the human form.” Who are they? Did they design human forms for many planets of our universe, of our multiverse?

(45 min) There are a number of individuals who are disincarnate, who are part of a, if you want to call them a Council, you can do. It’s a very popular word these days. But they are involved with the use of and the optimization of the use of various different locations within the physical universe and the higher frequencies within the physical universe for accelerating the evolutionary progression of us, so to speak, of us as True Energetic Selves and smaller aspects of our True Energetic Selves.

And so they look at the different environments and say: “Well, what particular vehicle can be used to optimize the opportunity for evolutionary progression or through experience in this particular environment that this planet gives us?” And so they design different vehicles and one of those vehicles was the human form. And there’s different individuals, who look after different planets, different sectors within a galaxy, different sectors within a galaxy or within different frequencies as well, so there’s different groups of disincarnate individuals who...and sometimes they are incarnate.

Sometimes they have to incarnate to create some of these vehicles and different body types to allow the incarnate aspect to experience different environments in different ways, interact with different individuals who are incarnate in different environments in different ways, in different frequencies, within different locations within different parts of this particular galaxy and other galaxies within the physical universe. So basically, they design all sorts of different vehicles. And there’s different groups of individuals who design different vehicles for the incarnate aspect or the aspect to attach itself to experience the low frequencies or the frequencies associated with a particular location within a galaxy within the universe.

And they are constantly changing the human form. The human form has had a number of different iterations. Some of them have been created to compensate for the drop in frequency, and some of those have survived or adapted naturally to the drops in frequency. And so we’ve got a number of vehicles here, which are here through Darwinian evolution, a number of vehicles, which are here through initial designs by these entities, and others, which have been imported as a result of the need to change a body type, which is similar to the human body to allow the continued interaction with these environments, but without the need to design and develop a completely new body, for instance.

- In “The Origin Speaks,” the Origin says that “free energy...can be manipulated by me when I am in a period of creativity.” Isn’t the Origin always creative?

No, it can be in contemplation as well. The Origin can be constantly in creativity, contemplation or experience. It doesn’t always need to create. We don’t always need to create. Some of our best points of existence are when we are in a contemplative nature. So the Origin doesn’t need to constantly create to experience, to evolve and to progress and to change its location and to grow its sentience, it can just contemplate what it’s doing. Call it planning, if you want to. Call it understanding what it’s done, if you want to. But it doesn’t always need to create to progress.

- In “The Origin Speaks,” you and the Origin are discussing the pivotal role played by Event Space in the Origin’s development. You pose this question: “I wonder what else had a role to play in your development.” And the answer is: “Many, many more things, but this is not the right time to discuss them. You would need to write a whole new book to even think about scratching the surface.” Can we assume that this “whole new book” will be a separate work from “Beyond the Origin,” since that already has so much to cover?

No, I think this is going to be in "Beyond the Origin." The books that are going to come, by the way, are I'm working on a healing book now. Of course, "The Curators" is about to be published — I've already got the cover for it, which is quite good. I've got to edit the galley print and then it will be published, so probably August-September this year, this is 2019. So that's the seventh book. The eighth book, which I'm working on, which is healing.

(50 min) The ninth and tenth will be based upon the OM and Beyond the Origin, and then there's two. One is to do with so-called antichrists and what their roles are and the deeper meanings behind that and how big that book will be I don't know. And one will be about linking religions together as well. But I see the "Beyond the Origin" book as being quite a wide reaching book. I think it's going to be stitching up quite a few unanswered questions from previous dialogues.

- **From "The Origin Speaks," "Your own creative function...is born from being created with the intention of having the ability to be creative." I understand that God is creative by creating things. But we don't create things in the same way, so in what way are we creative?**

We do things in a different way and that's also being creative. Being creative is not specifically about, you know, building a motor car or building a house or writing a book or trimming a bush in a certain way. It's about how we do it differently. So being creative is basically about doing things in a different way. So if we have ten people together and tell them to build a shed, for instance, those ten people would build a shed in a different way to each other. Some of them would follow the instructions. Some of them wouldn't follow the instructions. Some of them would collaborate with somebody else. Some of them would put one thing in first and something else in second, whereas others would do the second thing first.

