

## July 22, 2017 - Pre-Recorded World Satsanga for The Kevin Moore Show

### Transcript of Pre-Recorded World Satsanga by Guy Steven Needler:

“This is the World Satsanga on the 22nd of July 2017 in conjunction with Kevin Moore and The Moore Show. It’s an honor again to work with Kevin and have the World Satsangas broadcast on his YouTube medium as well.

So this month’s format is a short talk by myself on what the difference between an entity and a being is, how do they come into existence, and what the evolutionary process is for both? Then we’ve got the questions from the participants, those people who listen to the Satsanga and actually communicate with me. And then I’ve got a change to the end of meet meditation. One of my readers has requested a meditation to deal with keeping the ego at bay. It’s very interesting because I’ve really, really felt that our egos are having a big opportunity to take us over again in the last few weeks. So I think that that’s probably a better meditation for now rather than the meditation to connect with others. I think it will be more powerful for us and more appropriate right now.

### Part 1. Lecture on “What is the difference between an Entity and a Being? How do they come into existence? What is the Evolutionary process for both?”

Okay, so let’s look at the difference between an entity and a being. And to be honest, I found this information whilst I was doing the channeling work, and subsequently the typing up or the text for the book I’m currently writing, the one called “The Curators,” which deals with those entities that deal with the maintenance of the multiverse and keeping it in its evolutionary efficiency, so to speak, so we can maximize our opportunity whilst incarnate and whilst we’re experiencing the multiverse.

And I found myself writing the words “being” and “entity” to describe something that is sentient, and I then sort of wondered what was going on there. And Source advised me that there was a difference between a being and an entity. And I found this really quite interesting.

So an **entity** is basically sentience and a body of energy associated with the sentience. Now sentience and energy aren’t co-joined. Sentience can be individual to the energy. It’s just that usually sentience is associated with energy, because it’s useful. It allows the manipulation of the structure of the multiverse, local multiverse, so to speak, or the environment and/or itself more easily. Sentience itself can be totally divorced from energy. So a body of energy isn’t the human body, it’s an energy that is used by the sentience that’s either been commandeered by that sentience, or it’s been given to that sentience.

So taking that into consideration, our Source Entity created our True Energetic Selves — which we sometimes call the Godhead in Hindu texts, or the Oversoul in Dolores Cannon’s work, or the Higher Self in a general westernized way — to help it experience, learn and evolve in a more efficient and faster way by having smaller versions of itself delve into the minute detail of itself, and that part of itself that’s separated out for investigation in that way, which was the multiverse.

And so when it created our True Energetic Selves, it separated out and individualized portions of its sentience AND portions of the energy associated with that sentience to give us our True Energetic Selves. It created billions of these different entities, if you want to call it that. Call it entities right now, because they were created. So basically an entity is something that is created by something.

So our Aspect, or what we call a soul in more wider terms, is created, it's an entity. So the Aspect or the soul is an entity, because it's created through individualization of sentience and energy from the True Energetic Self. And a Shard is an entity, because it's a further individualization of sentience and energy from the Aspect, from the soul. So here we have what amounts to a step-down function from the Source Entity to a Shard. Now similarly, because the Origin created the Source Entities, they are also entities, and not beings.

(5 min) So what we have here is that the Origin created the Source Entity again by individualizing a smaller aspect of its sentience and the energy that is attached to that sentience to create the Source Entities. And so the creation of something with sentience and energy as a result of individualization or any other form of creation or manipulation of sentience and energy can create an entity. Okay, an autonomous — although we're always connected to our creator, and ultimately our creator's creator, etc. — individualized sentient energy is an entity. So individualized sentient energy is an entity.

Now a **being** is different, because a being is something which evolves. Now that doesn't mean that an entity doesn't evolve, they both evolve in the evolutionary process, which I'll go over in very minute detail, is the same for both of them basically. But to get to a point of sentience, a being has to in effect evolve. Now in "The Origin Speaks," there's a chapter towards the end [Chapter 28: "The Perfect Division of Sentience"], which shows the steps toward sentience, and this is basically what happens to an energy.

Energies themselves are normally sort of like "free." They move around. They do what they have to do. They go with the ebb and flow of things that manipulate them and/or command those energies around the Origin, the Source Entity and even ourselves. But energies that coalesce together, because they attract each other, can eventually create minor intelligence. That minor intelligence is attractivity. And so energies of a similar type will coalesce together and group together with energies of a similar type.

The old saying "birds of a feather flock together" springs to mind, yeah. And people who are like-minded stay together. So this is the same thing, so these energies move together. They coalesce together, and they generate over a period of time or space — event space, that is — a rudimentary intelligence of attractivity and beyond. There's a desire to commune or co-join with other energies of the same type. And so we have the birth of a more structured form of intelligence going from basic attractivity up to actively seeking out other energies.