So it's all about how we do things, and how we do things is being creative, because we're creating another way in which it can be done. So even though we don't think things are creative, we are being creative. I hope I've answered that particular question, because even asking this question is being creative. So it's a case of recognizing that when we do things differently, we're being creative, because we're creating a different condition from which we're experiencing that which is being experienced in the way it's being experienced.

- **And a related question concerns terminating creativity, which the Origin can choose to do (same chapter). In what sense can we do that, if indeed we can?**

Our role is to experience things, to experience, learn and evolve and how we experience, learn and evolve is how we create. When we stop experiencing, learning and evolving and we stop contemplating and we stop being, then we stop creating. So only when we would be in the position when we totally reintegrate with Source and lose our individuality would we stop creating. I think that's the best answer to that question.

We are naturally creative in myriad different ways. And some of it is not considered to be creative, although it is. It's just that if we stop being individualized, then we would stop as an individual being creative, although we might still be part of creativity or a creative condition as a part of our True Energetic Self or Source that no longer has individuality, but is still nevertheless part of something bigger that is still creating. So we would still be part of the creative processes even though we might be in some level and some depth of communion with our True Energetic Self and/or our True Energetic Self is in some depth of communion with the Source as well.

- **And another related question, still from the same chapter. "Whenever an entity creates something, it creates it in its name, in its image or signature." Do we do that? Are we creative in that sense?**

Yes, of course. Everything that we do is created by our energy, by our intention. We intend to do something. We intend to be something. We intend to experience something. We intend to build something. We intend to disassemble something. The energy associated with that is us and the sentience associated with that energy is us, and so there's a signature associated with that which is done, which is attributable to us. So that's what it means by being creative in its name.

And in some respects, when in the Bible it says that God created us in its image, it basically is saying that it created an individualized version of its own sentience. So it's a smaller version of it. So our True Energetic Self is a smaller version of God, and we as individualized aspects of our True Energetic Selves are smaller versions of our True Energetic Selves, so again we create in our own image that which created us in its image. And by its image it means it's just sentience and energy and a purpose to be. That's what it means by that.

- **Astronomers estimate the radius of our universe as 14 billion light years. Is this anywhere near true?**

(55 min) We don't have the capability to understand how big the universe is — and actually the universe is slightly amorphous, so to say it's got a radius is probably incorrect. Although I sometimes describe it as a sphere, that's a good example, but it's not clear. Think of it like the weakest balloon you've ever had and that balloon is full of water and it just swishes and swashes around. It's changing its shape based upon where it is and where it is laying to rest.

That's the best way to think about it, so there's no way in which we can say. The only way we can potentially justify a measurement of the universe is by giving it a volume, so to speak. But if you remember in one of the books, I think it's one of the "Beyond the Source" books, where they talk about evolution affecting the size of a particular universe or a particular event space or a continuum. So evolution can affect the size, because the universe isn't just height, width and breadth, so to speak, you know the basic dimensions that mankind calls a "dimension," which is basically a state of measurement, not a dimension per se. We've also got the content within the frequencies within that volume as well.

So if we would suggest that the only way in which the universe can be quantified in terms of a measurement is by its volume, and that that volume can be changed based upon the frequency associated with the universe, and the content that is within it, and the entities within those particular frequencies, and their creations within that volume, that's going to give you some way of saying that actually, the volume is one thing, but the density is another.

So it's unquantifiable basically from a human perspective, because it's based upon the creativity within it, the incarnate aspects within it, the content that's created within it — there's content that is naturally there, and the content that's created within it — and the density of the creativity, and the density of the content, and the density of the sentience associated with that creativity as well. So I hope that answered that particular question in a way, which is totally unquantifiable. Thank you very much.

- **The Origin says that SE1 "created the multiverse as an environment for structured energetic (frequential) ascension and populated it with smaller versions of itself (us? JM), giving them the power of creativity as a prerequisite for gaining experience..." So we do have "the power of creativity," whatever that is?**

Absolutely. The whole point of it is the multiverse is a playground. It's a playground based upon a separated out or an annexed area of the Source Entity's structure and its own sentience. Some of that sentience is of course us, because the multiverse has a level of sentience as well. And so what we experience within that multiverse and how we create within that multiverse and

what we gain from the experience within that multiversal environment is what we use to evolve. And so that level of experience is what we create. We create an experience.