And as these energies coalesce together with other energies of a similar type of intelligence, the intelligence grows to the point of being actively intelligent. And so there is a move then to become sort of aware, and then self-aware, and then conscious. And so as the energies get bigger and bigger and get more and more intelligence, they start to become more aware and more self-aware and more conscious. And so we start to have not just intelligence, but it's active intelligence, conscious intelligence.

And when we get to the point where that conscious intelligence starts to create things, because it likes to create, or it likes the experience of creation, or it likes to be able to work with that creation, and then we get to the point where that creation is modified to try to do better, for instance, and improve. And eventually we get to the point where that intelligence starts to become sentient through that level of creativity. And eventually we get to the point where the sentience is able to understand that it is connected to energy, and the sentience itself later can disconnect itself from a one group, a larger group of energies that it has grown from to another group of energies that hasn't got sentience. And so we start to get the fully sentient condition.

So when a group of energies move from being nothingness, i.e. to having just purely nothingness, and then from that to minor attractivity and minor intelligence right up to sentience, they become a being. So we have an entity, which is created from a higher entity or being, and then we have a being, which is through the standard evolutionary process. You could classify it as standard energetic evolutionary process. I err slightly in calling it "Darwinian evolution" because it's not the same. It's more of a homogenous but also amorphous evolution that's associated with energy, rather than anything which is to do with gross physical bodies. So we have entities and beings.

(10 min) Now if you look at "The Origin Speaks," you'll see that the Origin actually and Event Space as well (up to a certain point before it decided to sacrifice its own sentience to promote the sentience of another being, which would end up being the Origin, because it saw the Origin as being a more complete and more capable being) became sentience through this process of energies coalescing together through attractivity and going through the process of becoming attractive, majorly attractive, minorly intelligent, then majorly intelligent, then conscious and self-aware and creative and sentient, and then be able to move the sentience around.

So we have this interesting condition here where the Origin itself is a being, but everything downstream of that: the Om, Source Entities, True Energetic Selves, Aspects or souls, and Shards are entities. And so what we have here is this thing where initially our creator is evolved energy, but after that everything is created, which is an interesting dichotomy.

Now there are lots of beings around as well, I mean lots of things that are in the astral levels, that are not created are beings, because they are again a function of energy coalescing together. And a lot of astral entities can't be called astral entities, they are astral beings. They can only be called an astral entity if they are created. And so if we created a small thing, a small entity ourselves, that would be existing in the 4th (lower astral), 5th (upper lower astral), 6th (lower upper astral) and 7th (upper astral) frequencies, if they exist in there, they're an astral being or entity.

And again an entity is something which is created by another entity or being, and a being is something which has evolved into that position. So that's how they come into existence, so there is much more detail, so to speak, in the text in "The Curator" in terms of what the difference is between the two. And of course, that's not going to be around for a year, because I'm still sort of working on it quite a lot actually. And then we've got the description of how an energy becomes sentient in "The Origin Speaks" as well, so that's the difference between an entity and a being.

In essence they're the same — in essence they become the same thing. They become sentience with a body of energy, which is normally with a being is ultimately part of how they've evolved, but they can also move around. The sentience can detach itself from the original energies that gave birth to the sentience, whereas an entity can detach its sentience away from the energies that it was given when it was created. So in essence at the end of the day they become sentience, pure sentience. So right at the very top of their sort of state of existence, they are identical. They are sentience. One has been created, the other one has evolved.

But the overall evolutionary process from that point onwards is largely identical, although you would argue that a being has a level of evolutionary process that has more individualized that being than in keeping with the desires of Origin or Source Entity or True Energetic Self or Aspect. And that's only because it evolves on its own, and not because it's been created for a specific function. But having said that, lots of beings do start to, shall we say, commune with entities, and the desires of entities, and therefore, they become part of the evolutionary cycle, or the maintenance of the structure that allows the evolutionary cycle.

But in essence, if they enter into the evolutionary cycle, they're the same. If they stay away from the evolutionary cycle, but they enter into service or maintenance of the multiverse in our Source Entity's example, then they would evolve through being of service, and the evolutionary content that's donated to them by those entities, who are using the structure that they maintain for their evolutionary cycle and progression.

(15 min) But if they wanted to stay out of that, they could do whatever they wanted to whether they're inside the energy associated with the Source or outside. And some of the Om, by the way, who are entities because they were created, aren't in the evolutionary cycle at all. In fact, some of them don't even want to create anything, because it creates the need to be responsible for that creation. But a being can be really anything. So that would be the difference between the two. A being is completely individualized and is responsible for itself only in general, whereas an entity ultimately has a role or a task as a reason for its original creation in the first place.

Okay, so the evolutionary process for them is slightly different or can be the same, depending upon whether the being wants to join the evolutionary process of an entity, or whether it wants to stay its own evolutionary progression and do what it wants to do, when it wants to do it in the way it wants to do it to experience, learn and evolve, and gain sort of experience basically, because experience is above evolution.

Okay, so that's the talk on what the difference between a being and an entity, and I was quite surprised to find out that there was a difference myself, so that came out in "The Curators" about seven or eight thousand words ago. I'm actually more than that now, but it's quite an interesting piece of information to come out.

Okay, so let's deal with the questions now. And some of them are a carryover from the last Satsanga, so I thank those individuals WP and ME for their questions, and we've got US as well, the lovely lady who also does the transcriptions. So she's always got copies of these questions and is going to put them into the document as well.

## **Part 2. Questions and Answers**

So the first question:

**1. How should one pray? Can "prayer" alter the outcome for one's self or for another? If so, what are the mechanics that make a prayer successful? And who are we praying to?**

- **I do not believe the Source elects to answer or not answer prayers as is commonly believed. So, if prayer is to be effective, the outcome must be dependent on the person praying?**
- **Is it that the desired outcome is dependent on our ability align our frequency with that outcome? And if so, can we cause a desired outcome to occur for someone else by "sending" them frequential energy so to speak? (WP)**

A lot of little nested bits and pieces there, but prayer is a method of trying to align one's thought processes into a single focus. If you like, it's a very "kindergarten way" of achieving meditation. And so when we pray — prayer, although it's used as a more structured or more amorphous series of words to create the focus, such as the Lord's Prayer for instance or other prayers or prayers, that we create ourselves — in effect if they are more repetitive, they could be classified as a mantra. And so really what we're doing is we're creating something, which allows us to shut

ourselves out from the outside world, and focus on what we are trying to achieve in terms of a meditative state or a level of connection or communion with our environment.

And so Source may well, if it desired, answer our prayers. Or maybe we would enter our own prayers by creating the energy supporting the desire of those prayers, because basically prayers can also be used as a mantra to create levels of abundance or levels of outcomes we want. So we can basically work on those, and the outcomes are usually dependent upon the individual praying, because the prayer again is the focus. If we work on those prayers often enough in a dedicated way, then we will ultimately find that the request of the prayers will come into fruition. And so it's really about aligning ourselves to the energies supporting that which we desire.

Remember the process is desire and thought and creation, as part of what we're doing. There's a much better way of saying this [see "The Anne Dialogues" page 181: Initial Desire—Modified Desire—Final Desire—Intention (to create the desire)—Thought (on how to create the intended desire)—Action (the creation or manifestation of the intended desire)]. A better way of saying it would be to have our desire sort of backing up the intention, which backs up the thought, and therefore backs up the action.

(20 min) And so that is, if you like, the creation behind abundance or any form of development, which we want to invoke into the environment that we're in. So the next part of the question is:

- **And if so, can we cause a desired outcome to occur for someone else by “sending” them frequential energy, so to speak?**

Yes, now what we have to do though to make sure that works properly is to meditate on them, and gain energetic concurrence that what we're asking for them is what they desire, or what is part of their life plan. So we have to work on that. But we can do what we want, we can do what we can for them. And if we feel good about giving them energy for that, irrespective of whether they take that energy onboard and work with it, you know, it doesn't matter. We've given them good energy and good thought processes, and we're thinking well of them, okay.

The next question is quite down to Earth actually.

**2. Just visited one of the old Titan II missile silos in Arizona. It really brought home the very real and ever present threat of all out thermonuclear war. And of course, today, the ability of humankind to wipe out the planet is exponentially higher than it was during the Cold War. (WP)**

- **Would an all out nuclear war, which would destroy humankind as well as the planet be allowed by whatever cosmic powers there may be?**
- **Would an intervention occur to prevent such a catastrophe?**

My understanding is that we are being monitored. There are a number of different incarnate civilizations or respected entities from those incarnate civilizations, who are observing what's going on here on behalf of those beings that are working with the use of the Earth as an area for the prototyping of individualized free will.

So if there was a condition, where the Earth was going to be, shall we say, pretty much obliterated by an all out nuclear war, there would be intervention. Now whether we would see that intervention is a different thing, because intervention could be the manipulation of world leaders' thought processes to make them change their mind, to make them realize the errors of their ways, and to make sure that the direction that we're going into isn't invoked.

It doesn't necessarily mean that all of a sudden we start to see thousands upon thousands of star ships in the sky. I'm not feeling that would be the case. It would be more of a subtle intervention. We're only going to be allowed to move out of the sphere of the Earth, when we're more mature. And so when that occurs, then we will start to become higher frequency. And when we're higher frequency, then we will see those entities and beings, the use of their incarnate entities, okay, that are existing either in the incarnate energies as incarnate beings, or who can create something to allow us to work with them even though they are disincarnate. But they can work with us on lower frequency energies, which are close enough to our incarnate energies for us to work with them.