If we decide to drive to an airport, rather than get a taxi to the airport, we've created a condition, where we're experiencing one thing vs. another, so we've created a condition of experience through choice. The thing is we've created...if we thought about actually that we're not going to go to the airport via the taxi, we're going to get a lift from a friend, that's a different experience. So we've got three different experiences there — one is to go by taxi, one is to drive ourselves there and park our car, another one is to get a lift by a friend.

And although we would singularity experience the one dominant experience, because we decide to go with a particular decision point, let's say we take a taxi, another part of us splits off, where we create a localized event space, where part of this experience is being taken to the airport by a friend, the other one experiences going to the airport by driving a car and parking it in the car park. So we do create lots of different things in lots of different ways.

- **"The Earth is represented on all twelve frequencies associated with the physical universe." So are there like twelve earths, each with its own set of inhabitants?**

(1:00 hr) Actually, there's ten [Earths], because the Earth needs the first three frequencies, as we do, to create the gross physical. So this is where we've got this misinterpretation about three dimensions. It's a unit of measurement, you know, height, width and breadth is a unit of measurement, not a dimension. So when people say we're going to the fourth dimension, which is time, it's complete nonsense. The fourth dimension is a higher piece of structure, much higher piece of structure, and the first, second and third dimension are much higher pieces of structure. Mankind should use height, width and breadth as height, width and breadth. So height, width and breadth is a set of frequencies together giving solidity or gross physicality, so to speak. So that's one Earth.

And then the fourth frequency is another function of that Earth, and the fifth is another function of that Earth, and the sixth is another function of that Earth. So it's like getting more and more finitude within it. And it's like having sectors, for instance, in a hard drive, where the hard drive is the total space available to us, so to speak, and the first area or the first use of occupying that space is based upon a certain frequency — let's say hertz [Hz]. And if we go into kilohertz [kHz], we can put more information in a 1000 Hz than we can do in 1 Hz. If you go into megahertz [mHz], we can put more information in 1 MHz than we can do in a kHz, because there is a 1000 kHz to a MHz. But there is also lots more that we can put in that MHz than we can do in 1 Hz.

So if you think of it in those sort of terms, where it's about the amount of content that can be represented within a different frequency. And as we experience the first three frequencies of the gross physical, we see what's gross physical. We don't see what's represented on the fourth frequency, because it's too high frequency. The eyes can't see it. The gross physical isn't capable of interacting with that which is in the fourth frequency.

And then there's the fifth frequency. And that which is in the fourth frequency and the third frequency can't interact with that which is in the fifth frequency, because it's too high. Although what's in the fourth frequency can interact with that which is in the fourth frequency and the third frequency, and the fifth frequency can interact with that which is in the fourth frequency and the third frequency plus the fifth frequency itself.

So we have the first three frequencies create one particular earth-based condition, and then we have the fourth frequency, which creates the earth-based condition in the first three plus the fourth, which is a second Earth, if you want to call it that. And then in the fifth frequency is what's in the third frequency, fourth frequency and the fifth frequency, which is a third version of the

Earth, if you want to call it that. So the higher up the frequencies we go, we see more content, whilst continuing to see the previous content in the previous frequencies.

So that's what I mean by it's represented on these different levels. And the inhabitants are there at a higher frequency, so lots of different entities at different frequencies, who want to interact with the Earth can interact with the Earth. It doesn't mean to say it's got different occupants, so to speak, it just means basically that they are interacting with the Earth on that particular level. And they can be human beings, for instance, of a different era, who have accelerated their own evolutionary process and gone to a higher level with their particular body types.

- **Shards "die" when the human aspect's body dies, so would several of them die instantly, if the spawning human we are [were] to die unexpectedly?**

The incarnate aspect can produce 12 different shards, which are a smaller version of the aspect, a bit like the aspect is a smaller version of the True Energetic Self. But when the aspect decides to terminate its incarnation, then the shards that it's created also terminate as well. And arguably, the aspect would like to stay in incarnation until the different shards have finished their particular lives. But in general, when an aspect finishes its incarnation, the shards that it's created also finish their incarnation.