So I very much feel that it would be a subtle intervention, and one where there would be manipulation on those individuals, who would be key individuals at the soul level or the Aspect level to ensure that they would not be party to or invoke this level of atrocity that would happen worldwide. There's too much at stake basically. Even with the Fall of the Atlantean race, and other races or other civilizations, that have been created through the use of the incarnate body before, there hasn't been this level of potential to damage the Earth.

In essence, it's not about the human form as an incarnate vehicle to associate itself with the lowest frequencies to invoke an accelerated level of evolution, it's about the environment. And so the human form isn't an issue. They can all be removed. And so therefore, the use of some form of virus would probably be a better way of doing it, if we were going to obliterate ourselves, because it potentially wouldn't affect the rest of the ecostructure on the Earth.

(25 min) But to actually wipe out the ecostructure on the Earth wouldn't be allowed or tolerated. And the way that it would be done, that I see would be more of a covert operation. So I hope that answered that question [see a related question in the World Satsanga Transcript on January 16, 2017].

Whilst I was answering that question, by the way, I was getting the information. I was quite surprised, because I knew that there would be intervention of some sort, but I was quite surprised that it would be very, very covert. I also expected there to be some level of visible communication that we would all see, that would make us all sort of sit and take notice. But I do think that the object of it being covert gives us a chance to be more in control of ourselves from the greater population's perspective, and also, of course, a lot of individuals on the planet that want the planet to be damaged as well. Thank you very much WP for the first set of questions.

**3. There are some questions I had in response to the May Satsanga. Invoking Event spaces and at what level: local > galactic > beyond to multiversal event spaces. You mentioned parallel existences arose from many different degrees of invoking event space. (ME)**

- **Are multiple event spaces created when we allow our thoughts to create additional narratives/options when we face a major choice in our lives?**

The answer is it can do, because if we are making a choice based upon a thought process, and we actually physically go out there and make that choice, then the answer is yes, we do create a new event space. That's very simple. So the rest of it is:

- **I am paraphrasing from your Satsanga & just want to be clear - How complete will the experience be by the parallelization depends upon the level of vibrational resonance. For example - Do we do 1, 2 or 3 [directions]?**
- **The resonance of ourselves & the universe that we create that surrounds us will dictate which of these we will experience (as this incarnate being), but all 3 will be created & experienced by our parallelization.**
- **We, as human form, can't possibly comprehend or have compatibility with all these event spaces & parallels. As such I have a few questions:**

What I also first see is that because of our current frequential state and the acceptance of being in this frequential state, and the individualization associated with this incarnate state, we can't perceive parallelized conditions. Or can we?

I'll come into this in a moment, because basically a couple of years ago I was in a different part of the world (India), and one of the people who was on one of my workshops had had a family member, who was experiencing parallel conditions. He was thinking that he was in one location, and friends were saying he was doing something else. And we eventually found out that he was in effect uncontrollably flicking his consciousness in between three different locations, where there's three different versions of himself experiencing different things. And he hadn't got an anchor point. He wasn't anchored in this particular event space or reality, and so he was just moving around without even knowing it. And that was causing problems, because he was sure that things were happening, or he'd done something and actually he hadn't, he had done something else.

But in general, we don't experience these other locations, these other event spaces, these other parallel conditions, because we are anchored into our particular reality. This part of our sentience, this chunk of sentience that's associated with our Aspect stays with the parallelized condition that it is associated with. And then another one is parallelized off to allow the experience associated with that new event space or new parallel reality to occur in isolation, so that the experience is fully experienced properly without any interaction with one of the other parallelizations, because then that causes confusion. But it also causes the inability to focus properly on the location and the environment and the state of beingness or state of entity, so to speak, and the responsibilities and the interactions that we have within that particular space. Okay, so I've got five questions here:

**1) What purpose do these parallels serve? I presume that the individual human is not the only recipient of their function, we are part of the bigger picture, so from that perspective it seems they serve some type of structural support function – for lack of a more accurate term. Can you elaborate on this line of thinking?**

(30 min) Parallel conditions are a function of event space full stop. Event space creates parallel conditions through the possibility of change — opportunity for decision, you know, decision A vs. decision B or decision C or D or E or F, etc. etc. So if we have a decision to make, we have the potential and we do create another event space, where a part of us separates out and experiences that alternative, so to speak, and the fractals from that alternative.

So it's a natural function of the multiverse, because event space is a natural function of the Origin. So event space permeates or pervades the Origin, and therefore, it pervades the Source, and therefore, it pervades us. It pervades everything. So it's something that's a natural

function basically. But from our perspective, or from our True Energetic Self's perspective, and even the Source Entity and the Origin and the other Source Entities as well, it serves a unique function inasmuch as it allows the multiple and concurrent experience or expression of experience based upon the fractal possibilities of different directions to go in — or the possibility of possibilities of the different directions, or the possible possibility of possible possibilities, and it can go on basically. And these things can grow fractally and collapse fractally as well.

So we can start off with a sort of single event space for ourselves and the event stream associated with that, and through fractalization of thousands or tens of thousands, and they can all, if it goes to an evolutionary dead end, it can then sort of shrink back into a number of smaller levels of event space, or even one right at the very end with our particular incarnation in this instance. And those event spaces created through this incarnation are invoked.

Now the next question is: **Which event space are we in?**

It doesn't matter, we have to concentrate on this event space, the one that we're working on now.

**Is this event space the primary event space, the main line event space?**

Again it doesn't matter. We have to work with who and what we are, and what we're doing right now, and to try to think about where we could be and what else is happening would only confuse us, stop us from operating effectively in this particular event space.

**2) What is it about the structure of the multiverse that requires these parallels and multiple event spaces to get formed?**

It's basically choice. When we are in an individualized condition, where we are in a position where we do make choice, it's not so much the multiverse that requires or allows these event spaces to get formed, it's event space itself. Event space is, if you like, an integral but covert function of everything. And so it's not about what is it about the structure of the multiverse that requires these parallels and multiple event spaces to get formed, it's everything — it's everything about the multiverse, everything about the local universe, if you think about a local environment, it gets changed. Everything is duplicated.

And sometimes it's duplicated but also integrated with the previous event space, so to speak. So it's not specifically that we get duplication of everything, there is overlap as well. Because other event spaces are localized to ourselves and micro-event spaces etc. and then galactic and global or country-sized or universe-size event spaces do overlap each other, because they all work with each other. They all sort of connect with each other.

Now in "The Curators," there is a lot of entities that work with event space, and have the ability to manipulate in some way, shape or form event space and modify event space, which I found a bit interesting, because it means that we don't really have what we would call "total free will." There is always some level of guidance or shall we say intervention going on to make sure that we're doing the right things, or getting close to doing the right things. So I was quite surprised at that.

But basically everything that is is interjoined. And when I looked at the fractalization of a particular point in event space, and how it could fractalize out, it fractalized out like a 3-D effect — a little bit like multiple trees, all joined at the roots and springing out, looking a little bit like a sort of puff ball you see for a dandelion seed head but much more fractalized than that.

(35 min) And at each termination point, or the end of the seed head, the little sort of furry bit that acts like a parachute, there's another possibility of another sort of tree or fractalization. So everything is outwards and inwards as well. So it's every part of the multiverse is affected by event space, but it can also be localized as well. So based upon this then the next question:

### **3) What limits are there on the parallels – other than a reference to the intensity that is vibrationally creating it?**

There are no limits. The only limits are us, in such as what we create and when it naturally demises. And so the limit is based upon in effect not in terms of how many event spaces can be created, but how many can be supported by the evolutionary function associated with that particular event space being created. So when we get to the point, where we have an evolutionary dead end, then that event space starts to collapse back down towards or get close to or gets close to a different fractalization of the main event space, then you could classify that bit as being a limitation to that particular fractalized function of event space. So there isn't a real quantifiable or metric, so to speak, for the number of parallel conditions that can be created, only that the parallel conditions do die off, when there is an evolutionary dead end, so to speak. So the next question is:

### **4) It seems the 'name of the game' of life as a human is...take risks & be open to change! More options = more event spaces = more evolutionary content.**

- **If more parallels = more evolutionary content = more rapid soul advancement through experience gaining AND if we create parallels by having multiple options to choose, then it seems our actions as humans that create more options advance our soul, evolutionarily.**
- **That translates down to take risks, be open to change, embrace the unknown. All things that many people are averse to in modern society, and modern society itself breeds a desire for stability over change, particularly using fear as a tool.**

So I wouldn't say that it's about making or taking risks, it's about taking the opportunities that present themselves to us. Now if that's classified as a risk, then fair enough. But it's more about taking life by the horns, so to speak, and not being shy or dropping out of our responsibility to experience as well as a result of what's put in front of us. Now certainly there are things that we get exposed to that we want to back away from.

For instance, sometimes those things put the gross physical body, the incarnate vehicle at risk. And so if there is a life plan, where that gross physical body needs to be in existence for another 30-40 years, then putting it in a position where it's got a very high percentage of demising is not a good idea, because that is not really an act that helps the gross physical form. But in essence, it's not about taking risks or being really sort of conservative and stopping change, it's about really taking the responsibility for experiencing that which is presented to us, when it's presented to us, and being grateful for it.