(1:05) And even though there's lots of distance, so to speak, in between these different shards, these smaller souls with smaller levels of sentience associated with them — you know, one could be in one country, one could be in another country, one could even be in a different location within the physical universe — they would all die together, so to speak, or should I say the sentient energy associated with that particular incarnation would therefore return back to that particular incarnation that is the aspect itself.

So in essence, if the aspect dies, or the aspect finishes its incarnation and returns back to the True Energetic Self, then those shards that it's projected would also return back to the aspect before it returned back to the True Energetic Self — although shards that are incarnate can finish their incarnation and return back to the aspect before its incarnation is finished, so that's what can happen there. So basically, there has to be a logical progression, where the shards need to finish their incarnation, or they can and do terminate their incarnation and return back to the aspect before the aspect goes back to the True Energetic Self.

But if the aspect decides to return back to the True Energetic Self before the incarnations of the shards have finished, then they will finish. They will terminate on the spot, return back to the aspect and the aspect can then go back to the True Energetic Self. So it's a bit cruel, so to speak, but that's the way it works unfortunately. The aspect is much more powerful than the shard in that respect.

- **Late in "The Origin Speaks," the Origin says that when the Source Entities moved so as to observe your communication with the Origin, they brought their work with them, and the locations where they were were taken over by the surrounding energies, like when a submarine passes through the ocean. How did those energies get there? Do they just exist everywhere, even where the Origin has never been? What, if anything, created them?**

Let me read this again. Ah, basically, yeah, what that means is the aspect of the Origin that they're occupying, that their sentience is occupying and that they're experiencing, learning and evolving through, was brought with them basically, because it's within that, for want of a better word, sphere of sentient energy that is occupied by the sentience of that particular Source Entity. So all that was part of the Origin was moved to observe me, so to speak, communicating

with an aspect of Origin. And so basically, that area that they're occupying, that's moved is then backfilled by other aspects of Origin energy and latent sentience or no sentience at all.

So it's a bit like a submarine passes through the ocean. The submarine being, for instance, representing the density of sentience moves through an environment, which isn't as dense, because it's got no sentience, for instance. It then moves through it and then that lower level of sentience moves out of the way, as the submarine moves through it. So the water moves out of the way of the submarine, because the submarine is more dense. It's a lower frequency, it's solid whereas the water isn't. It's the same way.

The energy that was within the Origin isn't occupied by sentience, and so that which is occupied by sentience is denser, so to speak, and then moves through the sentience and the other energies that the Origin move around it, so to speak, just like the water moves around the submarine. So that's right. So it's just moving through that which is Origin, but hasn't been assigned sentience yet, and that energy just moves around that energy which has got sentience associated with it, because it's denser, so to speak, if that makes any sense. That's a good question, that is. I decided to read it twice, but I understand it now. It makes much more sense and that's the way it is.

That which has got sentience moves through that which doesn't have sentience. And that which doesn't have sentience has to move around that which does have sentience. Good, like a ball bearing being dropped through water. Well, that's the end of the questions. Thank you very much. That's a lot of questions there and I'm really grateful for the questions.

Part 3. Meditation

(1:10) Now we're going to go to the last part, which is a short meditation on in effect where we are raising the frequencies of our local environment.

Please download the high quality MP3 File to do the guided meditation with Guy Steven Needler via his website or blog (meditation starts around 1:10:30).

(1:28) Closing comments: Okay, so that's the end of this particular Satsanga on the 25th of May 2019 broadcast from Tokyo in Japan. Thank you very much for listening, thank you very much for participating, and thank you very much for your questions. I have enough questions for the June Satsanga and after that I'll be looking for questions for July. If any questions do come in in June, I'll try to incorporate them into the Satsanga, of course. That's not a problem. It just makes it a little bit longer and hopefully a little bit more interesting. So thank you again. Namaste to you all. God's love to you all and blessing to you all and I look forward to working with you energetically or physically sometimes in another event space. Namaste to you all and goodbye and I look forward to seeing you or feeling you in the next Satsanga in June." END