And being grateful for the experience, even if it's something like, for instance — and I'm not saying we should all go out and do this — being caught for speeding or going down the freeway with only a gallon of petrol and experiencing the car breaking down, because it's got no fuel. These sorts of things happen because they happen, although we can plan for them not to happen as well. We don't have to speed, and we have to make sure that our car is full of gasoline for instance. So it's basically not about being risk-averse, it's about taking the opportunities as they present themselves. Or even it's not about taking risks, it's about taking the opportunities and working with them, specifically if they feel right. That's the most important

thing. If they feel right, then that is the ticket, that says this is a good experience to have. So the last bit from ME is:

**5) Can there be too many options or forks in the road to choose from, thus creating too many event spaces & parallels? At what point are too many potential parallels a drain on the TES, or a drain of resources of the Source to create the event spaces needed, where efficiency of evolutionary accrual becomes your limiting factor?**

- **As I recall from The Anne Dialogues & The Origin Speaks, efficiency is also priority in our evolutionary accrual process – can you elaborate on how these interact? I guess where I'm going with this is it seems that the more efficient you get at maneuvering through life to create less options, the less you are gaining from being here.**
- **Are folks on that path closer to becoming more aware of the greater reality to be able to no longer incarnate? OR is it totally separate from where you are in the spectrum of enlightenment on the awareness meter, where one is able to create fewer parallels because they limit their thinking to focus on only one outcome? My mind is spinning with questions - the more thinking one does the more options get created!**

(40 min) Absolutely. Can there be too many options? Well, no, there aren't too many options, because each option is an option. Each option is a potential for experiencing, learning and evolving and the efficiency associated with that. So we don't lose efficiency as a result of an increase in the number of parallel conditions or event spaces that are created, we actually gain efficiency. The more things that we can experience in a parallelized condition or concurrent condition at any point in our existence, the more efficient we are in experiencing evolutionary content, the gain of evolutionary content. So I hope that answered that question.

So there is no limitation on how many options or parallels or forks that can be taken, it's just our own ability to deal with them, and knowing of course that all of this stuff happens automatically anyway, in terms of the splitting off of event spaces, because event space does it and creates it. It's not something that we have to think about, although some of those entities that work with the maintenance of the multiverse sometimes have to make some changes to event space, if it's not considered to be evolutionarily efficient, but that's something else. That's something for "The Curators," so I'll leave you waiting with bated breath on that one. Okay, so the last set of question now are from US:

**4. Since you're writing "The Curators" book about various maintenance entities, I'd like to know more about your understanding of the Elementals. Humans are aware of four elements called Fire, Water, Air and Earth, and some add Ether as the fifth element. (US)**

- **Are there elemental entities that we call salamanders (fire), undines (water), sylphs (air) and gnomes (earth)?**

No, I think this is...salamanders are sometimes related to elementals, because they are a very pure spirit. They are just in existence, just being here. They're like datum points basically. They're the sort of entity that's here to act as like a monitor or a sensor, so to speak, to identify certain things that are happening in the environment.

But elementals are basically nothing to do with the very basic medieval thought process of four elements. I mean clearly the periodic table identifies a lot more than four elements. It identifies

things like uranium and strontium and carbon dioxide and oxygen and xenon, neon, krypton and a whole bunch of other radioactive and nonradioactive elements.

So fire, water, air and earth and ether really are just a very medieval way of saying it really. And ether basically is the spirit, isn't it? I mean before we invented the radio, the radio was classified as the ether. So the elements and elementals aren't associated with any physical form in general, or the old description of medieval elements. That's just a function of what's here. So I want to slightly change things now in terms of the way this question is being asked:

- **How do elementals work with energy or matter (the fundamental particles of matter called the Anu)?**

(45 min) Well, the Anu is the very first particle that's created as a function of dense frequency or low frequency. So it's six levels below the atom, okay. So what they do is basically they build, construct and dissolve various different things. The elementals tend to work on energies that are affecting things. So if you have an elemental, for instance, that's dealing with a certain function of the ecostructure, let's say trees, then they work with the energies associated with what makes trees grow, or what makes trees die, or what makes trees resistant to certain viruses for instance, or attracted to certain viruses. So they work with the energies associated with the programming, if you want, the energetic templates associated with the environment.

I mean we've got seven energetic templates associated with the creation of the human form, the etheric body being one, the mental body being another, the ketheric template being another just as an example. And those templates were identified in the transcriptions of a couple of different Satsangas earlier in the year. So they deal with manipulating those things, they work with the energies associated with changing the gross physical.

- **Do they work at many different frequency levels (FB 1-12) or specialize on just one level (e.g. only FB 5 or FB 6)?**

Depending upon what they are, I mean basically right now in "The Curators," I haven't got anywhere near those entities that are specialized in working in gross physical environments, such as a planet. I haven't got anywhere near that yet — I'm well up in the stratosphere in terms of the higher echelons of more multiversal beings. But my understanding is that they can be both specialized, and they can be both multifunctional, so to speak. So they can work on specific frequencies, and they can work on a number of different frequencies and a number of different functions that those frequencies have.

- **How do they differ from devas and fairies?**

Basically devas are classified as being gods, aren't they? I mean fairies are classified as being elementals. I mean basically these things are really the functions of observation and incorrect recording of human beings. Sometimes if an elemental is seen by us, because we are to be honest more aware and awake or higher frequency, then they can give us the opportunity to see them in a more human way, because sometimes the way they manifest can be classified as being abhorrent. I mean quite a lot of people are quite scared of things like arachnids and snails and snakes and all those sorts of different things, the sort of form factors that we don't like very well.

But if we saw an elemental, and it had the worst combination of an arachnid exoskeleton-based insect and everything else supporting it, including an amorphous amoeba-like structure as well, then we would go off screaming with our hands in the air. So they tend to give us an image, which is based upon what we would like to see. So the images of fairies and leprechauns and other little devas and things like that are basically things that we can work with if we see them. But generally if we're higher up the frequencies, we see them as they are, in the energies they are, and in the form factors that they use to manipulate the energies that they need to manipulate to work upon maintaining the part of the environment that they're working with.

Very quickly, all elemental entities are basically part of the Curators. So it's just a different structural level. Where I'm working now is right up in the higher levels of structure still, but I will eventually come down to those entities that work with trees and plants and animals and weather systems and mountains and those sorts of things. So they don't differ inasmuch as these are one type of entities and these are another type of entities or beings, basically what they are is they're all Curators. They're all part of an overall function called a Curator.

(50 min) It's just that these Curators have different functions and different levels of responsibility, and that's it. Some of them have to do with manipulating event space. Some of them are to do with creating structure. Some of them are to do with changing environments. Some of them are to do with changing living areas as well. So each of them has got an important role, it's just that some of them are involved very close to us on the Earth level, or other planetary levels, whereas others are working with much higher pieces of structure.

#### • **How do they work with the dolphins or the planet Earth itself?**

Well, dolphins are a sentient entity. They're not beings, they're entities. And simply put, a dolphin body is another incarnate body for a sentient entity, who is of a similar level of evolutionary progression or a genre of sentience as ourselves. But because dolphins maintain a higher level of frequency, even though they have a gross physical body which we can see, they can work on levels up to, I think they can work up to the seventh level (FB 7) whilst being on Earth. They can also work with them to help maintain the ecostructure that they exist within. So basically the dolphins can communicate with them on the telepathic levels, so to speak, and the empathic levels.

And it's the same with the Earth. The Earth is sort of an entity in its own right, but it's obviously got a different way of evolving. And the maintenance of the Earth's ecostructure and the energy supporting it is how they communicate with it basically. They communicate with it again on this telepathic-empathic function and various different communicative channels well above our thought process, comprehension, or even ability to think about it.

We will understand this when our gross physical body demises, but basically we forget we all become self-realized whilst we're disincarnate. But essentially, there's not just speaking or hearing or sign language or telepathy, there's myriad other methods of communication, which I don't have a name for. But let's just call it over a million different channels of telepathy so to speak, that allows them to communicate with the Curators that we call elementals and the planet and the body of locally low frequency that has got some level of sentience associated with it, the Earth, that allow other entities like us to experience, learn and evolve in a low frequency environment using a body of energy, a human body, to experience that low frequency environment in the way it's supposed to be experienced. The last set of questions here:

**5. In the "History of God" (page 60), you wrote: "Each ascended master was a Source Entity trying to learn outside of its own area of responsibility." (US)**

- **You also told me that the 12 main ascended masters are smaller aspects of True Energetic Selves that were sent by other Source Entities just to experience being here and to help, because they are higher frequency, and they're able to work in different ways. Only 144 maximum have been of that ilk ascended masters during the whole use of the Earth as an evolutionary medium.**
- **What are the names of those 12 main ascended masters (list)? Did they come here alone OR did some of them work together as a group of ascended masters?**

I actually don't know the list of names to be honest, but we do call some people "ascended masters" like Jesus or the Buddha or Mohammed or St. Germain and a whole group of others as being ascended masters. What I'm picking up here is that these ascended masters are not specifically of the genre that humankind would consider to be ascended masters, they're sort of above that.

And the 144 is that the True Energetic Self, if there's 12 of them, each of them can project up to 12 Aspects themselves, so each of the 12 True Energetic Selves of these various different Source Entities, which includes Source Entity 12 and of course Source Entity 1 itself which is our Source Entity, could produce a True Energetic Self that would project 12 Aspects of itself. So it's 12 x 12 is 144.

(55 min) So these are not always visible. You know that they are, I mean Babaji, being one of them (I'm just being told) is an entity that is in the background a lot. It does things by influencing individuals, by working with individuals, by training individuals, and by directing individuals. And so there are lots of individuals out there, who are classified as ascended masters, but they're above those names that we know in typical religion, but nevertheless are and have done lots of work in the background.

So I actually don't have any names, but I'm going to say again that there are a number of them that we do know of, who were Aspects of those original 12 True Energetic Selves. And some of those were those that we recognize, you know, the Jesuses, the Mohammeds, the Buddhas, etc. There's been other ones well before their incarnations were present, and some of the incarnations weren't specifically at the level of frequency or the gross physical frequency that we know of.

So I'm going to have to disappoint people there by saying that I don't know the names, because it's not really important, because those that are known have made a major influence in our local history that we're aware of, whereas others aren't angels by the way, as we know them. It's not Gabriel or Uriel or all the rest of them, they are manifestations of maintenance entities or Curators, who have come to help assist us for instance. Or even guides and helpers in those respects.

- **Did they have to evolve through the entire multiverse cycle from the physical universe (all 12 frequency levels) to the top of the multiverse (all 12 full dimensions), like we do or not?**

Because they are in effect fully functional True Energetic Selves from a Source Entity, they would not have been associated with the evolutionary cycle as we are. So the answer is no, basically. They're individualized — they are “tourists” for want of a better word, who offer help and assistance where required, and as such [unclear?] to it. It's derogatory, so I'll have to apologize to them for that. But basically they come to experience and see and work on and offer help, not to be part of the evolutionary system.

So they are basically guides, if you want to call them that, on a larger scale. But they haven't had to go through our evolutionary process, because they're not part of it basically. They're here to experience what's going on in our particular multiversal environment and the lowest frequencies associated with it at times. But also there's other Source Entities, or True Energetic Selves that are experiencing similar things in Source Entity 2 and Source Entity 3 and Source Entity 4, etc. So it's a similar sort of thing. So basically they're outside of the evolutionary cycle.

Well, those were all the questions I feel, and so we can go into the final part, which is the Meditation to help keep the ego at bay.

### **Part 3. Meditation**

(58 min) So this is a very interesting and a very important request I feel, and one where we're going to have to look at it from a different perspective. Now first we need to understand (I apologize for my creaking chair, it's a nice Atlantic chair that my partner has donated to me at this particular house that we're using) and we have to recognize that the ego is a function of our incarnation.

It is the individualized sentience associated with being in a lower frequency and almost entirely cut off from the rest of its sentience. It's like the air inside a balloon — it's there, but it's individualized and separated out from the rest of the air in the rest of the room or the rest of the Earth's atmosphere for instance. And the only level of communication is the air leaking out of the knot in the balloon, and so the communication is so slow that the sentience that's within that balloon starts to develop its own personality. But in essence there's an overall understanding albeit subconsciously, that that sentience will recombine with the greater body of sentience, which is the True Energetic Self at some point after the demise of the human form.

(1 hr) But whilst the human form is in existence, the sentience or the personality that's created as a result of separation of that sentience or individualization of that sentience can be perpetuated. Moreover, if we are working on ourselves and raise our frequencies, then the longevity of that sentience is reduced accordingly. So as we become more spiritual and work on ourselves, and start to become more, for want of a better word, self-aware, increase our frequencies, then we stand more chance of communicating with our True Energetic Selves, and of course the greater and wider environment, and of course, Source.

So at that point, we start to move or spiral up the frequencies, and the individual personality that's created through individualization, this ego, it starts to dissolve. Now clearly, we can't totally dissolve our ego, because we are incarnated into the function of incarnation. But it can start to control us in terms of trying to stop us from becoming spiritual, trying to perpetuate its own existence longer, because becoming spiritual and starting to work on our frequencies and becoming less egotistical or less associated with the gross physical, which is what the ego does — it's associated with material wealth, belongings, status, and control of others, and coercion of others, because it's part of the function of being here.

So it knows that by maintaining those functions within us, it will perpetuate itself, because it's not in effect allowing us to increase our communicative bandwidth and start to become part of and re-commune with that which we truly are, and therefore removing this individualized personality. So it likes to keep us in the low frequencies in terms of our thoughts, behaviors and actions to keep itself in check.

So this meditation, it's really about working on recognizing those things, which keep the ego strong and stop us from becoming higher frequency.

(1:02) Meditation starts here. Please download the high quality [AMR File](#) to do the guided meditation with Guy Steven Needler via his website or blog.

(1:22) Closing comments: Okay, dear friends, that's the end of this particular Satsanga. I thank you very much for working with me. If you're just coming out of this meditation, slowly come back into the room that you're in. You can take a drink of water to help ground you.

And I'm just going to look at the calendar to see when the next opportunity is, and it's looking like it's going to be the 26th of August 2017. So I look forward to questions by the 19th of August if possible. The Satsanga is on the 26th, and looking forward to communicating with you all again. Much love to you all, and God's blessings to you all, and do have a great month." END